1 2 3 4 5	BORIS FELDMAN, State Bar No. 128838 RODNEY G. STRICKLAND, State Bar No. WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSAT Professional Corporation 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Telephone: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100		4				
6 7 8 9	CLAYTON BASSER-WALL, State Bar No WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSA' Professional Corporation 8911 Capital of Texas Highway North Westech 360, Suite 3210 Austin, TX 78759-8497 Telephone: (512) 338-5407 Facsimile: (512) 338-5499		00				
10111213	Attorneys for Nominal Defendant McAfee, Inc. and Individual Defendants Robert Bucknam, Leslie Denend, Robert Dutkowsky, Dale Fuller, Denis O'Leary, Robert Pangia, and Liane Wilson						
14151617	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION						
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	IN RE MCAFEE, INC. DERIVATIVE LITIGATION This Document Relates To: ALL ACTIONS		Master File No. 5:06-cv-03484-JF STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE ADJUDICATION OF REMAINING CLAIMS				

McAfee Inc. ("McAfee" or "the Company"), Lead Plaintiffs, and certain of the Individual Defendants -- George Samenuk ("Samenuk"), Kevin Weiss ("Weiss"), and Kent Roberts ("Roberts"); collectively, the "Non-Settling Defendants" -- hereby submit this Stipulation and agreement concerning the claims against Samenuk, Weiss and Roberts in the above-captioned matter.

WHEREAS, on October 1, 2008, Lead Plaintiffs, McAfee and all of the individual defendants except the Non-Settling Defendants filed a Stipulation of Settlement with the Court memorializing their agreement resolving all claims in the above-captioned matter except those against the Non-Settling Defendants (Dkt. No. 12);

WHEREAS, the Stipulation of Settlement provides that following final approval of the settlement, McAfee shall, in the first instance, have the right to pursue directly its claims against the Non-Settling Defendants, *see* Stipulation of Settlement, Sections 3.1(d), 5.1; and, in fact, McAfee has already advanced claims and counterclaims against Samenuk and Weiss;

WHEREAS, on October 16, 2008, the Court granted preliminary approval of the proposed settlement (Dkt. No. 130);

WHEREAS, subsequent to October 16, 2008, McAfee, through the Mediator who had supervised the prior settlement discussions (former U.S. District Court Judge Layn Phillips), asked that Lead Plaintiffs agree to a plan for adjudication of the remaining claims against the Non-Settling Defendants; specifically, upon final approval of the settlement, the remaining claims against the Non-Settling Defendants would be dismissed without prejudice to any claims that McAfee has against any of the Non-Settling Defendants and without prejudice to any claims that the Non-Settling Defendants may have against McAfee (the "Adjudication Plan");

WHEREAS, McAfee believes that the proposed Adjudication Plan is in the best interests of McAfee and its shareholders because (i) dismissal of the derivative action would reduce McAfee's exposure for the Non-Settling Defendants' defense and related attorneys' fees and costs under those defendants' indemnification agreements with McAfee; (ii) McAfee has pursued claims against two of the Non-Settling Defendants that subsume the claims that would

survive the proposed settlement and there is no need for the burden and expense of duplicative litigation; and (iii) dismissal of the remaining claims in this lawsuit would enable McAfee to freely and fully assert counterclaims against the Non-Settling Defendants in arbitrations;

WHEREAS, during late October 2008 and early November 2008, counsel for McAfee, Lead Plaintiffs and the Non-Settling Defendants each conferred separately with Judge Phillips and negotiated with one another regarding the proposed Adjudication Plan;

WHEREAS, after negotiations and deliberations aided by Judge Phillips, McAfee, Lead Plaintiffs, and the Non-Settling Defendants are now satisfied that the proposed Adjudication Plan does not compromise the Company's ability to pursue any claims against the Non-Settling Defendants and would not compromise any claims that the Non-Settling Defendants may have against McAfee;

WHEREAS, because the Adjudication Plan (i) would not compromise McAfee's ability to pursue any claims against the Non-Settling Defendants; and based on McAfee's representations, (ii) will directly benefit McAfee in the manner set forth above, Lead Plaintiffs have agreed to accede to McAfee's request that, upon final approval of the Settlement, Lead Plaintiffs will dismiss the remaining claims against the Non-Settling Defendants, without prejudice to any claims and/or counterclaims that McAfee has pursued or may pursue against them;

WHEREAS, because the proposed Adjudication Plan would not compromise the Non-Settling Defendants' ability to pursue any claims that they may have against McAfee while eliminating the burden of duplicative litigation, the Non-Settling Defendants have agreed to the Adjudication Plan as well; and

WHEREAS, all parties agree that this development does not alter any other terms of the Stipulation of Settlement; and, therefore, believe that the schedule previously entered by the Court for final approval need not be altered.

