1	JOHN H. HEMANN (SBN 165823) JOSEPH E. FLOREN (SBN 168292)	NTES DISTRICT
2	THOMAS R.GREEN (SBN 203480) MATTHEW S. WEILER (SBN 236052)	STA ST
3	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP One Market, Spear Street Tower	IT IS SO ORDERED
4	San Francisco, CA 94105-1126 Tel: 415.442.1000	5 IT IS SO ONLY
5	Fax: 415.442.1001 E-mail: jhemann@morganlewis.com	James Ware Z
6	jfloren@morganlewis.com tgreen@morganlewis.com	Z O Judge James Ware
7	mweiler@morganlewis.com	
8	Attorneys for Defendants Jeffrey L. Hall, Richard	P. P.
9	Wallace, John H. Kispert, Stephen P. Kaufman, ar Nominal Defendant KLA-Tencor Corporation	nd
10	UNITED STATES D	DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALI	FORNIA – SAN JOSE DIVISION
12		
13		
14		
15	In re KLA-TENCOR CORPORATION SHAREHOLDER DERIVATIVE	Case No. C-06-03445-JW
16	LITIGATION	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE CASE MANAGEMENT
17		Date: January 12, 2009
18	This Document Relates to:	Time: 9:00 a.m. Place: Courtroom 8,
19	ALL ACTIONS	San Jose Courthouse
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Attorneys At Law		STIP. AND [PROPOSED] CASE
SAN FRANCISCO	DB2/20970873.3	MANAGEMENT ORDER (NO. 06-3445)

	a	
1	WHEREAS, on January 12, 2009, the Court presided over a Case Management	
2	Conference in this action and, after discussion, directed the parties to meet and confer and to	
3	develop a schedule for addressing the particular issues outlined by the Court pertaining to	
4	settlement discussions, Lead Plaintiff's requests for documents from Nominal Defendant KLA-	
5	Tencor Corporation ("KLA-Tencor") and objections thereto (including the objection that	
6	discovery is stayed at this time), and the state of the pleadings; and,	
7	WHEREAS, the parties have met and conferred as directed by the Court,	
8	NOW, therefore, the parties agree and stipulate, subject to Court approval, as follows:	
9	1. On or before January 26, 2009, the parties shall attempt to agree upon a mediator	
10	and a date for a mediation. If the parties reach agreement on this subject, they shall so notify the	
11	Court by January 26, 2009, and the Court will order the parties to mediate as agreed. If the	
12	parties are unable to reach agreement upon the mediator or mediation schedule, the parties shall	
13	file a joint statement summarizing their respective positions and identifying all proposed	
14	mediators and dates, and the Court will thereafter issue an order appointing a mediator and setting	
15	a deadline for completion of mediation.	
16	2. Without deciding any issues pertaining to the appropriateness and proper scope of	
17	discovery at this time, if any, all of which issues are expressly reserved, the following schedule	
18	shall govern Lead Plaintiff's proposed request for documents from KLA-Tencor:	
19	a. On or before January 26, 2009, Lead Plaintiff shall serve a request for	
20	documents upon KLA-Tencor.	
21	b. On or before February 17, 2009, KLA-Tencor shall serve a response and	
22	any objections to Lead Plaintiff's request for documents.	
23	c. Counsel for Lead Plaintiff and KLA-Tencor shall meet and confer and	
24	attempt to resolve in good faith any disagreements regarding KLA-Tencor's response to Lead	
25	Plaintiff's request for documents.	
26	d. On or before March 2, 2009, Lead Plaintiff shall file its motion to compel,	
27	if any, regarding KLA-Tencor's response and objections to Lead Plaintiff's request for	
28 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	documents.	
ATTORNEYS AT LAW San Francisco	DB2/20970873.31STIP. AND [PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER (NO. 06-3445)	

