Shloss v. Sweeney et al

Doc. 67

Case 5:06-cv-03718-JW Document 67 Filed 03/02/2007

Page 1 of 7

1 Lawrence Lessig Anthony T. Falzone (SBN 190845) David S. Olson (SBN 231675) 2 STANFORD LAW SCHOOL CYBERLAW CLINIC CENTER FOR INTERNET AND SOCIETY 3 559 Nathan Abbott Way Stanford, California 94305-8610 4 Telephone: (650) 724-0517 Facsimile: (650) 723-4426 5 E-mail: falzone@stanford.edu 6 ADDITIONAL COUNSEL ON SEPARATE SHEET 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff 8 Maria K. Nelson (State Bar No. 155608) 9 mknelson@jonesday.com Anna E. Raimer (State Bar No. 234794) aeraimer@jonesday.com JONES DAY 10 555 South Flower Street, Fiftieth Floor 11 Los Angeles, CA 90071-2300 Telephone: (213) 489-3939 Facsimile: (213) 243-2539 12 13 Attorneys for Defendants SEAN SWEENEY, IN HIS CAPACITY AS TRUSTEE OF THE ESTATE OF JAMES 14 JOYCE, AND THE ESTATE OF JAMES 15 **JOYCE** 16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 18 19 Case No. C 06 3718 JW HRL CAROL LOEB SHLOSS, 20 JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT Plaintiff, 21 **STATEMENT** v. 22 Date: February 12, 2007 Time: 10:00 a.m. SEAN SWEENEY, in his capacity as Place: Courtroom, Hon. James 23 trustee of the Estate of James Joyce, Ware and THE ESTATE OF JAMES 24 JOYCE, 25 Defendants. 26 27 28

Plaintiff Carol Loeb Shloss ("Shloss") and defendants Sean Sweeney and the

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

Estate of James Joyce ("Estate") jointly submit this Case Management Statement and Proposed Order.

DESCRIPTION OF THE CASE

Shloss wrote a book about Lucia Joyce, including about her influence on her father James Joyce's work *Finnegans Wake* (the "Book"). Prior to the Book's publication, the Estate contacted both Shloss and her publisher, Farrar Straus & Giroux (the "Publisher"). The Book was published in December 2003 with the title Lucia Joyce: To Dance in the Wake. In or about March 2005, Shloss notified the Estate she intended to publish a website to supplement her book, and attached a copy of that proposed website for the Estate to evaluate (the "Website"). Shloss's lawyers and the Estate's lawyers engaged in correspondence about the Website in 2005. In June 2006, Shloss commenced an action before this Court, seeking a declaratory judgment and injunctive relief that publication of the Website would not infringe any copyright of the Estate's, that the 1922 Paris first edition of Ulysses is in the public domain in the United States, that publication of the Website would constitute fair use, and that the Estate was barred from enforcing its copyrights against Shloss because it had engaged in copyright misuse and unclean hands.

After the Complaint was filed, Shloss expanded the Website to add new materials. The new materials consist of new text from Shloss, as well as quotes from works by James Joyce and Lucia Joyce. The revised version of the Website is referred to as the 2006 Website. The materials added to the Website are referred to as the "Additional Materials." Shloss had not disclosed to the Estate the Additional Materials before she filed the Complaint, but did provide the Estate with a full copy of all Additional Materials in September 2006. Shloss filed an Amended Complaint on October 25, 2006, to cover the 2006 Website and the Additional Materials. The Estate covenanted not to sue Shloss as to the Website as it existed in 2005.

LAI-2851849v1

Second CMC Statement C 06 3718 JW HRL

4

9

7

24

The parties differ as to the following:

LEGAL ISSUES IN DISPUTE

a) Whather the Additional Materials Sh

- a) Whether the Additional Materials Shloss intends to publish in her 2006 Website, if and when published, will infringe any copyrights owned or controlled by the Estate of James Joyce;
- b) Whether Shloss's use of Defendants' copyrighted materials is protected by fair use:
- c) Whether the Estate is prevented from enforcing the copyrights at issue against Shloss by virtue of copyright misuse or unclean hands;
- d) Whether James Joyce's 1922 Paris first edition of *Ulysses* is in the public domain in the United States; and
- e) Whether either party should be awarded attorney's fees and costs for the lawsuit.

