

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN JOSE DIVISION
11

12 ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES
13 AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED, et al.,

14 Plaintiffs,

15 v.

16 INTERNATIONAL GAME
17 TECHNOLOGY, et al.,

18 Defendants.
19

20 AND RELATED CROSS-ACTION
21

Case No.: C 06-3717 RMW (PVT)

**INTERIM ORDER RE PLAINTIFFS'
EXPEDITED MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS' DOCUMENT
REQUEST NOS. 3, 18, 22, 27-29 AND 32-35**

(Re: Docket No. 711)


22 On April 28, 2010, the parties submitted a joint letter brief regarding the Plaintiffs' pending
23 Expedited Motion to Compel Responses to Plaintiffs' Document Request Responses Nos. 3, 18, 22,
24 27-29 and 32-35, which was filed before the case was reassigned to Magistrate Judge Trumbull for
25 discovery matters.¹ Based on the joint letter brief and the file herein,

26 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, no later than May 5, 2010, the parties shall submit to Judge
27 Trumbull's chambers (via the clerk's office) additional chambers copies of all briefs and declarations
28 they previously submitted in connection with this motion.

¹ The holding of this court is limited to the facts and the particular circumstances underlying the present motion.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiffs' request for a telephone status conference to
2 discuss the status of discovery is DENIED. Contrary to Plaintiffs' assertion, discovery was not open
3 when Judge Whyte stayed the case. While the parties requested the stay on November 19, 2009,
4 Judge Whyte did not order the stay until December 23, 2009, *after* the December 11, 2009 discovery
5 cut-off. Nothing in the order staying the case made the stay retroactive. On the contrary, the order
6 states that the matter "shall be, and hereby is stayed," indicating that the stay began the day Judge
7 Whyte issued the order. This order is without prejudice to Plaintiffs moving to reopen discovery.
8 Plaintiffs may make their motion on two weeks notice. Any opposition shall be filed at least one
9 week before the noticed hearing date.²

10 Dated: *April 29, 2010*


PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL
United States Magistrate Judge

27 ² Unless the requested period of discovery would actually interfere with matters unrelated
28 to discovery on Judge Whyte's calendar, any such motion should be noticed on the calendar of the
assigned Magistrate Judge. Judge Trumbull hears law and motion on Tuesdays at 10:00 a.m. Briefs
filed in connection with motions on Judge Trumbull's calendar shall be in proper format, not letter
briefs.