

\*\*E-Filed 1/27/09\*\*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION**

DONG AH TIRE & RUBBER CO., LTD,

Plaintiff,

v.

GLASFORMS, INC.,

Defendant/Third-Party  
Plaintiff.

v.

CTG INTERNATIONAL (NORTH AMERICA)  
INC., and TAISHAN FIBERGLASS, INC.,

Third-Party Defendants.

Case Number C 06-3359 JF (RS)

**ORDER<sup>1</sup> OVERRULING  
OBJECTIONS TO MAGISTRATE  
JUDGE’S ORDER**

RE: Docket No. 177

Third-party Defendants Taishan Fiberglass, Inc. and CTG International, Inc.  
(collectively, “Defendants”) object to the Magistrate Judge’s denial of their motions to compel  
and for sanctions. The Court carefully has reviewed the motion papers and the Magistrate

---

<sup>1</sup> This disposition is not designated for publication in the official reports.

1 Judge's order with respect to third-party Plaintiff Glasforms' ("Glasforms") duty to preserve  
2 evidence and the adequacy of Glasforms' discovery responses. The Magistrate Judge declined  
3 to establish a specific date after which Glasforms was required to preserve evidence in  
4 anticipation of the instant litigation, but concluded nonetheless that Glasforms' discovery  
5 responses largely were adequate, and that Defendants in any event had waited too long to object  
6 to purported inadequacies. Although the Court shares moving parties' concerns about  
7 Glasforms' approach to the preservation and production of evidence potentially relevant to this  
8 lawsuit, the Court finds, based on the record, that the Magistrate Judge's conclusions were not  
9 clearly erroneous or contrary to law. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a). Accordingly, Defendants'  
10 objections to the Magistrate Judge's order will be overruled.

11  
12 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

13  
14 DATED: 1/26/09

15  
16   
17 JEREMY FOGEL  
18 United States District Judge  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 This Order has been served electronically upon the following persons:

2 April E. Sellers april.sellers@bakerd.com

3 David K. Herzog david.herzog@bakerd.com

4 Eugene Ashley eashley@hopkinscarley.com, ihernandez@hopkinscarely.com,  
5 ihernandez@hopkinscarley.com, jdooley@hopkinscarley.com

6 Jennifer M. Phelps jennifer.phelps@bakerd.com, debora.schmid@bakerd.com

7 Kevin M. Toner kevin.toner@bakerd.com, judy.ferber@bakerd.com

8 Lisa J. Cummins lcummins@campbellwarburton.com

9 Noelle Dunn gcordova@hopkinscarley.com, ndunn@hopkinscarley.com

10 Robert A. Christopher rchristopher@hopkinscarley.com, ihernandez@hopkinscarley.com

11 Sophie N. Froelich sfroelich@nossaman.com, ntorpey@nossaman.com

12 Tod C. Gurney tgurney@hopkinscarley.com

13 Notice has been delivered by other means to:

14 Glasforms Inc.,  
15 William Whitcom Faulkner  
16 McManis, Faulkner & Morgan  
17 50 West San Fernando St., Suite 1000  
18 San Jose, CA 95113  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28