

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Linda J. Thayer, Esq. (SBN 195,115)
linda.thayer@finnegan.com
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.
Stanford Research Park
3300 Hillview Avenue
Palo Alto, California 94304-1203
Telephone: (650) 849-6600
Facsimile: (650) 849-6666

Barbara C. McCurdy, Esq. (*Admitted ProHac Vice*)
barbara.mccurdy@finnegan.com
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.
901 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001
Telephone: (202) 408-4000
Facsimile: (202) 408-4400

Attorneys for Defendant
INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

MACROVISION CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES
CORPORATION,

Defendant.

CASE NO. CV 06-04659 JW

**STIPULATION ~~AND PROPOSED~~
ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO
ANSWER COMPLAINT**

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-1(a), Defendant Intertrust Technologies Corporation (“Intertrust”) has requested, and Plaintiff Macrovision Corporation (“Macrovision”) has agreed, to extend the time for Intertrust to respond to Macrovision’s Complaint from August 22, 2006 to August 28, 2006.

This extension will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed by Court order.

Accordingly, it is hereby stipulated that Intertrust has until August 28, 2006 to respond to the Complaint.

By her signature below, counsel for Defendant swears under penalty of perjury that counsel for Plaintiff concurred in the filing of this document.

DATED: August 21, 2006

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP

By _____ / s / _____
Linda J. Thayer
Attorneys for Defendant Intertrust Technologies Corporation.

DATED: August 21, 2006


QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP

By _____ / s / _____
Michael D. Powell
Attorneys for Plaintiff Macrovision Corporation

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated: August 22, 2006



James Ware
United States District Judge