Rich v. Hewlett-Packard Company

Doc. 75

August 26, 2009, for Plaintiffs' reply papers. See Order Granting Stipulation Requesting				
Modification of Hearing and Briefing Schedule Re: Motion for Class Certification; Declaration of				
Counsel (Docket No. 57). Upon further stipulation of the parties, the Court modified this schedule				
and continued the hearing on Plaintiffs' motion for class certification to November 20, 2009, and set				
the following deadlines for briefing on the motion: June 23, 2009, for Plaintiffs' moving papers;				
September 22, 2009, for Defendant's opposition; and October 26, 2009, for Plaintiffs' reply papers.				
See Order Granting Stipulation Requesting Modification of Hearing and Briefing Schedule Re:				
Motion for Class Certification; Declaration of Counsel (Docket No. 60).				
2. Due to the parties' ongoing discussions and attempt to mediate their differences, they				

- 2. Due to the parties' ongoing discussions and attempt to mediate their differences, they have negotiated another extension of approximately forty-five days for all dates associated with Plaintiffs' motion for class certification. The dates to which the parties have agreed, subject to the Court's approval, are as follows: (a) November 5, 2009, for Hewlett-Packard to file its opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for class certification and its expert report(s); (b) December 9, 2009, for Plaintiffs to file their reply in support of their motion for class certification; and (c) January 8, 2010, for the hearing on Plaintiffs' motion for class certification.
- 3. In addition, the Court previously ordered that, on account of the complexity of the issues to be decided on class certification, the page limit requirements contained in Civil Local Rule 7-3(a) be increased to 35 pages for Hewlett-Packard's opposition. *See* Order Granting Stipulation Requesting Modification of Hearing and Briefing Schedule Re: Motion for Class Certification (Docket No. 57).
- 4. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, a date of an event or deadline already fixed by Court order may be enlarged or changed if the parties so stipulate; the stipulation is accompanied by a declaration addressing the reasons for the requested change, previous time modifications, and the effect that the requested time modification would have on the schedule set for the case; and the parties obtain an order from the Court approving the requested enlargement of time.
- 5. A declaration of counsel providing the information required by Civil Local Rule 6-2 follows this Stipulation.

DECLARATION OF CHRISTOPHER CHORBA IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION

- 1. I, Christopher Chorba, am an attorney admitted to practice before this Court and all courts of the State of California. I am an associate attorney in the law firm of Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP, attorneys of record for Defendant Hewlett-Packard Company ("HP"). I make this declaration in support of the Joint Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Requesting Further Modification of Hearing and Briefing Schedule for Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein, and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently to those facts.
- 2. At the Case Management Conference held on November 30, 2007, the Court set hearing on Plaintiffs' motion for class certification for May 8, 2009. Upon stipulation of the parties filed January 15, 2009, the Court moved the hearing on Plaintiffs' motion for class certification to September 19, 2009, and set the following deadlines for briefing on the motion: April 23, 2009, for Plaintiffs' moving papers; July 22, 2009, for HP's opposition; and August 26, 2009, for Plaintiffs' reply papers. *See* Order Granting Stipulation Requesting Modification of Hearing and Briefing Schedule Re: Motion for Class Certification (Docket No. 57). Upon further stipulation of the parties filed April 23, 2009, the Court moved the hearing on Plaintiffs' motion for class certification to November 20, 2009, and set the following deadlines for briefing on the motion: June 23, 2009, for Plaintiffs' moving papers; September 22, 2009, for HP's opposition; and October 26, 2009, for Plaintiffs' reply papers. *See* Order Granting Stipulation Requesting Further Modification of Hearing and Briefing Schedule Re: Motion for Class Certification (Docket No. 60).
- 3. The parties are involved in ongoing discussions and attempt to mediate their differences, and it is the desire of both parties to continue focusing on these efforts and bring them to a resolution before briefing Plaintiffs' motion for class certification, which may be ultimately unnecessary if the parties are able to resolve their dispute. Accordingly, the parties have agreed to an extension of approximately forty-five days for all dates associated with Plaintiffs' motion for class certification. The dates to which the parties have agreed, subject to the Court's approval, are as follows: (a) HP's opposition papers (and expert reports) to be filed and served no later than November 5, 2009; (b) Plaintiffs' reply papers are to be filed and served no later than December 9,

	ı
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	

2009; and (c) the hearing on Plaintiffs' motion for class certification is set for January 8, 2010, or such alternative date as the Court may select.

