Kinderstart.Com, L	LC v. Google, Inc.							
ŕ	Case 5:06-cv-02057-JF	Document 24	Filed 06/06/20	006 Page 1 of 3				
1	DAVID H. KRAMER, State Bar No. 168452							
2	LISA A. DAVIS, State Bar No. 179854 BART E. VOLKMER, State Bar No. 223732							
3	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation							
4	650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050							
5	Telephone: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100							
6	DKramer@wsgr.com							
7	JONATHAN M. JACOBSOI WILSON SONSINI GOODF							
8	Professional Corporation 12 East 49th Street, 30th Floor							
9	New York, NY 10017-8203 Telephone: (212) 999-5800							
10	Facsimile: (212) 999-5899 JJacobson@wsgr.com							
11	Attorneys for Defendant							
12	Google Inc.							
13		UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COI	TRT				
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
15	SAN JOSE DIVISION							
16		0/11(3001	DIVIDIOIV					
17	KINDERSTART.COM, LLC	C a California) CASEN	IO.: C 06-2057 JF (RS)				
18	limited liability company, on all others similarly situated,		i)	STIPULATION AND				
19	Plaintiffs,) [PROP	OSED] ORDER SETTING ING SCHEDULE ON				
20	v.) PLAIN	TIFF'S MOTION FOR A MINARY INJUNCTION				
21	GOOGLE INC., a Delaware corporation,)))) Before: Hon. Jeremy Fogel					
22	Defendant.	corporation,) Date: Ju	nne 30, 2006 1:00 a.m.				
23	Berendanii) Courtro					
24								
25			/					
26								
27								
28								
20								
	JOINT STIPULATION RE BRIEFING S PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION CONTROL 2057, IF (DS)	CHEDULE ON						
	Case No. 06-2057 JF (RS)			Dockets.J				

