IO Group, Inc. v.	Veoh Networks, Inc.

ic. v. V	oh Networks, Inc.				Doc. 8	5			
	Case 5:06-cv-03926-HRL	Document 85	Filed 08/14/2007	Page 1 of 3					
1	GILL SPERLEIN (172887)								
2	GILL SPERLEIN (172887) THE LAW FIRM OF GILL SPERLEIN								
3	584 Castro Street, Suite 849 San Francisco, California 94	114							
4	Telephone: (415) 487-1211 X32								
5	Facsimile: (415) 252-7747 legal@titanmedia.com								
6	Attorney for Plaintiff								
7	IO GROUP, INC.								
8									
9	τ	INITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT						
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION								
11		5111 3051							
12	IO GROUP, INC., a Californi	a corporation,) CASE NO. C-06-	3926 (HRL)					
13)) DECLARATION	OF KEITH RUOFF	IN				
14	Plaintiff,) SUPPORT OF P	LAINTIFF'S O DEFENDANT'S					
15	VS.		/	UMMARY JUDGMI	ENT				
16	VEOH NETWORKS, Inc., a	California)						
17	Corporation,)) DATE: Septembe	r 4 2007					
18	Defendant.) TIME: 10:00 a.m	l.					
19) COURTROOM: 2	2					
20			- ^						
21	I, KEITH RUOFF, declare:								
22	1. I am Vice Presiden	t of Plaintiff in thi	s matter, Io Group, Inc						
23	2. Io Group is a small	l business subject t	o extensive governmen	nt regulation due to the					
24	nature of the content it produc	es. Because the ad	ult film industry has hi	storically been relucta	nt to				
25	protect its creative works, the	industry as a whol	e was ill prepared to fa	ce the new challenges	that				
26									
27	arose in the age of the Internet. Even in an era that may be perceived as accepting of erotic								
28	material, many consumers turn	n to piracy in order	to obtain such materia	a not only freely, but a	180				
	-1- RUOFF DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION TO								
]	DEFENDANT'S MOTION	FOR SUMMARY JUDGN C-06-3926 (
				Dockets	Justia.con	n			

anonymously. Unlike the motion picture and recording industries, the adult entertainment industry has no trade association working collectively to protect creative works from piracy.

3. Recognizing that piracy would have a devastating impact on its business and having no support elsewhere, Io Group several years ago began allotting considerable resources towards antipiracy measures including, but not limited to, bringing litigation.

7 8

9

10

18

19

20

21

22

23

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

4. A majority of the actions plaintiff brings result in uncollectible default judgments. Only a few actions resulted in recoveries greater than the cost of bringing the action. The efforts are designed to deter other would-be infringers, not to generate income.

5. One the elements of Io Group's anti piracy is to strictly control the distribution of its
 works. Io Group only grants licenses to distribute its content in very limited and very defined
 circumstances. While Io Group has entered licensing agreements to distribute its works on the
 Internet, those agreements limit the distribution to the websites of Io Group's licensees. Io has
 always explicitly prohibited distribution for any other form of Internet distribution. Io Group has
 never granted permission or authority to anyone to make its works available on www.veoh.com.

6. I have reviewed <u>www.veoh.com</u> including the Terms of Use and Acceptable Use
policies at various times. I have never seen any information on the website or in its Terms of Use
and Acceptable Use policies informing users that Veoh creates Flash files from the Video files
users submit. Nor, have I ever seen any information indicating that Veoh creates still-frame .jpg
files from the video files users submit.

7. On Monday, August 30th, I signed up for a free Yahoo e-mail address using the
 Pseudonym John Doe. The e-mail address I obtained was <u>FauxUser01@yahoo.com</u>. I then went to
 www.veoh.com and signed up for a new account using the username FauxUser99 and provided

-2-RUOFF DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT C-06-3926 (HRL)

	Case 5:06-cv-03926-HRL	Document 85	Filed 08/14/2007	Page 3 of 3				
1 2	the e-mail address <u>FauxUser01@yahoo.com</u> . The entire process took less than ten minutes. I printed various pages during the sign up pages which are attached hereto as Exhibit A.							
3								
4 5	Pursuant to the laws of the United States, I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing							
6	is true and correct.							
7								
8	Dated: August 14, 2007		/s/ Keith Rı	uoff				
9		Keith Ruoff						
10								
11								
12	I hereby attest that this is the declaration of Keith Ruoff and the original with Keith							
13 14	Ruoff's holographic signature is on file for production for the Court if so ordered, or for inspection							
14	upon request by any party. Pursuant to the laws of the United States, I declare under penalty of							
16	perjury the foregoing is true and correct.							
17								
18	Dated: August 14, 2007		/s/ Gill Sperlein					
19			GILL SPERLEIN, Counsel for Plainti					
20								
21								
22								
23								
24 25								
26								
27								
28								
			0					
		RU		SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION TO FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT C-06-3926 (HRL)				