- 4. The original complaint was filed on May 22, 2006. There have been several continuances since then, in addition to the extensions referenced in paragraph 2. By stipulation filed in June 2006, HP's deadline for filing a responsive pleading was extended. On August 31, 2006, the Court approved the parties' stipulated request to continue the initial case management conference. On October 10, 2006, the Court approved the parties' stipulated request to further continue the initial case management conference, and to extend deadlines to complete the ADR process and for HP to respond to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint. The case management conference was subsequently further continued to March 9, 2007. After dismissal of the First Amended Complaint, with leave to amend, Plaintiffs filed a Second Amended Complaint, and stipulated to an extension of time for HP to respond thereto.
- 5. As discussed above in paragraph 2, the parties have sought and received two prior extensions to the deadlines for Plaintiffs' motion for class certification.
- 6. The Court has not set any dates other than that for hearing on Plaintiffs' motion for class certification and the Case Management Conference scheduled for September 18, 2009, at 10:30 a.m. Therefore, granting the time modification requested in the parties' stipulation will not require extensions to any other deadlines.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed at Los Angeles, California, on August 12, 2009.

/s/ Christopher Chorba*

26

23

24

25

27

^{*}I hereby attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for any signatures indicated by a "conformed" signature (/s/) within this efiled document.

1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

CARL K. RICH, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

V.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C 06 03361-JF (HRL)

†PROPOSED† ORDER GRANTING JOINT STIPULATION REQUESTING FURTHER MODIFICATION OF HEARING AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION

The Court having reviewed the parties' stipulation to further modify the briefing and hearing schedule for Plaintiffs' motion for class certification and the accompanying declaration of counsel, and having found good cause shown, IT IS ORDERED as follows:

The Court VACATES the current hearing date of November 20, 2009, for Plaintiffs' motion for class certification. The Court sets the following new schedule with respect to Plaintiffs' motion: Defendant's opposition papers and expert reports are to be filed and served no later than November 5, 2009; Plaintiffs' reply papers are to be filed and served no later than December 9, 2009; and the hearing on Plaintiffs' motion for class certification is set for January 8, 2010.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: 8/13/2009

I NORABLE EREMY FOGEL UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 2 I, Christopher Chorba, declare as follows: 3 I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California; I am over the age of 4 eighteen years and am not a party to this action; my business address is 333 South Grand Avenue, 5 Los Angeles, CA 90071 in said County and State. I hereby certify that on August 12, 2009, the following documents were electronically 6 7 transmitted to the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System: 8 JOINT STIPULATION REQUESTING FURTHER MODIFICATION OF HEARING AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR 9 CLASS CERTIFICATION; [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION; AND DECLARATION OF COUNSEL 10 11 I further certify that copies of the foregoing documents were transmitted on August 12, 2009, 12 via e-mail to each of the persons named on the attached Service List. I caused each such document to 13 be transmitted by PDF Format, to the parties and e-mail addresses indicated on the attached Service 14 List. 15 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. 16 DATED: August 12, 2009 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 17 By: /s/ Christopher Chorba* 18 Attorneys for Defendant HEWLETT-PACKARD 19 **COMPANY** 20 *I hereby attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for any signatures indicated by a 21 "conformed" signature (/s/) within this efiled document. 22 23 24 25 26

27

Service List

1	<u>SCI VICE LIST</u>		
2	Counsel for Plaintiffs		
3	Di GWI - I	77 H P V C 4	
4	Brian S. Kabateck Alfredo Torrijos Claudia E. Candelas	Niall P. McCarthy Laura E. Schlichtmann Justin T. Berger	
5	Kabateck Brown Kellner, LLP 644 South Figueroa Street	Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy San Francisco Airport Office Center	
6	Los Angeles, CA 90017 Tel: (213) 217-5000	840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010	
7	Fax: (213) 217-5010 bsk@kbklawyers.com	Tel: (650) 697-6000 Fax: (650) 692-3606	
8	at@kbklawyers.com cc@kbklawyers.com	nmccarthy@cpmlegal.com lschlichtmann@cpmlegal.com	
9		jberger@cpmlegal.com	
10	Stephen M. Garcia David Medby	Patrick McNicholas McNicholas & McNicholas LLP	
11	The Garcia Law Firm One World Trade Center, Suite 1950	10866 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90024	
12	Long Beach, CA 90831 Tel: (562) 216-5270	Tel: (310) 474-1582 Fax: (310) 475-7871	
13	Fax: (562) 216-5271 sgarcia@lawgarcia.com	pmc@mcnicholaslaw.com cjs@mcnicholaslaw.com	
14	dmedby@lawgarcia.com		
15	Bruce L. Simon		
16	Esther L. Klisura Pearson, Simon, Warshaw & Penny LLP		
17	44 Montgomery Street, Suite 1430 San Francisco, CA 94104		
18	Tel: (415) 433-9000 Fax: (415) 433-9008		
19	bsimon@pswplaw.com eklisura@pswplaw.com		
20	100706608_2.DOC		
21	_		

2223

24

25

26

27

28

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP