re: Marvell Techno	ology Group Ltd. Derivative Litigation	Do	c. 108
1			
2			
3			
4			
5		<u>*E-FILED - 8/11/09*</u>	
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATI	TES DISTRICT COURT	
9		TRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		OSE DIVISION	
11	In re MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD. DERIVATIVE LITIGATION)	
12			E
13	This Document Relates To:)) DATE: July 17, 2009) TIME: 9:00 a.m.	
14	ALL ACTIONS.) COURTROOM: The Honorable	
15		Ronald M. Whyte	
16			
17 18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

C-06-03894-RMW(RS)

This matter came before the Court for hearing pursuant to the Order of this Court, dated May 21, 2009 ("Order"), on the application of the parties for approval of the proposed settlement ("Settlement") set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement dated March 12, 2009 (the "Stipulation"). Due and adequate notice having been given to current Marvell Technology Group Ltd. ("Marvell") shareholders as required in said Order, and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed of the premises and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

- 1. This Judgment incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation, and all capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action, including all matters necessary to effectuate the Settlement, and over all Settling Parties to the Action.
- 3. The Court finds that the Notice of Proposed Settlement of Derivative Action (Long-Form) and Notice of Proposed Settlement of Derivative Action (Short-Form) (the "Notice") provided to Marvell shareholders was the best notice practicable under the circumstances. The Notice fully satisfied the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.1 and the requirements of due process.
- 4. The Action and all claims contained therein, as well as all of the Released Claims, are dismissed with prejudice. Except as specifically provided for in ¶5.1 of the Stipulation, Plaintiffs shall bear their own costs. Plaintiffs shall not be responsible for any of Defendants' costs.
- 5. The Court finds that the terms of the Stipulation and Settlement are fair, reasonable and adequate as to each of the Settling Parties, and hereby finally approves the Stipulation and Settlement in all respects, and orders the Settling Parties to perform its terms to the extent the Settling Parties have not already done so.
- 6. Upon the Effective Date, as defined in the Stipulation, Marvell, Current Marvell Shareholders and the Plaintiffs (acting on their own behalf and, derivatively on behalf of Marvell) shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished and discharged the Released Claims against the Released Persons and any and all causes of action that have been or could have been asserted in the Action by Plaintiffs or any [PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE -

Current Marvell Shareholder derivatively on behalf of Marvell or Marvell, arising out of or relating to the facts, transactions, events, matters, occurrences, acts, disclosures, statements, omissions or failures to act that were alleged or could have been alleged in the Action based upon Marvell's historical stock option granting practices, through and including the date of execution of the Stipulation. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Released Claims does not include any claims asserted in a pending consolidated shareholder class action lawsuit captioned *In re Marvell Technology Group Ltd. Securities Litigation*, Case No. C-06-06286-RMW, for violations of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder (the "Class Action") or any claims to enforce the Stipulation.

- 7. Upon the Effective Date, as defined in the Stipulation, each of the Released Persons shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished and discharged each and all of the Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs' Counsel, and Current Marvell Shareholders (solely in their capacity as Marvell shareholders) from all claims (including Unknown Claims) arising out of, relating to, or in connection with, the institution, prosecution, assertion, settlement or resolution of the Action or the Released Claims. Nothing herein shall in any way impair or restrict the rights of any Settling Party to enforce the terms of the Stipulation. Further, except as provided for in ¶2.4 of the Stipulation, nothing herein shall limit or restrict in any way the rights of any Individual Defendant under Marvell's bye-laws or Bermuda law, or other applicable law, equity or contract, including without limitation any and all rights of indemnification and advancement of fees and costs.
 - 8. The Court hereby approves the Fee Award in accordance with the Stipulation.
- 9. Neither the Stipulation nor the Settlement, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Stipulation or the Settlement: (a) is or may be deemed to be or may be offered, attempted to be offered or used in any way by the Settling Parties or any other Person as a presumption, a concession or an admission of, or evidence of, any fault, wrongdoing or liability of the Settling Defendants; or of the validity of any Released Claims; or (b) is or may be deemed to be or may be offered, attempted to be offered or used in any way by the Settling Parties or any other Person or otherwise received as evidence in any other actions or IPROPOSED FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE -

[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE - C-06-03894-RMW(RS)

l l			
1	proceedings, whether civil, criminal or administrative. The Released Persons may file the		
2	Stipulation and/or this Judgment in any action that may be brought against them in order to support a		
3	defense or counterclaim based on principles of res judicata, collateral estoppel, full faith and credit,		
4	release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, or any other theory of claim preclusion or		
5	issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.		
6	10. During the course of the litigation, the Settling Parties and their respective counsel at		
7	all times complied with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11 and all other similar		
8	laws.		
9	11. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains		
10	continuing jurisdiction over the Action and the Settling Parties to enter any further orders as may be		
11	necessary to effectuate the Stipulation, the Settlement provided for therein and the provisions of this		
12	Judgment.		
13	12. This Judgment is a final, appealable judgment and should be entered forthwith by the		
14	Clerk in accordance with Rule 58, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.		
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
16	DATED: 8/11/09 Mald M. WHYTE		
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
18	Respectfully submitted,		
19	COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER		
20	RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP TRAVIS E. DOWNS III		
21	JEFFREY D. LIGHT BENNY C. GOODMAN III		
22			
23	s/Jeffrey D. Light		
24	JEFFREÝ D. LIĞHT		
25	655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101		
26	Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax)		
27			
28			

1	
2	COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP SHAWN A. WILLIAMS
3	100 Pine Street, Suite 2600
4	100 Pine Street, Suite 2600 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415/288-4545 415/288-4534 (fax)
5	SCOTT + SCOTT LLP
6	ARTHUR L. SHINGLER III 600 B Street, Suite 1500
7	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/233-4565 619/233-0508 (fax)
9	Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs
10	S:\Settlement\Marvell Deriv.set\JGT00060382.DOC
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE - C-06-03894-RMW(RS) - 4 -