1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 NOT FOR CITATION IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 CHU TRAN, No. C 06-06374 JF (PR) 12 ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR Petitioner, CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY 13 VS. 14 JAMES WALKER, Warden, 15 Respondent. 16 (Docket No. 26) 17 18 On September 19, 2008, the Court denied this <u>pro</u> se petition for writ of habeas 19 corpus on the merits and entered judgment in favor of Respondent. On April 17, 2009, 20 Petitioner filed a "belated notice of appeal" and a request for a certificate of appealability, after his motion for reconsideration was denied on January 15, 2009. (Docket No. 14.). 21 A petitioner may not appeal a final order in a federal habeas corpus proceeding 22 23 without first obtaining a certificate of appealability (formerly known as a certificate of probable cause to appeal). See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c); Fed. R. App. P. 22(b). A judge shall 24 25 grant a certificate of appealability "only if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). The certificate must 26 27 indicate which issues satisfy this standard. See id. § 2253(c)(3). 28 "Where a district court has rejected the constitutional claims on the merits, the Order Denying Request for COA 1 P:\PRO-SE\SJ.JF\HC.06\Tran374\_coa.wpd

Tran v. Malfi

Doc. 27

showing required to satisfy § 2253(c) is straightforward: the petitioner must demonstrate that reasonable jurists would find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong." Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000). The Court denied the instant habeas petition after careful consideration of the merits. The Court found no violation of Petitioner's federal constitutional rights in the underlying state court proceedings. Petitioner has failed to demonstrate that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether this Court was correct in its ruling. Accordingly, Petitioner's request for a certificate of appealability (Docket No. 26) is DENIED.

The Clerk shall forward this order, along with the case file, to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, from which Petitioner may also seek a certificate of appealability. See Fed. R. App. P. 22(b); see United States v. Asrar, 116 F.3d 1268, 1270 (9th Cir. 1997).

United States District Judge

This order terminates Docket No. 26.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 10/15/09

Order Denying Request for COA P:\PRO-SE\SJ.JF\HC.06\Tran374\_coa.wpd

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE

## NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHU TRAN,	Case Number: CV06-06374 JF
Petitioner,	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
v.	
JAMES WALKER, Warden,	
Respondent.	/
I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I Court, Northern District of California.	am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District
attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a	, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) velope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into ed in the Clerk's office.
Chu Tran V03081 CA State Prison-Folsom P.O. Box 290066 A7-123 Represa, CA 95671-0066	
Dated:	Richard W Wieking Clerk
10/20/00	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk