1	ROHIT SINGLA (#213057) MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP	
2	560 Mission Street	
3	San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 512-4000	
4	Facsimile: (415) 512-4077  Email: rohit.singla@mto.com	
5	IAN J. MILLER (#257429)	
6	CHANTAL MORGAN D'APUZZO (#252223) MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP	
7	355 S. Grand Ave., 35th Fl. Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560	
8	Telephone: (213) 683-9100 Facsimile: (213) 687-3702	
9	Email: ian.miller@mto.com chantal.dapuzzo@mto.com	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs ABBOTT DIABETES CARE INC.	
11	AND ABBOTT LABORATORIES	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT	Γ OF CALIFORNIA
14	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
15	ABBOTT DIABETES CARE INC. AND ABBOTT LABORATORIES,	CASE NO. C 06-07268-JF (PVT)
16	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING EXPERT
17	VS.	DISCOVERY
18	AGAMATRIX, INC.,	Referred to Hon. Patricia V. Trumbull for Discovery Matters
19	Defendant.	Discovery waters
20	Defendant.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		CTIDIU ATVAN AND WEEKERSKEET AND ALL
		STIPULATION AND #PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING EXPERT DISCOVERY CASE NO. C 06-07268-JF (PVT)

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING EXPERT DISCOVERY CASE NO. C 06-07268-JF (PVT)

1	Having reviewed the stipulation of the parties and good cause appearing, IT IS	
2	HEREBY ORDERED that the version of Rule 26(b)(4) to take effect on December 1, 2010, a	
3	copy of which is attached as Exhibit A, shall be in effect as between the parties.	
4		
5		
6		
7	DATED: August 10, 2010 By: Patricia V. Frunbell	
8	Honorable Patricia V. Trumbull	
9	United States Magistrate Judge	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
~- [		

27

28

# EXHIBIT A

# AMENDMENTS TO THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

## Rule 8. General Rules of Pleading

\* \* \* \* \*

- (c) Affirmative Defenses.
  - (1) In General. In responding to a pleading, a party must affirmatively state any avoidance or affirmative defense, including:
    - · accord and satisfaction;
    - · arbitration and award;
    - · assumption of risk;
    - contributory negligence;
    - · duress;
    - estoppel;
    - failure of consideration;
    - fraud;
    - illegality;
    - injury by fellow servant;

- · laches;
- license;
- · payment;
- · release;
- · res judicata;
- statute of frauds;
- · statute of limitations; and
- · waiver.

\* \* \* \* \*

# Rule 26. Duty to Disclose; General Provisions Governing Discovery

(a) Required Disclosures.

\* \* \* \* \*

### (2) Disclosure of Expert Testimony.

(A) In General. In addition to the disclosures required by Rule 26(a)(1), a party must disclose to the other parties the identity of

any witness it may use at trial to present evidence under Federal Rule of Evidence 702, 703, or 705.

- (B) Witnesses Who Must Provide a Written Report. Unless otherwise stipulated or ordered by the court, this disclosure must be accompanied by a written report prepared and signed by the witness if the witness is one retained or specially employed to provide expert testimony in the case or one whose duties as the party's employee regularly involve giving expert testimony. The report must contain:
  - (i) a complete statement of all opinions the witness will express and the basis and reasons for them;

- (ii) the facts or data considered by the witness in forming them;
- (iii) any exhibits that will be used to summarize or support them;
- (iv) the witness's qualifications, including a list of all publications authored in the previous 10 years;
- (v) a list of all other cases in which, during
  the previous 4 years, the witness
  testified as an expert at trial or by
  deposition; and
- (vi) a statement of the compensation to be paid for the study and testimony in the case.
- (C) Witnesses Who Do Not Provide a Written

  Report. Unless otherwise stipulated or ordered by the court, if the witness is not

required to provide a written report, this disclosure must state:

- (i) the subject matter on which the witness is expected to present evidence under Federal Rule of Evidence 702, 703, or 705; and
- (ii) a summary of the facts and opinions to which the witness is expected to testify.
- (D) Time to Disclose Expert Testimony. A party must make these disclosures at the times and in the sequence that the court orders. Absent a stipulation or a court order, the disclosures must be made:
  - (i) at least 90 days before the date set for trial or for the case to be ready for trial;

- (ii) if the evidence is intended solely to contradict or rebut evidence on the same subject matter identified by another party under Rule 26(a)(2)(B) or
   (C), within 30 days after the other party's disclosure.
- (E) Supplementing the Disclosure. The parties must supplement these disclosures when required under Rule 26(e).