MOTION PRACTICE

The Estate contends that the Estate itself is not a proper party to the action because it has no capacity to sue or be sued. Further, the Estate may file a motion for summary judgment on Shloss' affirmative defenses of copyright misuse and unclean hands.

The parties believe that the issues of copyright infringement or fair use of the Additional Materials can be substantially narrowed, if not resolved altogether, by motion practice.

The parties currently are discussing whether a protective order is appropriate and, if so, the nature of the protective order. If that issue cannot be resolved by the parties, it may need to be resolved through motion practice.

PARTIES

All named defendants have been served with process and have appeared in this action.

Shloss contends that Stephen James Joyce may need to be joined in this dispute individually, or that the additional action filed by Shloss against him should be consolidated with this one, in order to afford complete relief to Shloss.

The Estate does not contemplate joinder of any additional parties.

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

The parties have filed a Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation. A mediator has been appointed by the Court, and mediation is scheduled for March 16, 2007.

DISCLOSURES/DISCOVERY.

The parties have agreed to exchange initial disclosures under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) on or about March 28, 2007. The parties anticipate that their initial disclosures will comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) as written.

Shloss contemplates that extensive discovery will be needed regarding the Estate's unclean hands and copyright misuse, possibly involving depositions of international witnesses.

28

2

1

4 5

6 7

8

1011

1213

14

1516

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Estate contemplates that little discovery will be needed. The Estate believes that the case will center around whether Shloss' proposed use of some or all of the Additional Materials on the 2006 Website constitutes copyright infringement or fair use. The Estate further believes that the affirmative defenses of copyright misuse and unclean hands are moot in light of the fact that no counterclaim for copyright infringement was asserted.

Shloss anticipates that the number of depositions may need to be increased to approximately fifteen (15) for each side. The Estate does not contemplate that any changes to the limitations on discovery imposed under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure should be made at this time.

The parties have agreed that no discovery will be exchanged until after mediation.

SCHEDULE

The Court entered a Scheduling Order on or about February 9, 2007. At this point in time, the parties have no comments on the Scheduling Order except to note that they already have contacted the ADR unit and have a mediation scheduled for March 16, 2007.

TRIAL SCHEDULE

Shloss believes that a trial of 7 to 10 days will be appropriate.

1	The Estate believes that it is premature to anticipate a trial date or length of					
2 3	trial. The Estate believes that the issues either will be resolved or will be					
4	substantially narrowed by motion practice.					
5	Dated: March 2, 2007.	JONES DAY				
7		By: /s/ Maria K. Nelson				
9		Attorney for Defendants SEAN SWEENEY, IN HIS				
10 11		CAPACITY AS TRUSTEE OF THE ESTATE OF JAMES JOYCE, AND THE ESTATE OF JAMES JOYCE				
12	Dated: March 2, 2007	STANFORD LAW SCHOOL CYBERLAW CLINIC CENTER FOR				
13		INTERNET AND SOCIETY				
14 15		By /s/				
16		By/s/ Anthony Falzone				
17		Attorneys for Plaintiff CAROL LOEB SHLOSS				
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25 26						
20° 27						
28						

1	COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF, CO	NTINUED		
2	Mark A. Lamlay (SBN 155830)			
3	Mark A. Lemley (SBN 155830) Matthew M. Werdegar (SBN 2004' Dorothy McLaughlin (SBN 224018 Benedict Y. Hur (SBN 229453) KEKER & VAN NEST LLP	70) 3)		
4	Benedict Y. Hur (SBN 229453)	-,		
5	710 Sansome Street San Francisco, CA 94111			
6	Telephone: (415) 391-5400 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188			
7	Email: mwerdegar@kvn.com			
8	Bernard A. Burk (SBN 118083) Robert Spoo (admitted pro hac vice	e)		
9	Robert Spoo (admitted pro hac vice HOWARD RICE NEMEROVSKI FALK & RABKIN, P.C.	ĆANADY		
10	FALK & RABKIN, P.C. Three Embarcadero Center, 7 th Flo San Francisco, CA 94111-4024 Talanhana (415) 434, 1600	or		
11	Telephone: (415) 434-1600 Facsimile: (415) 217-5910 Email: bburk@howardrice.com			
12	Email: bburk@howardrice.com			
13	Counsel for Plaintiff			
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26			•	
27				
28				Second CMC Statement
	LAI-2851849v1	-7-		C 06 3718 JW HRL

-7-