WHEREAS, on September 28, 2010, Lead Plaintiff, the New York City Employees' Retirement System, plaintiffs Martin Vogel and Kenneth Mahoney, and defendants Apple Inc., Steven P. Jobs, Fred D. Anderson, Nancy R. Heinen, William V. Campbell, Millard S. Drexler, Arthur D. Levinson, and Jerome B. York, entered into the Stipulation that sets forth the terms and conditions for the proposed settlement of the Released Claims;

WHEREAS, the Settling Parties have requested pursuant to Rule 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure that the Court enter this Preliminary Order for Notice and Hearing; and WHEREAS, the Court having read and considered the Stipulation and the exhibits thereto, and finding that substantial and sufficient grounds exist for entering this Order;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. For the purposes of this Order, the Court adopts all defined terms as set forth in the Stipulation. Any inconsistencies between the Stipulation and Notice will be controlled by the language of the Stipulation.
- 2. The Court preliminarily approves the Settlement, as reflected in the Stipulation, as being fair, reasonable and adequate, pending a final hearing on the Settlement.
- 3. Pending a final determination of whether the Settlement should be approved and the proposed Order and Final Judgment entered, Plaintiffs and all Class Members, whether directly, representatively, or in any other capacity, shall not commence or prosecute, or cause anyone to commence or prosecute, any action or proceeding asserting any of the Released Claims against any of the Released Parties in any court or tribunal.

CLASS CERTIFICATION

4. The Action is conditionally certified for settlement purposes as a class action pursuant to Rules 23(a) and 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of a class consisting of all persons and entities that purchased Apple common stock during the Class Period. Excluded from the Class are Defendants, all current and former directors and officers of Apple,

Mr. York died on March 18, 2010.

Except as defined below, all capitalized terms contained herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated as of September 28, 2010.

9 10

11

13

12

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

and all employees of Apple and/or its subsidiaries during the Class Period, and any family member, trust, company, entity, or affiliate controlled or owned by any of the excluded persons and entities referenced above. Also excluded from the Class are any persons and entities that exclude themselves by filing a request for exclusion in accordance with the requirements set forth in the Notice.

- The Court has determined preliminarily and for purposes of the Settlement that 5. (a) the Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Class that, as to the Settlement and related matters, predominate over individual questions; (c) Lead Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class; (d) Lead Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect and represent the interests of the Class; and (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.
- 6. Certification of the Class for purposes of the Settlement shall not constitute certification of the Class for any other purpose.

NOTICE

- 7. The Court approves the form and content of the Notice and Publication Notice attached hereto as Exhibits 1 and 2, respectively, and the Proof of Claim attached hereto as Exhibit 3. Further, the Court finds that the form and content of the Notice, Publication Notice, and Proof of Claim, and the procedures for publication, mailing, and distribution thereof substantially in the manner and form set forth in paragraphs 9 and 10 of this Order, satisfy the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(7), and due process, and constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances.
- 8. The Court approves the appointment of Epiq Class Action & Claims Solutions, Inc. as the Claims Administrator. As provided in the Stipulation, Apple shall reimburse the Claims Administrator for the reasonable costs incurred to mail and publish the Notice and Publication Notice, respectively.
- 9. Within twelve (12) business days of the date of this Order (October 26, 2010), the Claims Administrator shall commence sending copies of the Notice and Proof of Claim by first-

1	class mail, post
2	set forth in the
3	further reasona
4	nominee purch
5	common stock
6	nominee purch
7	forward copies
8	Claims Admin
9	Administrator
10	beneficial own
11	their beneficial
12	mailing was m
13	Counsel shall
14	Members.
15	10.
16	Claims Admin
17	Daily and tran
18	Plaintiffs' Lea
19	
20	, 11.
21	1/7/11.
22	280 South 1st

24

25

26

27

28

class mail, postage pre-paid, to all Class Members at the address of each such person or entity as set forth in the records of Apple or its transfer agent, or who otherwise may be identified through further reasonable effort. The Claims Administrator shall use reasonable efforts to give notice to nominee purchasers such as brokerage firms and other persons and entities who purchased Apple common stock during the Class Period as record owners but not beneficial owners. Such nominee purchasers are directed within fourteen (14) days of their receipt of the Notice, to either forward copies of the Notice and Proof of Claim to their beneficial owners, or to provide the Claims Administrator with lists of the names and addresses of the beneficial owners. The Claims Administrator is ordered to send the Notice and Proof of Claim promptly to such identified beneficial owners. Nominee purchasers who elect to forward the Notice and Proof of Claim to their beneficial owners shall send a statement to the Claims Administrator confirming that the mailing was made as directed. At or before the Settlement Fairness Hearing, Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel shall file with the Court proof of mailing of the Notice and Proof of Claim to Class Members.