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) Discovery Scope and Limits.

\* \* \* \* \*

#### (3) Trial Preparation: Materials.

(A) Documents and Tangible Things.

Ordinarily, a party may not discover documents and tangible things that are prepared in anticipation of litigation or for

trial by or for another party or its representative (including the other party's attorney, consultant, surety, indemnitor, insurer, or agent). But, subject to Rule 26(b)(4), those materials may be discovered if:

- (i) they are otherwise discoverable under Rule 26(b)(1); and
- (ii) the party shows that it has substantial need for the materials to prepare its case and cannot, without undue hardship, obtain their substantial equivalent by other means.
- (B) Protection Against Disclosure. If the court orders discovery of those materials, it must protect against disclosure of the mental impressions, conclusions, opinions, or legal

- theories of a party's attorney or other representative concerning the litigation.
- (C) Previous Statement. Any party or other person may, on request and without the required showing, obtain the person's own previous statement about the action or its subject matter. If the request is refused, the person may move for a court order, and Rule 37(a)(5) applies to the award of expenses. A previous statement is either:
  - (i) a written statement that the person has signed or otherwise adopted or approved; or
  - (ii) a contemporaneous stenographic, mechanical, electrical, or other recording — or a transcription of it —

that recites substantially verbatim the person's oral statement.

# (4) Trial Preparation: Experts.

- (A) Deposition of an Expert Who May Testify. A party may depose any person who has been identified as an expert whose opinions may be presented at trial. If Rule 26(a)(2)(B) requires a report from the expert, the deposition may be conducted only after the report is provided.
- (B) Trial-Preparation Protection for Draft

  Reports or Disclosures. Rules 26(b)(3)(A)

  and (B) protect drafts of any report or

  disclosure required under Rule 26(a)(2),

  regardless of the form in which the draft is

  recorded.

- **(C)** Trial-Preparation Protection for Communications Between a Party's Attorney and Expert Witnesses. Rules 26(b)(3)(A) and (B) protect communications between the party's attorney and any witness required to provide a report under Rule 26(a)(2)(B), regardless ofthe form of the communications, except to the extent that the communications:
  - (i) relate to compensation for the expert's study or testimony;
  - (ii) identify facts or data that the party's attorney provided and that the expert considered in forming the opinions to be expressed; or
  - (iii) identify assumptions that the party's attorney provided and that the expert

relied on in forming the opinions to be expressed.

- (D) Expert Employed Only for Trial Preparation.

  Ordinarily, a party may not, by interrogatories or deposition, discover facts known or opinions held by an expert who has been retained or specially employed by another party in anticipation of litigation or to prepare for trial and who is not expected to be called as a witness at trial. But a party may do so only:
  - (i) as provided in Rule 35(b); or
  - (ii) on showing exceptional circumstances under which it is impracticable for the party to obtain facts or opinions on the same subject by other means.

- **(E)** Payment. Unless manifest injustice would result, the court must require that the party seeking discovery:
  - (i) pay the expert a reasonable fee for time spent in responding to discovery under Rule 26(b)(4)(A) or (D); and
  - (ii) for discovery under (D), also pay the other party a fair portion of the fees and expenses it reasonably incurred in obtaining the expert's facts and opinions.

\* \* \* \* \*

#### Rule 56. Summary Judgment

(a) Motion for Summary Judgment or Partial Summary Judgment. A party may move for summary judgment, identifying each claim or defense — or the part of each claim or defense — on which