2 3

б

8 9 10

11

12

13 14 15

16 17

18 19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28 IT IS SO ORDEREI Judge James

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

IN RE BRIDGESTONE AMERICAS TIRE OPERATIONS LITIGATION) Case No. 5:06-cv-6837 JW)
	STIPULATION RE: ENTRY OF JUDGMENT DISMISSING ACTION WITH PREJUDICE AND COURT ORDER
	Rule 41, Federal Rules)

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by Plaintiffs herein, and each of them, by and through their counsel of record, and Defendant BRIDGESTONE AMERICAS TIRE OPERATIONS, LLC (hereinafter, "Defendant") and Third Party Defendants The CITY OF SALINAS and the SALINAS VALLEY SOLID WASTE AUTHORITY (hereinafter, "Third Party Defendants"), by and through their respective counsel of record, that as follows:

- 1 --

STIPULATION RE: ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Case No. 5:06-CV-6837 JW (RS)

- 1. Despite years of diligent investigation costing hundreds of thousands of dollars, based on drilling and well sampling, Plaintiffs have not identified and are not aware of any evidence showing that any substances disposed of at any time by or on behalf of Firestone at the Landfill have migrated in water beyond the current boundaries of the Landfill..
- 2. Plaintiffs, Defendants and Third Party Defendants hereby stipulate to a voluntary dismissal with prejudice of the Plaintiffs' Complaint herein, specifically the Amended Consolidated Complaint filed herein on June 25, 2009, with each party to bear its, his or her own costs, including attorneys' fees.
- 3. This stipulated dismissal with prejudice does not affect the Third Party Complaint herein.
- 4. Under the circumstances, the parties respectfully request that this Court enter an Order dismissing the Plaintiffs' Amended Consolidated Complaint herein with prejudice.

SO STIPULATED:

Dated: Jrn. 25, 2010

COTCHETT PITRE & McCARTHY NEEDHAM, KEPNER, FISH & JONES LLP

Craig Needham Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Dated: 15, 2010

HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP

Matthew P. Vafidis
Attorneys for Defendants
BRIDGESTONE AMERICAS TIRE

OPERATIONS, LLC

1	1	
1 2	* ! !	LINAS, CITY ATTORNEY
3	I AW OFFICE	CITY ATTORNEY ES OF THOMAS M. BRUEN
4		
5		
6		2004 MAN BARRE
7	Thomas M. B.	ruen Third Porty Defendants
8	CITY OF SAI	Third Party Defendants LINAS ALLEY SOLID WASTE
9		
10	10	
11	SO ORDERED:	
12	The Amended Consolidated Complaint filed by Plaintiffs herein is hereby dismissed with	
13	prejudice pursuant to Rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.	
14	14	1
15		
16	Dated: January 26, 2010 AMES W.	ARE
17	17 nited Stat	es District Judge
18	18	
19	19	
20		
21		·
- 11	22	
- 11	23	
24 25		
25 26		
- 11	26 27	
- 10	28	
-		

- 3 --

Case No. 5:06-CV-6837 JW (RS)

STIPULATION RE: ENTRY OF JUDGMENT