Cygnus Telecomm	unications Technology, LLC v. Majosa Communications		Doc
	Case 5:06-cv-06479-RMW Document 3	Filed 10/26/2006 Page 1 of 4	
1	E-filed on10/26/06		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN D	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JOSE	E DIVISION	
11			
12	IN RE CYGNUS TELECOMMUNICATIONS	No. MDL-1423	
13	TECHNOLOGY, LLC, PATENT LITIGATION	This Order Applies to All Actions	
14		C-02-00142 RMW C-02-00145 RMW	
15	THIS ORDER RELATES TO: All Actions	C-02-05437 RMW C-03-03594 RMW	
16		C-03-03596 RMW C-03-03378 RMW	
17		C-03-04003 RMW C-04-03001 RMW	
18		C-04-03365 RMW C-04-04247 RMW	
19		C-04-04359 RMW C-06-03843 RMW	
20		C-06-04295 RMW C-06-06479 RMW	
21		ORDER REGARDING SUBJECT-MATTER	R
22		JURISDICTION	
23	On October 24, 2006, defendants filed a n	otice of a potential defect in this court's subject	
24	matter jurisdiction and stated that they may move to dismiss on that ground. Any questions		
25	regarding subject-matter jurisdiction should be resolved prior to the hearing on claim construction		'n
26	and associated summary judgment motions currently set for November 20. To accommodate the		2
27	competing concerns of expediency and efficiency	presented by this situation, the court would	
28	strongly prefer defendants to file any motion to di	smiss for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction—a	ınd
	ORDER REGARDING SUBJECT-MATTER JURISDICTION— JAH	MDL-1423	
	•	Deal at a l	1.11.1.1.1.1.1

Dockets.Justia.com

only if one is warranted—jointly by October 31, 2006. If the defendants do file such a motion by 1 2 that date, plaintiff's reply shall be due November 4, defendants' joint reply shall be due November 6, 3 and a hearing shall be held on the motion on November 10, 2006. Other deadlines previously set by the court shall remain in effect absent further order of the court, except that if defendants move to 4 5 dismiss for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction by October 31, 2006, the reply briefs currently due 6 November 10, 2006 may be filed the following Monday, November 13. If the defendants move to 7 dismiss for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction after October 31, 2006, the parties shall endeavor to 8 have the motion briefed and heard as soon as possible.

-				ρ -
11	DATED:	10/26/06		Konald M White
12				RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	ORDER REGARI JAH	DING SUBJECT-MATTER	JURISDICTION-	-MDL-1423 2

9

10

	Case 5:06-cv-06479-RMW Documer	t 3 Filed 10/26/2006 Page 3 of 4			
1	A copy of this order was mailed on	to:			
2	Counsel for Plaintiff:	Kieran Patrick Fallon			
3	John P. Sutton	436 SW 8th Street Miami, FL 33130-2814			
4	2421 Pierce Street San Francisco, CA 94115-1131	Matthew Francis McGahren			
5	Counsel for Defendants:	Baum & McGahren 6171 Crooked Creek Road Norcross, GA 30092			
6	Alan M. Weisberg, Steven M. Greenberg				
7	Christopher & Weisberg, P.A. 200 E. Las Olas Avenue	Lori D. Greendorfer, Maxim H. Waldbaum Schiff Hardin LLP			
8	Suite 2040 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301	623 Fifth Avenue 28th Floor			
9	John C. Carey	New York, NY 10022			
10	Rodriguez Greenberg & Paul 1395 Brickell Ave, Suite 700	Thomas T. Tamlyn Yeskoo Hogan & Tamlyn, LLP			
11	Miami, FL 33131	535 Fifth Avenue			
	Felice B. Galant, Gregory B. Wood	New York, NY 10017			
12	Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P. 865 South Figueroa Street	Peter S. Canelias Law Offices of Peter S. Canelias			
13	Twenty-Ninth Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017	420 Lexington Avenue Suite 2148			
14	Joseph P. Zammit	New York, NY 10170			
15	Fulbright & Jaworski 666 Fifth Avenue	David T. Alexander MBV Law LLP			
16	New York, NY 10103	855 Front Street			
17	John F. Mardula, Jon L. Roberts	San Francisco, CA 94111			
18	Roberts Mardula & Wertheim, LLC 11800 Sunrise Valley Drive	Jon M. Gibbs Akerman, Senterfitt			
19	Suite 1000 Reston, VA 20191-5302	255 S. Orange Avenue Suite 1700			
20	Richard B. Sheldon	Post Office Box 0231 Orlando, FL 32802-0231			
20	Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp LLP				
	11377 West Olympic Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90064-1683	Elizabeth E. Green, R. Scott Shuker Gronek & Latham, LLP			
22	Jeffrey L. Silvestrini, Brian F. Roberts	390 N. Orange Avenue Suite 600			
23	Cohne Rappaport & Segal P.O. Box 11008	Orlando, FL 32801			
24	Salt Lake City, UT 84147-0008	Meredith L. Caliman Meredith L. Caliman Law Offices			
25	Raymond J. Etcheverry Parsons, Behle & Latimer	3858 Carson Street, Suite 120 Torrance, CA 90503-6705			
26	One Utah Center				
27	201 South Main Street, Suite 1800, Post Office Box 45898	Gregory J. Nelson Nelson & Roediger			
28	Salt Lake City, UT 84145	3333 E Camelback Road, Suite 212 Phoenix, AZ 85018			
	ORDER REGARDING SUBJECT-MATTER JURISDIC	TION—MDL-1423 3			

	Case 5:06-cv-06479-RMW Doo	cument 3	Filed 10/26/2006	Page 4 of 4
1	Peter Neil Greenfeld	Courtesy Copy:		
2	Greenfeld Law Group 3333 E Camelback Road, Suite 212 Phoenix, AZ 85018-2324	Judicial Panel on Mult Thurgood Marshall Fe One Columbus Circle, Room G-255, North Lo	idistrict Litization	
3	Matthew McGahren		Thurgood Marshall F	l Federal Judiciary Building
4	Baum, McGahren & Chiu, LLC 6171 Crooked Creek Road		Room G-255, North Lobby Washington, DC 20002-8004	
5	Norcross, GA 30092	washington, DC 20		102 0004
6				
7	Counsel for plaintiff is responsible for receive a copy of this order, if necess	or ensuring the tary, and shall	hat involved attorneys ll inform the court of a	not on the above service list ny omissions.
8		•		
9				
10				
11				
12 13				
13				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	ORDER REGARDING SUBJECT-MATTER JU JAH		MDL-1423 4	