IT IS THEREFORE STIPULATED AND AGREED by McAfee, Lead Plaintiffs and the Non-Settling Defendants, through their respective counsel of record, that, upon final approval of

1	the settlement, Lead Plaintiffs will dismiss the remaining derivative claims against Non-Settling						
2	Defendants Roberts, Samenuk, and Weiss. This dismissal shall not prejudice any claims that						
3	McAfee has against any of the Non-Settling Defendants and shall not prejudice any claims that						
4	any Non-Settling Defendant may have against McAfee.						
5							
6		By: /s/ Rodney G. Strickland, Jr.					
7		Boris Feldman Rodney G. Strickland, Jr. WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI					
8		Professional Corporation 550 Page Mill Road					
9		Palo Alto, CA 94304 Felephone: (650) 493-9300					
10		Facsimile: (650) 493-6811					
11		Attorneys for Nominal Defendant McAfee, Inc. and Individual Defendants					
12		Eric Brown, Robert Bucknam, Leslie Denend, Robert Dutkowsky, Dale Fuller, Denis O'Leary,					
13		Robert Pangia, and Liane Wilson					
14	Dated: December 30, 2008	By:/s/ R. James Slaughter					
15		Elliot R. Peters R. James Slaughter					
16		KEKER & VAN NEST LLP 710 Sansome Street					
17	3	San Francisco, CA 94111 relephone: (415) 391-5400					
18		Facsimile: (415) 397-7188					
19		Attorneys for Individual Defendant Geroge Samenuk					
20	·	3					
21		By:/s/ N. Scott Fletcher N. Scott Fletcher					
22		VINSON & ELKINS LLP 1001 Fannin – Suite 2500					
23		Houston, TX 77002-6760 Felephone: (713) 758-3234					
24]	Facsimile: (713) 615-5168					
25		Attorneys for Individual Defendant Kevin Weiss					
26							
27		By:/s/ William Freeman William S. Freeman					
28	-3- STIDLIL ATION AND [PRODOSED] ODDED DE ADUIDICATION OF PEMADING CLAIMS						
- 1	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE ADJUDICATION OF REMAINING CLAIMS						

1	COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP Five Palo Alto Square					
2	3000 El Camino Real Palo Alto, CA 94306-2155					
3	Telephone: (650) 843-5000 Facsimile: (650) 857-0663					
4	Attorneys for Individual Defendant					
5	Kent Roberts					
6	Dated: December 30, 2008 By:/s/ Shawn A. Williams					
7	Shawn A. Williams LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER					
8	RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP 100 Pine Street, Ste. 2600					
9	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 288-4545					
10	Facsimile: (415) 288-4534					
11	Travis E. Downs III Benny C.Goodman III					
12	Thomas G. Wilhelm					
13	LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP					
14	655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101					
15	Telephone: (619) 231-1058 Facsimile: (619) 231-7423					
16	BARROWAY TOPAZ KESSLER METZLER					
17	& CHECK, LLP Eric L. Zagar					
18	Robin Winchester James H. Miller					
19	280 King of Prussia Road Radnor, PA 19087					
20	Telephone: 610/667-7706 610/667-7056 (fax)					
21	Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs					
22	Co-Lead Courser for 1 fainting					
23	* * *					
24	ORDER					
25						
	PURSUANT TO THE FOREGOING STIPULATION, and for good cause, upon final					
26	approval of the settlement, Lead Plaintiffs shall dismiss the remaining derivative claims against					
27	Non-Settling Defendants Roberts, Samenuk, and Weiss. Lead Plaintiffs' dismissal of the claims					
28						

	11								
1	against the Non-Settling Defendants shall not prejudice any claims that McAfee has against any								
2	of the Non-Settling Defendants and shall not prejudice any claims that any Non-Settling								
3	Defendant may have against McAfee.								
4	IT	IS SO ORDERED.							
5	DATED:	1/5/09	/09		* MY				
6	DATED.		··	THE HONORABLE JEREMY FOGEL UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE					
7				·	O BIA BES DISTRIC	JI JODGE			
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
2425									
26									
27									
28				-5-					
	i .								