	ETATES DISTRICT CO.
1	
2	IT IS SO ORDERED
3	5 m alpha &
4	Z Judge James Ware
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	SAN JOSE DIVISION DISTRICT
8	
9	AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  Case No. C 06-05958 JW
10	Plaintiff, [PROPULED] JUDGMENT
11	v. )
12	AFFYMETRIX, INC.,
13	Defendant.
14	
15	Pursuant to the Mandate of the Federal Circuit dated September 25, 2009 (docket item no.
16	86), judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiff Agilent Technologies, Inc., against Defendant
17	Affymetrix, Inc. The Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences Judgment dated July 28, 2006, in
18	the Interference is Reversed and the action is remanded to the Board.
19	Each party shall bear their own fees and costs.
20	The Clerk shall close this file.
21	The Clerk shall close this me.
22	
23	Dated: January 28, 2010  Holy, JAMES WARE  Holy, JAMES DISTRICT HIDGE
24	VN/TED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
25	
26	
27	
28	