

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES)
AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED, et al.,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
v.)
)
INTERNATIONAL GAME)
TECHNOLOGY, et al.,)
)
Defendants.)
_____)

Case No.: C 06-03717 RMW (PSG)
FURTHER INTERIM ORDER

On November 12, 2010, Judge Trumbull ordered Plaintiffs Aristocrat Technologies, Australia Pty Limited and Aristocrat Technologies, Inc. (collectively “Aristocrat”) to submit documents to the court for *in camera* review. Among the documents Aristocrat submitted were documents that contain redactions, which would appear to undermine the whole point of conducting an *in camera* review.¹

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Aristocrat shall submit to the court unredacted versions of the redacted documents previously submitted for *in camera* review. Aristocrat shall comply with

_____ ¹ See e.g., Document Nos. 1406–1434.

1 this order no later than March 29, 2011 at 5 p.m.

2 Dated: March 28, 2011

3 
4 PAUL S. GREWAL
5 United States Magistrate Judge
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28