10. Within fifteen (15) business days of the date of this Order (October 29, 2010), the Claims Administrator shall cause the Publication Notice to be published in *Investor's Business Daily* and transmitted over *Business Wire*. At or before the Settlement Fairness Hearing, Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel shall file with the Court proof of publication of the Publication Notice.

SETTLEMENT FAIRNESS HEARING

The Settlement Fairness Hearing before this Court is hereby scheduled for , at , in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, 280 South 1st Street, San Jose, California 95113:

- a. to finally determine whether this Action satisfies the applicable prerequisites for class action treatment under Rules 23(a) and (b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;
 - b. to determine whether the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate;
- c. to determine whether the Order and Final Judgment as provided in the Stipulation should be entered, dismissing the Action with prejudice;

1	d. to determine whether the release of the Released Claims, as set forth in the
2	Stipulation, should be provided to the Released Parties;
3	e. to determine whether the proposed Plan of Allocation for the distribution of
4	the Net Settlement Fund is fair and reasonable;
5	f. to consider the application of Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel for an award of
6	Attorneys' Fees and Expenses; and
7	g. to hear and rule on such other matters as the Court may deem appropriate.
8	12. The Court reserves the right to continue the Settlement Fairness Hearing and its
9	consideration of the matters listed above without further notice to Class Members.
10	13. The Court reserves the right to approve the Settlement at or after the Settlement
11	Fairness Hearing with such modifications as may be consented to by the Settling Parties without
12	further notice to the members of the Class. The Court further reserves the right to enter its Order
13	and Final Judgment approving the Stipulation regardless of whether the Court has approved the
14	Plan of Allocation or awarded Attorneys' Fees and Expenses.
15	14. Any Class Member who desires to do so may object to the Settlement or any of its
16	terms, the Plan of Allocation, and/or Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel's application for Attorneys' Fees
17	and Expenses by submitting a written objection that (i) includes the Class Member's name,
18	address, telephone number, and signature; (ii) identifies the date(s), price(s), and number of
19	shares of all purchases and sales of Apple common stock made during the Class Period;
20	(iii) states the reason(s) why the Class Member objects; and (iv) attaches any other documents
21	and writings that the Class Member wants the Court to consider. The objection must be filed with
22	the Clerk's Office at the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, 280 South 1st
23	Street, San Jose, CA, 95113, by no later than December 16, 2010, and served on the same day by
24	first-class mail postage pre-paid to each of the following counsel:
25	George A. Riley, Esq.
26	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor
27	San Francisco, CA 94111 Attorneys for Defendant Apple Inc.
28	

submit a Proof of Claim in accordance with the instructions contained therein. Unless otherwise

ordered by the Court, all Proofs of Claim must be completed and submitted no later than January

27

28

31, 2011. Any Class Member who does not complete and submit a valid Proof of Claim within the time provided by this Order or further order of the Court shall be barred from sharing in the distribution of the Net Settlement Fund.

- 19. Any order or proceedings relating to the Plan of Allocation or any rulings the Court may make regarding the amount of Attorneys' Fees and Expenses to be awarded, or any appeal from any orders relating thereto or reversal or modification thereof, shall not operate to terminate or cancel the Stipulation, or affect or delay the finality of the Order and Final Judgment approving the Stipulation and the Settlement of the Action.
- 20. Upon the Effective Date, all Released Claims shall be fully, finally, and forever resolved, discharged and settled on the merits and with prejudice, except as otherwise set forth herein.
- 21. If the Settlement provided for in the Stipulation is approved by the Court following the Settlement Fairness Hearing, an Order and Final Judgment shall be entered as described in the Stipulation.
- 22. If the Stipulation is not approved by the Court, is terminated or cancelled by any of the Settling Parties in accordance with the Stipulation, or fails to become effective for any other reason, this Action shall proceed without prejudice to any Settling Party as to any matter of law or fact, as if the Stipulation had not been made and had not been submitted to the Court (except as provided in the Stipulation). The Stipulation, any provision contained in the Stipulation, or any action undertaken pursuant thereto or the negotiation thereof by any Settling Party shall not be deemed a presumption, concession, or admission by any Settling Party of any fault, liability, or wrongdoing as to the facts or claims alleged or asserted in the Action, or any other actions or proceedings, and shall not be offered or received in evidence or otherwise used by any person in the Action or interpreted, construed, deemed, or invoked in any other action or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, or administrative.
- 23. The Stipulation, the Settlement, and any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Stipulation or the Settlement (a) is not and may not be deemed to be and may not be used as an admission of, or evidence of, the validity of any Released