Doc. 24

1	WHEREAS, on May 26, 2006, Plaintiff KinderStart.com LLC ("KinderStart") filed a					
2	Motion for Preliminary Injunction ("Injunction Motion") and noticed it for a hearing on June 30,					
3	2006;					
4	WHEREAS, on May 31, 2006, Defendant Google Inc. ("Google") filed a Miscellaneous					
5	Administrative Request Under Civil L.R. 7-11 ("Google's Pending 7-11 Request") to Continue					
6	or Vacate the Hearing Date on KinderStart's Motion for Preliminary Injunction (to which					
7	KinderStart filed an opposition on June 1, 2006);					
8	WHEREAS, on June 1, 2006, KinderStart filed a Motion for Leave to File Excess Pages					
9	on its Motion for Preliminary Injunction (to which Google filed an opposition on June 2, 2006);					
10	Pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-2 and 7-12, KinderStart and Google jointly submit this					
11	stipulation to request the Court to enter a modified briefing schedule on KinderStart's					
12	Preliminary Injunction motion as follows:					
13	June 16, 2006 – Google's Opposition to KinderStart's Motion for Preliminary Injunction					
14	June 23, 2006 – KinderStart's Reply in Support of its Motion for Preliminary Injunction					
- 1	June 30, 2006 – Hearing on KinderStart's Motion for Preliminary Injunction					
15	June 30, 2006 – Hearing on KinderStart's Motion for Preliminary Injunction					
15 16	June 30, 2006 – Hearing on KinderStart's Motion for Preliminary Injunction provided, however, that this stipulation shall be subject to the Court's decision on Google's					
16	provided, however, that this stipulation shall be subject to the Court's decision on Google's					
16 17	provided, however, that this stipulation shall be subject to the Court's decision on Google's Pending 7-11 Request (that could result in the vacating and/or rescheduling of the hearing date					
16 17 18	provided, however, that this stipulation shall be subject to the Court's decision on Google's Pending 7-11 Request (that could result in the vacating and/or rescheduling of the hearing date on the Injunction Motion). The parties are not otherwise hereby modifying or waiving the					
16 17 18 19	provided, however, that this stipulation shall be subject to the Court's decision on Google's Pending 7-11 Request (that could result in the vacating and/or rescheduling of the hearing date on the Injunction Motion). The parties are not otherwise hereby modifying or waiving the arguments contained in their respective motions, requests, or related oppositions thereto referred					
16 17 18 19 20	provided, however, that this stipulation shall be subject to the Court's decision on Google's Pending 7-11 Request (that could result in the vacating and/or rescheduling of the hearing date on the Injunction Motion). The parties are not otherwise hereby modifying or waiving the arguments contained in their respective motions, requests, or related oppositions thereto referred					
16 17 18 19 20 21	provided, however, that this stipulation shall be subject to the Court's decision on Google's Pending 7-11 Request (that could result in the vacating and/or rescheduling of the hearing date on the Injunction Motion). The parties are not otherwise hereby modifying or waiving the arguments contained in their respective motions, requests, or related oppositions thereto referred to in the above recital clauses. Dated: June 6, 2006 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI					
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	provided, however, that this stipulation shall be subject to the Court's decision on Google's Pending 7-11 Request (that could result in the vacating and/or rescheduling of the hearing date on the Injunction Motion). The parties are not otherwise hereby modifying or waiving the arguments contained in their respective motions, requests, or related oppositions thereto referred to in the above recital clauses.					
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	provided, however, that this stipulation shall be subject to the Court's decision on Google's Pending 7-11 Request (that could result in the vacating and/or rescheduling of the hearing date on the Injunction Motion). The parties are not otherwise hereby modifying or waiving the arguments contained in their respective motions, requests, or related oppositions thereto referred to in the above recital clauses. Dated: June 6, 2006 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation					
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	provided, however, that this stipulation shall be subject to the Court's decision on Google's Pending 7-11 Request (that could result in the vacating and/or rescheduling of the hearing date on the Injunction Motion). The parties are not otherwise hereby modifying or waiving the arguments contained in their respective motions, requests, or related oppositions thereto referred to in the above recital clauses. Dated: June 6, 2006 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation By:					
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	provided, however, that this stipulation shall be subject to the Court's decision on Google's Pending 7-11 Request (that could result in the vacating and/or rescheduling of the hearing date on the Injunction Motion). The parties are not otherwise hereby modifying or waiving the arguments contained in their respective motions, requests, or related oppositions thereto referred to in the above recital clauses. Dated: June 6, 2006 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation					
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	provided, however, that this stipulation shall be subject to the Court's decision on Google's Pending 7-11 Request (that could result in the vacating and/or rescheduling of the hearing date on the Injunction Motion). The parties are not otherwise hereby modifying or waiving the arguments contained in their respective motions, requests, or related oppositions thereto referred to in the above recital clauses. Dated: June 6, 2006 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation By:					

	Case 5:06-cv-02057-JF	Document 24	Filed 06/06/2006	Page 3 of 3			
1 2	Dated: June 6, 2006		GLOBAL LAW GROUP				
3			Ry: /s/				
4	By: /s/ Gregory J. Yu						
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff KinderStart.com LLC and for the Members of the Class						
6							
7							
8							
9							
10	ECF CERTIFICATION						
11	I, David H. Kramer, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being used						
12	to file this Joint Case Stipulation & Proposed Order. In compliance with General Order 45.X.B,						
13	I hereby attest that Gregory J. Yu has concurred in this filing.						
14	Dated: June 6, 2006		WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI				
15	Dated. Julie 0, 2000	Professional Corporation					
16			By: /s	s/			
17	By:/s/ David H. Kramer						
18	Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc.						
19		[PROPOS	ED] ORDER				
20	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. The briefing schedule set forth						
21	above is hereby approved by	the Court.					
22							
23	Dated:		The Honorabl	e Jeremy Fogel			
24				District Judge			
25							
26							
27							
28							
	JOINT STIPULATION RE BRIEFING S	CHEDULE ON	-2-				