1		
2		
-3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11		
12	IN RE JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC. ) SECURITIES LITIGATION )	Case No.: C 06-4327 JW (PVT)
13		Case No.: C 08-0246 JW (PVT)
14	THE NEW YORK CITY EMPLOYEES'	INTERIM ORDER CONTINUING
15		<ul> <li>HEARING ON LEAD PLAINTIFF'S MOTION</li> <li>FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST DEFENDANT</li> </ul>
16	Plaintiffs, )	ERNST & YOUNG, AND SOLICITING ADDITIONAL BRIEFING
17	ý)	
18	) )	
19	)	
20		
21	On November 12, 2009, Lead Plaintiff filed a motion for sanctions against Defendant Ernst	
22	& Young in In Re Juniper Networks, Inc. Securities Litigation, Case No. C 06-4327 JW. The	
23	motion is currently set to be heard on February 2, 2010. Defendant Ernst & Young opposed the	
24		
25 26		
26 27		
27	Defendant Ernst & Young is CONTINUED to March 2, 2010 at 10AM. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, no later than February 10, 2010, Lead Plaintiff shall file a	
28		er man reoruary 10, 2010, Leau Flamun shall file a
	Orde	R, page 1

1	supplemental brief setting forth what specific documents it would have used in deposition or	
2	propounded written discovery regarding if the documents had been timely produced, along with a	
3	brief description of the line of questioning it would have pursued (with which deponent), or the	
4	written discovery it would have propounded as to each such document. Defendants may file a	
5	response to the Lead Plaintiff's supplemental brief no later than February 24, 2010.	
6	Dated: January 28, 2010 Patricea V. Frumbull	
7	PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL	
8	United States Magistrate Judge	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
17		
10		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	ORDER, page 2	