1	Claims, or of any wrongdoing or liability of the Released Parties and (b) is not and may not be
2	deemed to be and may not be used as an admission of, or evidence of, any fault or omission of
3	any Released Party in any civil, criminal, or administrative proceeding in any court,
4	administrative agency, or other tribunal.
5	24. All funds held by the Escrow Agent shall be deemed to be in the custody of the
6	Court and shall remain subject to the Court's jurisdiction until such time as the funds shall be
7	distributed or returned to the parties who deposited such funds pursuant to the Stipulation, the
8	Plan of Allocation, and/or further order(s) of the Court. The costs of providing notice to the Class
9	and administering the Settlement Fund, as provided for in the Stipulation, shall be non-refundable
10	in the event the Settlement is not approved.
11	25. The Court hereby retains exclusive jurisdiction to consider all further matters
12	arising out of or connected with the Settlement.
13	
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.
15	
16	DATED: 10 The Honorable Jeremy Fogel
17	United States District Judge
18	Submitted by:
19	GEORGE A. RILEY (S.B. #118304)
20	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Two Embarcadero Center
21	28th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3828
22	Telephone: (415) 984-8700 Facsimile: (415) 984-8701
23	E-Mail: griley@omm.com
24	Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.
25	
26	
27	

1	DOUGLAS R. YOUNG (S.B. #73248)	
2	FARELLA BRAUN & MARTEL LLP Russ Building	
3	235 Montgomery Street, 17th floor San Francisco, California 94104	
4	Telephone: (415) 954-4400 Facsimile: (415) 954-4480 E-Mail: dyoung@fbm.com	
5	E-Mail: dyoung@fbm.com	
6	Attorneys for Defendants STEVEN P. JOBS, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL,	
	MILLARD S. DREXLER, ARTHUR D.	
7	LEVINSON and JEROME B. YORK	
8	JEROME C. ROTH (S.B. #159483) YOHANCE C. EDWARDS (S.B. #237244)	
9	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 560 Mission Street, 27th Floor	
10	San Francisco, California 94105	
11	Telephone: (415) 512-4000 Facsimile: (415) 512-4077 E-Mail: Jerome.Roth@mto.com	
12	E-Mail: Jerome.Roth@mto.com Yohance.Edwards@mto.com	
13	Attorneys for Defendants	
14	FRED D. ANDERSON and NANCY R. HEINEN	١
15	JAY W. EISENHOFER (admitted pro hac vice) MICHAEL J. BARRY (admitted pro hac vice)	
16	GRANT & EISENHOFER P.A. Chase Manhattan Centre	
17	1201 N. Market Street Wilmington, Delaware 19801	
	Telephone: (302) 622-7000	
18	Facsimile: (302) 622-7100 E-Mail: jeisenhofer@gelaw.com	
19	mbarry@gelaw.com	
20	MERRILL GLEN EMERICK (SB# 117248) ANDERLINI & EMERICK LLP	
21	411 Borel Avenue, Suite 501 San Mateo, California 94402	
22	Telephone: (650) 242-4884	
23	Facsimile: (650) 212-0081	
24	Attorneys for Lead Plaintiff THE NEW YORK CITY EMPLOYEES'	
25	RETIREMENT SYSTEM	
26		
27		

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	PATRICE L. BISHOP (S.B. #182256) HOWARD T. LONGMAN STULL, STULL & BRODY 10940 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2300 Los Angeles, California 90024 Telephone: (310) 209-2468 Facsimile: (310) 209-2087 Email: pbishop@ssbla.com GARY S. GRAIFMAN KANTROWITZ, GOLDHAMER & GRAIFM. 747 Chestnut Ridge Road Chestnut Ridge, New York 10977 Telephone: (845) 356-2570 Facsimile: (845) 356-4335	AN
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs MARTIN VOGEL and KENNETH MAHONE	Y
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		C Committee of the Comm
22	·	
23		17.00
24		
25		1
26		
27		F