1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION
11	
12	DAVID DAY, ) Case No.: C 06-1740 JW (PSG)
13	Plaintiff,  ORDER SCHEDULING FURTHER  BRIEFING RE PLAINTIFF'S SECOND
14	v. ) MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES
15	AT&T DISABILITY INCOME PLAN, )
16	Defendant.
17 18	On June 22, 2010, Plaintiff filed a second motion for attorneys fees. District Judge Ware
19	has referred the motion to Magistrate Judge Trumbull for Report and Recommendation (see docket
20	no. 185). On November 29, 2010, Judge Trumbull issued her Report and Recommendation (docket
21	no. 209), in which she recommended a schedule be set for further briefing. Judge Trumbull recently
22	retired and the case was reassigned to the undersigned for discovery referral purposes. On Decembe
23	15, 2010, District Judge Ware referred this matter to the undersigned to set the schedule for further
24	briefing and to issue an updated Report and Recommendation after reviewing the supplemental
25	briefing. Therefore, based on the file herein,
26	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, no later than January 4, 2010, Plaintiff's counsel shall
27	
28	The holding of this court is limited to the facts and the particular circumstance underlying the present motion.
	Order, page 1

submit a supplemental brief showing cause why the attorney's fees for the STD remand should not be set at \$17,525 as proposed by Defendant, calculated by multiplying 35.05 hours by \$500 per hour. (*See* Docket No. 194 at 17:25-28.) (The court notes that \$500 per hour is the rate used in the first order awarding Plaintiff attorney's fees). (*See* Docket No. 103).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, no later than January 4, 2010, both sides shall submit supplemental briefing regarding what date the prejudgment interest should begin to run, and what rate was prescribed for post-judgment interest under 28 U.S.C. § 1961 for the period from that date through entry of judgment.

Dated: December 16, 2010

PAUL S. GREWAL

United States Magistrate Judge