1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of the State of California PAUL T. HAMMERNESS Supervising Deputy Attorney General TROY B. OVERTON Deputy Attorney General, State Bar No. 171263 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5500 Facsimile: (415) 703-5500 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 E-mail: Troy.Overton@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for CHARLES D. LEE, JR., M.D. (additional counsel on signature page)	STATES DISTRICT COLOR STATES DISTRICT COLOR IT IS SO ORDERED S MODIFIED Judge James Ware Judge James Ware		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION			
12				
13	PIERRE LEBON HOFFMAN,	Case No. C 06-02248 JW (PR)		
14	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSIDIFY ORDER TO EXTEND HEARING DATE ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 7-7(a)		
15	v.			
16	DR. CHARLES LEE,			
17	Defendant.	Judge: Honorable James Ware		
18				
19	<u>STIPUI</u>	STIPULATION		
20	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-7(a), Defendant Charles D. Lee, M.D. and Plaintiff Pierre			
21	Lebon Hoffman, by and through their respective counsel of record, stipulate and agree as follows:			
22	WHEREAS, no trial date is currently set in this case;			
23	WHEREAS, the hearing date on Defendant's motion for summary judgment is currently			
24	scheduled for June 15, 2009;			
25	WHEREAS, Plaintiff's deadline for filing an opposition to Defendant's motion for			
26	summary judgment has not yet lapsed;			
27	WHEREAS, Plaintiff has not yet filed an opposition to Defendant's motion to summary			
28	judgment; 13563.001.1142733v1	1 Case No. C 06-02248 JW (PR)		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE HEARING DATE ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT			
	Dockets.Justia.con			

WHEREAS, Defendant and third party witnesses continue to produce documents
 responsive to Plaintiff's discovery requests, and recent deposition testimony has indicated that
 Defendant and other third parties may have additional responsive documents that have not yet
 been produced;

5 WHEREAS, despite Plaintiff's repeated efforts to obtain their testimony, two critical third
6 party witnesses with percipient knowledge of the facts of this case have ignored properly served
7 subpoenas and/or evaded service throughout the discovery period, which has impaired Plaintiff's
8 ability to obtain key discovery, one of them having been deposed only yesterday in Los Angeles,
9 and the other having evaded multiple daily attempts at service at home, at her former place of
10 business and at her newly-discovered place of business;

WHEREAS, the documents that are currently being produced, and the depositions of the
witnesses who have been evading service, are vital to Plaintiff's ability to satisfactorily respond to
Defendant's motion for summary judgment;

WHEREAS, extending the hearing date on Defendant's motion for summary judgment by
three weeks will not alter any other court dates set in this matter;

16 NOW, THEREFORE, THE PARTIES AGREE AND STIPULATE as follows:

17 1. The hearing date on Defendant's motion for summary judgment shall be extended
18 from June 15, 2009, to July 6, 2009, or a hearing date at the convenience of the Court.

19 2. Plaintiff's opposition and Defendant's reply to the motion for summary judgment
20 shall be filed in accordance with Rule 56 and Civil Local Rules 7-3 and 56-1 in reference to the
21 new hearing date.

3. Nothing in this Stipulation shall waive Plaintiff's right to seek relief pursuant to
Rule 56(f), should the discovery described above remain outstanding despite Plaintiff's diligence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

24

25

26

27

28

13563.001.1142733v1

Case No. C 06-02248 JW (PR)

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE HEARING DATE ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

2

2		CALIFORNIA	ERAL OF THE STATE OF
3		PAUL T. HAMME Supervising Deputy	
4		By:/s/	
5		TROY B. OV Deputy Attor	
6 7		Attorneys for CHARLES D	Defendant . LEE, JR., M.D.
8			
9	DATED: May 20, 2009	COBLENTZ, PAT	CH, DUFFY & BASS LLP
10		D. (/	
11		By: $\frac{/s}{MARIO A. M}$	ΙΟΥΑ
12		Attorneys for	Plaintiff ON HOFFMAN
13		T IEKKE LED	
14			
15			
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.		e Preliminary Pretrial Conference
17			009 to July 6, 2009 at 11 a.m. to n the Motion, which is at 9 a.m.
18 19	DATED: May 21, 2009		1
19 20		By:	meethe
20		Hon. JAMES	WARE District Judge
22		Northern Dist	rict of California
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	13563.001.1142733v1	3	Case No. C 06-02248 JW (PR)

1	ATTESTATION PER GENERAL ORDER 45, § X.B.		
2	I hereby attest that Mario A. Moya, counsel for Plaintiff, concurs in the filing of this		
3	document, and that I have on file all he	olograph signatures for any signatures indicated by a	
4	Conformed" signature (/s/) within this	e-filed document.	
5	5		
6	DATED: May 20, 2009	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF	
7	7	CALIFORNIA	
8	3	PAUL T. HAMMERNESS	
9		Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
10		By: /s/ TROY B. OVERTON	
11		Deputy Attorney General	
12		Attorneys for Defendant CHARLES D. LEE, JR., M.D.	
13	3		
14			
15	5		
16	5		
17	,		
18	3		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
<i>4</i> 0	13563.001.1142733v1	4 Case No. C 06-02248 JW (PR)	
		POSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE HEARING DATE 'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	