1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CSBN 44332) United States Attorney	of DISTRA
2	BRIAN J. STRETCH(CSBN 163973)	STATES DISTRICT CO.
3	Chief, Criminal Division	
4	DAVID B. COUNTRYMAN (CSBN 226995 Assistant United States Attorney	IT IS SO ORDERED
5	·	OS MODELLA
6	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495	Judge James Ware
7	Telephone: (415) 436-7303 Fax: (415) 436-7234	
8	E-mail: david.countryman@usdoj.gov	DISTRICT OF 4/7/2010
9 10	Attorneys for Plaintiff	OISTRICT
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
15	Plaintiff,) No. C 06-03278 JW
16	v.) REQUEST TO CONTINUE PRELIMINARY) PRETRIAL CONFERENCE AND
17	1. APPROXIMATELY \$133,021.17 IN FUNDS SEIZED FROM FOUR) STATEMENT
18	ACCOUNTS HELD IN THE NAME OF PONG LIN LIU;	
19	2. 2002 TOYOTA 4-RUNNER, VIN	
20	JT3HN86R520373938, CALIFORNIA LICENSE NUMBER 7D09084;	
21	3. \$6,586 IN UNITED STATES	
22	CURRENCY; AND	
23 24	4. ONE LORCIN, MODEL L380, .380 CALIBER SEMI-AUTOMATIC PISTOL,	
25	Defendants.	
26)
27	///	
28	///	

In October 2008, Pong Lin Liu was convicted of drug trafficking. Shortly thereafter, the United States obtained a default judgment against the defendant property, but it was set aside in June 2009, based on his attorney's assertion of "gross negligence."

On September 18, 2009, the United States re-served the Lius with interrogatories and requests for production, discovery which had been originally served in 2006, and to which no response was received. When the re-served discovery was ignored, the United States filed a motion to compel a response to its outstanding discovery. The United States also served the Lius with requests for admissions, which also went unanswered. All discovery was served on the Lius' attorney, the Lius themselves, and Pong Lin Liu's appellate attorney, Maitreya Badami.

On December 22, 2009, the Court granted the motion to compel and ordered that "Pong Lin Liu and Lillian Liu shall provide complete responses by January 8, 2010." The Lins have not complied with the Court's Order, and no discovery responses have been received.

On January 11, 2010, the United States filed a motion for sanctions for failure to comply with the Court's Order. The Lius did not file an opposition, and the hearing is currently set for May 10, 2010. On February 19, 2010, the United States filed a motion for summary judgment. The Lius did not file an opposition, and the hearing is also currently set for May 10, 2010.

On March 31, 2010, Kurt Robinson, the Lin's attorney, filed a document entitled "Conflict of Interest." No explanation was given for that conflict.

Because the Lins have refused to participate in discovery, despite the Court's explicit Order to do so, the United States is unable to provide a meaningful preliminary pretrial statement. Moreover, because the United States currently has two unopposed dispositive motions on file, judicial economy would best be served by re-setting the pretrial conference until after the dispositive motions have been heard.

DATED: April 2, 2010 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO **United States Attorney**

27

28

DAVID B. COUNTRYMAN Assistant United States Attorney

2

IT IS SO ORDERED

For good cause shown, the Court VACATES the April 12, 2010 Preliminary Pretrial Conference. The Court will set a new conference date, if necessary, in its Order addressing Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment.

Dated: April 7, 2010

HONORABLE JAMES WARE
United States District Judge