

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6

7 VICTORIA RYAN,
8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 EDITIONS LIMITED WEST, INC., et al.,
11 Defendants.

Case No. [5:06-cv-04812-PSG](#)

**ORDER GRANTING WRIT OF
EXECUTION**

(Re: Docket No. 289)

12
13 The court recently ordered Defendant Editions Limited West to pay Plaintiff Victoria Ryan
14 \$349,038.00 in attorney's fees by February 2, 2016.¹ February 2 came and went without any
15 exchange of money, so Ryan applies for a writ of execution directed to the U.S. Marshal for the
16 Northern District of California to enforce the court's order of fees.² Ryan's request is GRANTED
17 and a writ of execution shall issue.

18 ELW argues that it is entitled to an automatic stay under Fed. R. Civ. P. 62(f), which
19 provides that "[i]f a judgment is a lien on the judgment debtor's property under the law of the state
20 where the court is located, the judgment debtor is entitled to the same stay of execution the state
21 court would give."³ ELW argues that the state court would give an automatic stay of execution
22 because in California state court, judgments granting only attorney's fees are automatically stayed
23

24
25 ¹ See Docket No. 288 at 1.


26 ² See Docket No. 289.

27 ³ Docket No. 290 at 2.

1 by appeal.⁴ However, the law is clear: “[u]nder California law, a judgment is not a lien unless and
2 until such time as the creditor records the judgment in the county where the debtor’s property is
3 located.”⁵ Accordingly, “California district courts have uniformly concluded that a federal
4 judgment rendered in California does not trigger the provisions of Rule 62(f).”⁶ Because Ryan has
5 not recorded the judgment, the judgment is not a lien and ELW is not entitled to an automatic stay.
6 The other provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 62 thus apply, and if ELW wishes to stay the judgment on
7 appeal, it must post a bond and request the court’s approval under Fed. R. Civ. P. 62(d).

8 **SO ORDERED.**

9 Dated: February 5, 2016

10 
11 PAUL S. GREWAL
12 United States Magistrate Judge

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21 _____
22 ⁴ See id.

23 ⁵ Cotton ex rel. McClure v. City of Eureka, Cal., 860 F. Supp. 2d 999, 1025 (N.D. Cal. 2012)
24 (citing Cal. Code. Civ. Proc. § 697.310(a)). ELW cites to *Marandino v. D’Elia & KOFR*
25 Association, 151 F.R.D. 227, 228 (D. Conn. 1993), to argue that a judgment is a lien if only a mere
26 ministerial act must be taken to transform the judgment into a lien. See Docket No. 292 at 1.
27 While that may be the case in Connecticut, Cotton explicitly considered and rejected this
28 argument, and it is not the law in California. 860 F. Supp. 2d at 1026.

⁶ Cotton, 860 F. Supp. 2d at 1026.