1	e. This schedule is with	nout prejudice to any party's right to object to
2	document requests or production, in whole	or in part, on any ground; shall not preclude any party
3	from seeking additional relief from the Cou	art with respect to discovery matters; and shall not
4	preclude any party from seeking additional	discovery at a later date.
5	3. The parties shall report on the	neir progress at the next Case Management
6	Conference, which will be on April 6, 2009	at 10:00 a.m. The parties shall file a Joint Case
7	Management Statement on or before March	n 30, 2009.
8	4. Defendants' obligation to re	spond to the operative complaint (the Amended
9	Consolidated Verified Shareholder Derivat	ive Complaint filed by Lead Plaintiff on February 20,
10	2007) is suspended until a date established as set forth below. The parties are encouraged to	
11	discuss the claims as to each Defendant informally. The expectation is that Lead Plaintiff will	
12	amend the operative complaint if the case is not settled (although there is no requirement that	
13	Lead Plaintiff do so) before any response thereto is required. Absent further order of the Court,	
14	the Defendants shall file and serve their res	ponsive pleading(s) or motions in response to the
15	operative complaint 45 days after (a) the date any amended complaint is filed; or (b) the date	
16	Lead Plaintiff files and serves a notice stating its intent not to amend the operative complaint.	
17	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
18	DATED: January 13, 2009	<b>MORGAN, LEWIS &amp; BOCKIUS LLP</b> JOHN H. HEMANN
19		JOSEPH E. FLOREN
20		<b>D</b> <sub>V</sub> , /c/
21		By: <u>/s/</u> Joseph E. Floren
22		One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105-1126
23		Telephone: (415) 442-1000 Facsimile: (415) 442-1001
24		Attorneys for Defendants Jeffrey L. Hall,
25 26		Richard P. Wallace, John H. Kispert, Stephen P. Kaufman, and Nominal Defendant KLA-
26 27		Tencor Corporation
28		
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys At Law	DB2/20970873.3	2 STIP. AND [PROPOSED] CASE

SAN FRANCISCO

1	I, Joseph E. Floren, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this	
2	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I	
3	hereby attest that each of the 8 signatories	identified below has concurred in this filing.
4		
5	DATED January 13, 2009	COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP
6		SHAWN A. WILLIAMS CHRISTOPHER WOOD
7		
8		<u>/s/</u>
9		SHAWN A. WILLIAMS
10		100 Pine Street, Suite 2600 San Francisco, CA 94111
11		Telephone: 415/288-4545 415/288-4534 (fax)
12		COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER
13		RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP DARREN J. ROBBINS
14		TRAVIS E. DOWNS III 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900
15		San Diego, CA 92101-3301 Telephone: 619/231-1058
16		619/231-7423 (fax)
10		Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs
18	DATED: January 13, 2009	FENWICK & WEST LLP
19	DATED. January 13, 2009	KEVIN P. MUCK
		DEAN S. KRISTY
20		By: <u>/s/</u> Kevin P. Muck
21		Kevin P. Muck
22		555 California Street, 12th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104
23		Tel. 415-875-2300 Fax: 415-281-1350
24		
25		Attorneys for Defendants Edward W. Barnholt, H. Raymond Bingham, Robert J. Boehlke, Robert T. Bond, Richard J. Elkus, Jr., Leo
26		Chamberlain, and Lida Urbanek
27		
28 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Attorneys At Law San Francisco	DB2/20970873.3	3 STIP. AND [PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER (NO. 06-3445)
		WANAGEWENT OKDEK (NO. 00-3445)

1	DATED: January 13, 2009	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE
2		LLP WALTER F. BROWN, JR.
3		ROBERT P. VARIAN
4		By: <u>/s/</u> Robert P. Varian
5		405 Howard Street
6		San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel. 415-773-5700
7		Fax: 415-773-5759
8		Attorneys for Defendant Gary Dickerson
9		
10		
11	DATED: January 13, 2009	SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP PATRICK D. ROBBINS
12		JEFFREY S. FACTER EMILY GRIFFEN
13		
14		By: <u>/s/</u> Emily Griffen
15		525 Market Street, Suite 1500
16		San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 616-1100
17		Facsimile: (415) 616-1199
18		Attorneys for Defendant Kenneth Levy
19 20		
20 21		
21		
22		
23		
25		
26		
27		
28 Morgan, Lewis &		
BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys At Law San Francisco	DB2/20970873.3	4 STIP. AND [PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER (NO. 06-3445)

1		
2	DATED: January 13, 2009	LAW OFFICE OF MARK A. BELNICK, LLC
3		MARK A. BELNICK (admitted pro hac vice)
4		By: /s/
5		By: <u>/s/</u> Mark A. Belnick
		120 West 45th Street, Suite 1700B
6		New York, NY 10036 Telephone: 646-453-2901
7		Fax: 646-453-2908
8		CHRISTOPHER D. KERCHER (pro hac vice) AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP
9		590 Madison Avenue
10		New York, NY 10022 Tel 212-872-1000
11		STEVEN S. KAUFHOLD
12		AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP 580 California Street, 15th Floor
13		San Francisco, CA 94104
		Tel: 415-765-9500 Fax: 415-765-9501
14		Pax. 415-705-9501
15		Attorneys for Defendant Stuart J. Nichols
16		
17	DATED: January 13, 2009	<b>RAMSEY &amp; EHRLICH LLP</b> ISMAIL RAMSEY
18		MILES F. EHRLICH
19		
20		By: /s/ Ismail Ramsey
		803 Hearst Avenue
21		Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: 510-548-3600
22		-
23		Attorneys for Defendant Arthur Schnitzer
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 Morgan, Lewis &		
BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys At Law		5 STIP. AND [PROPOSED] CASE

