Cleveland v. Stokes Doc. 10

22 23

NOT FOR CITATION

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IVAN VERNORD CLEVELAND,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DR. SUNDARAN,

Defendant.

No. C 06-02861 JF (PR)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Plaintiff, a California prisoner incarcerated at the California Training Facility in Soledead, ("CTF-Soledad") and proceeding <u>pro se</u>, filed the instant civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against prison officials. The Court found cognizable Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claim against Defendant Dr. Sundaran based on his deliberate indifference to Plaintiff's serious medical needs, *i.e.*, his back problems, and dismissed the other claims. On August 21, 2008, the Court directed the clerk to prepare the summons for service of the complaint upon Defendant Sundaran, and the United States Marshal to effectuate such service. The Clerk prepared the summons for service of Dr. Sundaran at CTF-Soledad, where Plaintiff indicated he was located. On September 24, 2008, the Marshal returned the summons unexecuted, noting that "No record of Dr. Sundaran. Facility will not accept service." (See

Docket No. 8.) Accordingly, Defendant had not been served.

On October 27, 2008, the Court ordered Plaintiff to provide information to locate and serve Defendant Sundaran. Plaintiff was ordered to provide the Court with the necessary information no later than thirty days from the date of the order, *i.e.*, no later than November 26, 2008. Plaintiff was advised that failure to do so may result in the dismissal of the complaint against Defendant under Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The deadline has since passed and Plaintiff has failed to comply.

Accordingly, the action is DISMISSED without prejudice as to Defendant Sundaran pursuant to Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 1/16/09

JEREMY FOCEL

United States District Judge