
Shloss v. Sweet	nev et al
-----------------	-----------



28

Dockets.Justia.com

Preliminary Pretrial Conference Statements (¶ 11)

Deadline for parties to contact Court's ADR Program to select

(Due 10 days before conference)

and schedule ADR procedure (¶ 15) (15 days after the date of this Order)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

None of the dates set in this order may be changed without an order of the court made after a motion is duly filed and made pursuant to the local rules of this court.

August 29, 2008

February 26, 2007

Standing Order to Lodge Printed Copy of "ECF" Papers

1. In all cases, including cases covered by the Electronic Case Filing System of the Court "ECF," when filing papers in connection with any motion or any pretrial conference, in addition to filing the paper electronically, the filing parties shall lodge with the Clerk's Office a printed copy of the papers, in an envelop clearly marked "Chamber's Copy – Lodged for the Chambers of Judge James Ware." The "Chamber's Copy" envelop must state the case name and case number and be delivered on or before the close of the next court day following the day the papers are filed electronically. <u>See</u> Standing Order Regarding Case Management in Civil Cases.

Compliance with Discovery Plan and Reference to Magistrate Judge

2. The Court adopts the Discovery Plan proposed by the parties in their Joint Case Management Statement. The parties are ordered to comply with the discovery plan. Any disputes with respect to the implementation of the discovery plan and all disclosure or discovery disputes are referred to the assigned Magistrate Judge. In addition, any disputes pertaining to service or joinder of parties or claims are referred to the assigned Magistrate Judge.

22

Document Management During Pretrial Discovery and Electronic Evidence Presentation

This Court has available a digital and video electronic evidence presentation
system. Before commencement of pretrial discovery, the parties are ordered to familiarize
themselves with the system, and to meet and confer about whether the case will involve voluminous
documentary. If so, as the parties identify documentary material which is likely to be used as trial
exhibits, the parties are ordered to electronically store these materials in a fashion which will

28

facilitate displaying them electronically during the trial. The parties are reminded that Civil L.R. 30-2 2(b) requires sequential numbering of exhibits during depositions and that numbering must be 3 maintained for those exhibits throughout the litigation. Each proposed exhibit shall be pre-marked 4 for identification. All exhibits shall be marked with numerals. The parties shall meet and confer on 5 a division which will avoid duplication (e.g., Plaintiff: 1-99,000; Defendant #1: 100,000-299,999; Defendant #2: 300,000-500,000). 6

Disclosure of Expert Witnesses

8 4. Any party wishing to present expert witness testimony with respect to a 9 claim or a defense shall lodge with the Court and serve on all other parties the name, address, 10 qualifications, résumé and a written report which complies with Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(2)(B) 63 days **before close of discovery.** Expert witness disclosure must be made with respect to a person who is either (a) specially retained or specially employed to provide expert testimony pursuant to 12 13 Fed.R.Evid. 702 or (b) a regular employee or agent or treating physician who may be called to provide expert opinion testimony. 14

5. 15 The parties are also required to lodge any supplemental reports to which any 16 expert will testify at trial in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(2)(B).

6. 17 Any party objecting to the qualifications or proposed testimony of an expert 18 must file, serve and notice a motion to exclude the expert or any portion of the expert's testimony in 19 writing in accordance with Civil Local Rule 7-2, for hearing no later than 42 DAYS AFTER BOTH 20 EXPERT AND REBUTTAL EXPERT DISCLOSURES ON A MONDAY (LAW AND

21 MOTION DAY) at 9:00 a.m. and preferably before or on the same day as the discovery cutoff date at 9:00 a.m. 22

Rebuttal Expert Witnesses

24 7. If the testimony of the expert is intended solely to contradict or rebut opinion 25 testimony on the same subject matter identified by another party, the party proffering a rebuttal expert shall make the disclosures required by Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(2)(B), no later than 49 days prior 26 27 to discovery cutoff.

3

For the Northern District of California **United States District Court**

1

7

11

23

28

Limitation on Testimony by Expert Witnesses

2 8. Unless the parties enter into a written stipulation otherwise, upon timely 3 objection, an expert witness shall be precluded from testifying about any actions or opinions not 4 disclosed prior to the expert's deposition. This is to ensure that all factual material upon which 5 expert opinion may be based and all tests and reports are completed prior to the expert deposition. Unless application is made prior to the close of expert discovery, each party will be limited to 6 7 calling only one expert witness in each discipline involved in the case. 8 **Close of Discovery** 9. 9 Pursuant to Civil L.R. 26-2, all discovery, including supplemental disclosure, depositions of fact witness and expert witnesses, must be completed on or before the 10 deadline set forth in the Case Schedule above. 11 12 Last date for Hearing Dispositive Motions 10. The last day for hearing dispositive motions is set forth in the Case Schedule 13 above. Any motions must be noticed in accordance with the Civil Local Rules of this Court. 14 15 Preliminary Pretrial and Trial Setting Conference Statement and Proposed Order 16 11. The attorneys who will try the case are ordered to confer with one another and to file and lodge with Chambers on or before the deadline set forth in the Case Schedule above a 17 18 Preliminary Pretrial and Trial Setting Conference Statement and Proposed Order, stating their readiness for trial, the amount of time which the Court should allocate for trial and the calendar 19 20 period for the trial. 21 12. The attorneys who will try the case are ordered to appear on the date set in 22 the Case Schedule at 11:00 a.m. for a Preliminary Pretrial and Trial Setting Conference. 23 13. With respect to the time allocation for trial, at the Preliminary Pretrial and 24 Trial Setting Conference trial counsel will be asked to stipulate to a time allocation to each side for 25 the trial of the case. Once a stipulated allocation has been entered, the parties must plan their 26 presentations to conform to the stipulated time allocation. 27 28 4

1

14. With respect to the calendar period for trial, based on the time allotted to the case, a calendar period for trial will be set. In the event it becomes necessary to delay the start of trial because of the Court's calendar, the commencement date will trail from day-to day until the other matter is concluded or further order of the Court.

Alternative Dispute Resolution

15. The parties are referred to the Court's ADR Program at (415) 522-2199. No later than the deadline set forth in the <u>Case Schedule</u>, the parties shall contact the ADR Program <u>or</u> the designated Magistrate Judge to schedule a conference.

Magistrate Judge Patricia V. Trumbull:

Magistrate Judge Richard Seeborg:

Magistrate Judge Howard R. Lloyd:

Corinne Lew (408) 535-5378

Bernadette Kunkel (408) 535-5357

Patty Cromwell (408) 535-5365

JAMES WARE United States District Judge

Dated: February 9, 2007

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

	Case 5:06-cv-03718-JW Document 58 Filed 02/09/2007 Page 6 of 6	
1 2 3 4	THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO: Anthony T. Falzone <u>anthony.falzone@stanford.edu</u> Bernard A. Burk <u>bburk@howardrice.com</u> David S. Olson <u>dolson@law.stanford.edu</u> Dorothy Rebecca McLaughlin <u>dmclaughlin@kvn.com</u> Jennifer Stisa Granick <u>JENNIFER@LAW.STANFORD.EDU</u>	
5 6	Maria K. Nelson <u>mknelson@jonesday.com</u> Mark A. Lemley <u>mlemley@kvn.com</u> Matthew Mickle Werdegar <u>mmw@kvn.com</u>	
7	Dated: February 9, 2007Richard W. Wieking, Clerk	
8	By:_/s/ JW Chambers	
9	Elizabeth Garcia Courtroom Deputy	
10 11		
11		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20 21		
21		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	6	

United States District Court For the Northern District of California