1 2 3	DATED: January 13, 2009	<b>DLA PIPER US LLP</b> SHIRLI FABBRI WEISS DAVID A. PRIEBE
3		By: /s/
5		By: <u>/s/</u> David A. Priebe
6		2000 University Avenue East Palo Alto, California 94303
7		Telephone: (650) 833-2056 Facsimile : (650) 833-2001
8		Attorneys for Defendant Kenneth L. Schroeder
9		·
10		
11	DATED: January 13, 2009	HOGAN AND HARTSON LLP MICHAEL J. SHEPARD
12		MEGAN DIXON
13		By: /s/ Megan Dixon
14		Megan Dixon
15		4 Embarcadero Center 22nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111
16		Phone: (415) 374.2300 Fax: (415) 374.2499
17		Attorneys for Defendant Jon D. Tompkins
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Attorneys At Law San Francisco	DB2/20970873.3	6 STIP. AND [PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER (NO. 06-3445)

1	<u>O R D E R</u>	
2	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, AND GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS	
3	HEREBY ORDERED THAT:	
4	1. On or before January 26, 2009, the parties shall attempt to agree upon a mediator	
5	and a date for a mediation. If the parties reach agreement on this subject, they shall so notify the	
6	Court by January 26, 2009, and the Court will order the parties to mediate as agreed. If the	
7	parties are unable to reach agreement upon the mediator or mediation schedule, the parties shall	
8	file a joint statement summarizing their respective positions and identifying all proposed	
9	mediators and dates, and the Court will thereafter issue an order appointing a mediator and setting	
10	a deadline for completion of mediation.	
11	2. Without deciding any issues pertaining to the appropriateness and proper scope of	
12	discovery at this time, if any, all of which issues are expressly reserved, the following schedule	
13	shall govern Lead Plaintiff's proposed request for documents from KLA-Tencor:	
14	a. On or before January 26, 2009, Lead Plaintiff shall serve a request for	
15	documents upon KLA-Tencor.	
16	b. On or before February 17, 2009, KLA-Tencor shall serve a response and	
17	any objections to Lead Plaintiff's request for documents.	
18	c. Counsel for Lead Plaintiff and KLA-Tencor shall meet and confer and	
19	attempt to resolve in good faith any disagreements regarding KLA-Tencor's response to Lead	
20	Plaintiff's request for documents.	
21	d. On or before March 2, 2009, Lead Plaintiff shall file its motion to compel,	
22	if any, regarding KLA-Tencor's response and objections to Lead Plaintiff's request for	
23	documents.	
24	e. This schedule is without prejudice to any party's right to object to	
25	document requests or production, in whole or in part, on any ground; shall not preclude any party	
26	from seeking additional relief from the Court with respect to discovery matters; and shall not	
27	preclude any party from seeking additional discovery at a later date.	
28 Morgan, Lewis &	3. The parties shall report on their progress at the next Case Management	
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys At Law San Francisco	DB2/20970873.3 7 STIP. AND [PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER (NO. 06-3445)	

Conference, which will be on April 6, 2009 at 10:00 a.m. The parties shall file a Joint Case
Management Statement on or before March 30, 2009.

3 4. Defendants' obligation to respond to the operative complaint (the Amended 4 Consolidated Verified Shareholder Derivative Complaint filed by Lead Plaintiff on February 20, 5 2007) is suspended until a date established as set forth below. The parties are encouraged to 6 discuss the claims as to each Defendant informally. The expectation is that Lead Plaintiff will 7 amend the operative complaint if the case is not settled (although there is no requirement that 8 Lead Plaintiff do so) before any response thereto is required. Absent further order of the Court, 9 the Defendants shall file and serve their responsive pleading(s) or motions in response to the 10 operative complaint 45 days after (a) the date any amended complaint is filed; or (b) the date 11 Lead Plaintiff files and serves a notice stating its intent not to amend the operative complaint.

## SO ORDERED.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

DATED: January 16, 2009

allhe

Hon James Ware United States District Judge

28 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Attorneys At Law San Francisco