Group, Inc. v. V	oh Networks, Inc.		Doc. 23
	Case 5:06-cv-03926-HRL Document 23	Filed 09/22/2006 Page 1 of 4	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	GILL SPERLEIN (172887) THE LAW FIRM OF GILL SPERLEIN 584 Castro Street, Suite 849 San Francisco, California 94114 Telephone: (415) 487-1211 X32 Facsimile: (415) 252-7747 legal@titanmedia.com Attorney for Plaintiff IO GROUP, INC.	*ORDER E-FILED ON 9/22/06*	
8			
9 10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION		
11)	
12) CASE NO. C-06-3926 (HRL)	
13	IO GROUP, INC., a California corporation,)	
14) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]) ORDER FOR FURTHER EXTENSION 	
15	Plaintiff,) OF TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO	
	VS.) RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE) OF RELATED CASES; AND TO RESET 	-
16	VEOH NETWORKS, Inc, a California) DATES RELATING TO CASE	
17	Corporation,) MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE.	
18	Defendant.)	
19)	
20)	
21			
22	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, Plaintiff Io Group, Inc. and Defendant Veoh Network,		
23	Inc., hereby stipulate that the Court will refrain from ruling as to whether or not <i>Io Group, Inc. v.</i>		
24	Veoh, Networks, Inc., C-06-3926 (HRL), Io Group, Inc. v. Data Conversions, Inc, C-06-065162		
25	(HRL), and <i>Io Group, Inc. v. Webnovas Technologies, Inc. and Gonetmarket, Inc</i> , C-06-5334 (JSW) are related until after October 19, 2006 when the defendants in the other actions have had		
26	sufficient opportunity to file an opposition or no		
27		e Case Management Conference and related	
28	deadlines, taking them off calendar until the Co	-	
20	case are related.	are this made its raining us to whether of not the	

10

-1-

The extension of time is necessary to ensure that defendants have an opportunity to be heard on the issue relatedness and to ensure the efficient use of the Court's time.

WHEREAS Plaintiff Io Group, Inc. filed a Notice of Related Cases in this matter on September 11, 2006, seeking to relate cases *Io Group, Inc. v. Veoh, Networks, Inc.*, C-06-3926 (HRL), *Io Group, Inc. v. Data Conversions, Inc*, C-06-065162 (HRL), and *Io Group, Inc. v. Webnovas Technologies, Inc. and Gonetmarket, Inc*, C-06-5334 (JSW).

WHEREAS under stipulation an answer or other response is not due from Webnovas Technologies, Inc and Gonetmarket, Inc until October 10, 2006 and from Data Conversions, Inc. until October 19, 2006.

WHEREAS defendants Webnovas Technologies, Inc., Gonetmarket, Inc., and DataConversions, Inc. should have an opportunity to respond to the Notice of Related Cases before thisCourt issues its order; and

WHEREAS it would be an inefficient use of the time of the parties and the Court to prepare and hold the Case Management Conference until after the Court has ruled as to whether or not *Io Group, Inc. v. Veoh, Networks, Inc.*, C-06-3926 (HRL), *Io Group, Inc. v. Data Conversions, Inc*, C-06-065162 (HRL), and *Io Group, Inc. v. Webnovas Technologies, Inc. and Gonetmarket, Inc*, C-06-5334 (JSW) are related.

20 21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

The parties do therefore stipulate and agree as follows:

22

23

24

25

26

1. The Court will rule whether or not *Io Group, Inc. v. Veoh, Networks, Inc.*, C-06-3926 (HRL), *Io Group, Inc. v. Data Conversions, Inc*, C-06-065162 (HRL), and *Io Group, Inc. v. Webnovas Technologies, Inc. and Gonetmarket, Inc*, C-06-5334 (JSW) are related after October 19, 2006 so that all defendants have sufficient time to file an opposition or notice of nonopposition.

27

28

2. The Case Management Conference currently calendared for October 10, 2006 will be taken off calendar and re-calendared after the Court has ruled as to whether or not *Io Group*, *Inc. v. Veoh*, *Networks*, *Inc.*, C-06-3926 (HRL), *Io Group*, *Inc. v. Data Conversions*, *Inc*, C-06-065162 (HRL), and *Io Group*, *Inc. v. Webnovas Technologies*, *Inc. and Gonetmarket*, *Inc*, C-06-5334 (JSW) are related.

6 3. The related deadlines (Deadline for ADR Selection, Deadline to Meet and Confer 7 regarding Initial Disclosures and Deadline for filing Case Management Conference Statement) 8 will be re-calendared once a date has been selected for the Case Management Conference. 9 10 SO STIPULATED. 11 12 Dated: September 21, 2006 /s/ Gill Sperlein 13 Gill Sperlein (CA Bar Number 172887) 14 THE LAW FIRM OF GILL SPERLEIN Attorney's for Plaintiff 15 16 17 18 Dated: September 21, 2006 /s/ Paul M. Fakler Paul M. Fakler (pro hoc vice) 19 **THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP**

Attorney's for Defendant

25
26 Dated: September 21, 2006

1

2

3

4

5

20

21

22

23

24

27

28

<u>/s/ Gill Sperlein</u> GILL SPERLEIN, Counsel for Plaintiff Io Group, Inc.

I hereby attest pursuant to Northern District of California General Order No. 45 that the

concurrence to the filing of this document has been obtained from each signatory hereto.

	Case 5:06-cv-03926-HRL Document 23 Filed 09/22/2006 Page 4 of 4		
1			
2			
3	[PROPOSED] ORDER		
4 5	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Plaintiff's administrative motion		
6	for a related case determination will be deemed submitted for decision as of October 20, 2006.		
7	for a related case determination will be deemed submitted for decising as of October 20, 2000.		
8	Dated:September 22, 2006 HONOLABLE HOWARD L. LLOYD		
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25 26			
20			
28			
-			
	-4-		