28

| 21 | | | | |
|---|----|---|--|--|
| E-filed on: | 1 | | | |
| IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION TRACY WATSON, RENEE STALKER, PAM STALKER as Guardian Ad Litem for O.S., S.W, and R.W., minors, Plaintiffs, V. COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al., Defendants. On August 6, 2009 plaintiffs filed an ex parte motion seeking to extend the deadline for filing their opposition to the pending motions for summary judgment. The opposition is presently due on August 7, 2009, and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 | 2 | | | |
| IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION TRACY WATSON, RENEE STALKER, PAM STALKER as Guardian Ad Litem for O.S., S.W, and R.W., minors, Plaintiffs, V. COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al., Defendants. On August 6, 2009 plaintiffs filed an ex parte motion seeking to extend the deadline for filing their opposition to the pending motions for summary judgment. The opposition is presently due on August 7, 2009, and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 | 3 | E-filed on: 08/07/09 | | |
| IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION TRACY WATSON, RENEE STALKER, PAM STALKER as Guardian Ad Litem for O.S., S.W, and R.W., minors, Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs, V. COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al., Defendants. On August 6, 2009 plaintiffs filed an ex parte motion seeking to extend the deadline for filing their opposition to the pending motions for summary judgment. The opposition is presently due on August 7, 2009, and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 | 4 | | | |
| IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION TRACY WATSON, RENEE STALKER, PAM STALKER as Guardian Ad Litem for O.S., S.W, and R.W., minors, Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs, V. COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al., Defendants. On August 6, 2009 plaintiffs filed an ex parte motion seeking to extend the deadline for filing their opposition to the pending motions for summary judgment. The opposition is presently due on August 7, 2009, and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 | 5 | | | |
| IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION TRACY WATSON, RENEE STALKER, PAM STALKER as Guardian Ad Litem for O.S., S.W, and R.W., minors, Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs, V. COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al., Defendants. On August 6, 2009 plaintiffs filed an ex parte motion seeking to extend the deadline for filing their opposition to the pending motions for summary judgment. The opposition is presently due on August 7, 2009, and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 | 6 | | | |
| FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION TRACY WATSON, RENEE STALKER, PAM STALKER as Guardian Ad Litem for O.S., S.W, and R.W., minors, Plaintiffs, V. COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al., Defendants. On August 6, 2009 plaintiffs filed an ex parte motion seeking to extend the deadline for filing their opposition to the pending motions for summary judgment. The opposition is presently due on August 7, 2009, and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 | 7 | | | |
| TRACY WATSON, RENEE STALKER, PAM STALKER as Guardian Ad Litem for O.S., S.W, and R.W., minors, Plaintiffs, V. COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al., Defendants. On August 6, 2009 plaintiffs filed an ex parte motion seeking to extend the deadline for filing their opposition to the pending motions for summary judgment. The opposition is presently due on August 7, 2009, and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 | 8 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| TRACY WATSON, RENEE STALKER, PAM STALKER as Guardian Ad Litem for O.S., S.W, and R.W., minors, Plaintiffs, V. COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al., Defendants. On August 6, 2009 plaintiffs filed an ex parte motion seeking to extend the deadline for filing their opposition to the pending motions for summary judgment. The opposition is presently due on August 7, 2009, and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 | 9 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| TRACY WATSON, RENEE STALKER, PAM STALKER as Guardian Ad Litem for O.S., S.W, and R.W., minors, Plaintiffs, V. COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al., Defendants. On August 6, 2009 plaintiffs filed an ex parte motion seeking to extend the deadline for filing their opposition to the pending motions for summary judgment. The opposition is presently due on August 7, 2009, and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 | 10 | SAN JOSE DIVISION | | |
| STALKER as Guardian Ad Litem for O.S., S.W, and R.W., minors, Plaintiffs, V. COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al., Defendants. On August 6, 2009 plaintiffs filed an ex parte motion seeking to extend the deadline for filing their opposition to the pending motions for summary judgment. The opposition is presently due on August 7, 2009, and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 | 11 | | | |
| S.W, and R.W., minors, Plaintiffs, V. COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al., Defendants. ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME [Re Docket No. 212] On August 6, 2009 plaintiffs filed an ex parte motion seeking to extend the deadline for filing their opposition to the pending motions for summary judgment. The opposition is presently due on August 7, 2009, and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 | 12 | | | |
| Plaintiffs, V. COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al., Defendants. On August 6, 2009 plaintiffs filed an ex parte motion seeking to extend the deadline for filing their opposition to the pending motions for summary judgment. The opposition is presently due on August 7, 2009, and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 and plaintiffs seek to extend the deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 and plaintiffs seek to extend the days and the days and the days and the days are | 13 | S.W, and R.W., minors, ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR | | |
| COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al., Defendants. On August 6, 2009 plaintiffs filed an ex parte motion seeking to extend the deadline for filing their opposition to the pending motions for summary judgment. The opposition is presently due on August 7, 2009, and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 | 14 | Plaintiffs, | | |
| Defendants. On August 6, 2009 plaintiffs filed an ex parte motion seeking to extend the deadline for filing their opposition to the pending motions for summary judgment. The opposition is presently due on August 7, 2009, and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 21 | 15 | | | |
| On August 6, 2009 plaintiffs filed an ex parte motion seeking to extend the deadline for filing their opposition to the pending motions for summary judgment. The opposition is presently due on August 7, 2009, and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 | 16 | COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al., | | |
| On August 6, 2009 plaintiffs filed an ex parte motion seeking to extend the deadline for filing their opposition to the pending motions for summary judgment. The opposition is presently due on August 7, 2009, and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 | 17 | Defendants. | | |
| due on August 7, 2009, and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 | 18 | On August 6, 2009 plaintiffs filed an ex parte motion seeking to extend the deadline for | | |
| due on August 7, 2009, and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 200 | | filing their opposition to the pending motions for summary judgment. The opposition is presently | | |
| The motion sets fouth feets constituting and course for the three description and a set of the set | | due on August 7, 2009, and plaintiffs seek to extend that deadline by three days, to August 10, 2009. | | |
| The motion sets forth facts constituting good cause for the three-day extension, and given the short | | | | |
| delay, prejudice to defendants is likely minimal. | | | | |
| Therefore, plaintiffs shall file their opposition to any motion for summary judgment current | | | | |
| set for hearing on September 11, 2009 by August 10, 2009. Defendants shall file their respective | | | | |
| 25 replies by August 17, 2009. | | | | |
| 26 DATED: 08/07/09 Mala M. Whyte RONALD M. WHYTE | | DATED: 08/07/09 | | |

ORDER GRANTING AND DENYING MOTIONS TO DISMISS No. C-06-04029 RMW TSF

| 1 | Notice of this document ha | s been electronically sent to: | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | Counsel for Plaintiffs: | | |
| 3 | Dennis R. Ingols Peter J. Johnson | dingols@rrpassociates.com jjlaw2@earthlink.net | |
| 4 | Robert Ross Powell | rpowell@rrpassociates.com | |
| 5 | Counsel for Defendants: | | |
| 6 | Jacquelyn Kimmet Wilson Clifford S. Greenberg | jkw@swr-law.com cao.main@ci.sj.ca.us | |
| 7 | Clifford S. Greenberg Melissa R. Kiniyalocts David Michael Rollo | melissa.kiniyalocts@cco.co.scl.ca.us david.rollo@cco.sccgov.org | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel that have no | | |
| 10 | registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program. | | |
| 11 | Dated: JAS | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | ORDER GRANTING AND DENYIN | IG MOTIONS TO DISMISS | |

No. C-06-04029 RMW TSF 2