IO Group, Inc. v. Veoh Networks, Inc.

```
1
                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
               NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                       SAN JOSE DIVISION
 4
 5
    IO GROUP, INC., a California )
 б
    Corporation,
 7
                                   )
                      Plaintiff,
                                   )
 8
                                   )
                                   )Case No. C-06-3926(HRL)
       vs.
 9
                                   )
    VEOH NETWORKS, Inc., a
                                   )
10
   California Corporation,
                                   )
                                   )
                      Defendant.
11
                                   )
                                   )
12
13
14
                      HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15
                DEPOSITION OF DMITRY SHAPIRO
16
                     SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA
                         MAY 21, 2007
17
18
19
20
21
22
   REPORTED BY: NICOLE R. HARNISH, CSR No. 13101
23
24
25
```

Doc. 107 Att. 3

```
1
 2
                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 3
               NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 4
                      SAN JOSE DIVISION
 5
 6
    IO GROUP, INC., a California )
 7
    Corporation,
                                  )
 8
                                  )
                     Plaintiff,
                                  )
 9
                                  )
                                  )Case No. C-06-3926(HRL)
       vs.
10
                                  )
    VEOH NETWORKS, Inc., a
                                  )
11
   California Corporation,
                                  )
                                  )
12
                     Defendant.
                                  )
                                  )
13
14
15
16
                DEPOSITION OF DMITRY SHAPIRO,
    taken by the Plaintiff, commencing at the hour of
17
    2:00 p.m., on Monday, May 21, 2007, at
18
    530 B Street, Suite 350, San Diego, California,
19
20 before Nicole R. Harnish, Certified Shorthand
21 Reporter in and for the State of California.
22
23
24
25
```

1 APPEARANCES:

```
2
 3
        For the Plaintiff:
 4
              GILL SPERLEIN
              GENERAL COUNSEL
 5
              TITAN MEDIA.COM
              BY: GILL SPERLEIN, ESQ.
 б
              584 Castro Street, Suite 849
              San Francisco, California 94114
 7
        For the Defendant:
 8
 9
              WINSTON & STRAWN
              BY: JENNIFER A. GOLINVEAUX, ESQ.
10
              101 California Street
              San Francisco, California 94111
11
12
         Also Present: Keith Ruoff
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 of the content group?

2 Α. I am actually not sure if there were any other deals. 3 4 Q. After the content group was formed, have 5 they made deals to put content on the Veoh system? 6 A. Yes, they have. 7 Ο. Can you give me a few examples of some of the deals that you might consider to be one of the 8 more important ones? 9 10 Α. Sure. 11 CBS, Us Magazine, Road and Track Magazine, Car and Driver Magazine, United Talent Agency. 12 13 Q. Are all of those deals similar to the 14 Turner deal in that there's no payment by one side or 15 the other for the transaction? Yes, I believe so. 16 Α. 17 What content did CBS have a deal to -ο. So it is not launched yet. It is a new 18 Α. 19 deal for us, but it is shows from CBS. 20 Q. Do you want this portion to be marked? 21 MS. GOLINVEAUX: I was going to ask should 22 this -- would you like this portion to be designated 23 confidential? Is this public knowledge? 24 THE WITNESS: No. It is public knowledge. 25 It has been announced.

37

1 MR. SPERLEIN: Thank you. 2 BY MR. SPERLEIN: 3 Q. Have the shows been selected or will that 4 be determined later? 5 Α. I believe that will be determined later. 6 MS. GOLINVEAUX: Mr. Sperlein, if I could 7 just clarify for the record that Mr. Shapiro is testifying in response to four of the topics noticed 8 in the 30B6 notice. Those are 7, 11, 18, and 19, 9 just so that is clear on the record. 10 MR. SPERLEIN: Okay. 11 12 MS. GOLINVEAUX: Thanks. 13 BY MR. SPERLEIN: 14 In those instances when Veoh was actually Ο. 15 uploading the video content on the Veoh system for content that they've obtained from what I will refer 16 to as third-party content providers, does Veoh also 17 have to then enter metadata information such as title 18 19 and description and those things? 20 MS. GOLINVEAUX: Object to the form of the 21 question. I believe it assumes facts not yet in 22 evidence for this deposition. 23 THE WITNESS: When you upload a video, you 24 have to enter -- yes, metadata, title, description, 25 et cetera.

38

1 BY MR. SPERLEIN:

2 Q. Okay. So if the content is being uploaded 3 by Veoh, then Veoh would have to also enter the 4 Metadata; is that correct? 5 A. Yes. I believe it has to be done at the б time of upload. 7 Q. Thank you. 8 Are -- the deals that we've been discussing generally require a written agreement? 9 10 MS. GOLINVEAUX: Object to the form of the question. 11 BY MR. SPERLEIN: 12 13 Q. Do any of these -- do any of the deals that you have specifically mentioned this afternoon, are 14 any of them represented by a written document for 15 that deal? 16 17 Α. Yes. And for what purpose does Veoh seek to 18 Q. 19 obtain additional content from third-party providers? 20 MS. GOLINVEAUX: Object to the form of the 21 question. BY MR. SPERLEIN: 22 23 Q. You can go ahead and answer. 24 A. It is primarily for -- to be associated 25 with just brands. So PR purposes, brand association.

39

N. V. W. W. A. W. W. W. B. W. B.

And a lot of the lot of the lot of the

. . . .

1	I, NICOLE R. HARNISH, Certified Shorthand Reporter
2	for the State of California, do hereby certify:
3	
4	That the witness in the foregoing deposition was by
5	me first duly sworn to testify to the truth, the
6	whole truth and nothing but the truth in the
7	foregoing cause; that the deposition was taken by me
8	in machine shorthand and later transcribed into
9	typewriting, under my direction, and that the
10	foregoing contains a true record of the testimony of
11	the witness.
12	a th
13	Dated: This Of day of June 2007
14	at San Diego, California. *
15	
16	
17	• 12 11
18	MK-47.
19	NICOLE R. HARNISH
20	C.S.R. NO. 13101
21	
22	
23	
24	:
25	

PETERSON REPORTING, VIDEO & LITIGATION SERVICES