М	С	I	n t	0	S	h	е	t	а	I	V		Μ	С	Α	D	f	o e
		1	JOSEPH jcotchett				6324)											
		2	MARK (mmolum	C. MOLI	UMPE	IY (#16	58009)											
		3	COTCH 840 Male	ETT, PI	FRE &	k McCA		Y										
		4	Burlinga Telephor	me, CA	94010)												
		5	Fax: (650	0) 697-0	577													
		6	Attorney.	s for Pla	intiffs	and Pr	roposed	d Class										
		7																
		8		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT														
		9				NO	RTHE	RN DIS	STRIC	T OF C	CALIF	ORNIA	A					
		10																
		11																
		12	LARRY McINTOSH, YANGJIE CHENG,) PING CHEN, STEVE HAEFFELE, LEBIN))	Case No. C06-07694 JW								
		13	 CHENG, VATSAL SONECHA, and JONATHAN WONG, individually and on PLAINTIFFS' MOTION F 	FOR	OR REPORT													
		14) ATTORNEYS FEES AND COST				RD											
		15	Plaintiffs,) PLAINTIFFS															
		16	V)	Date: Time:		10:0	ember 1 0 a.m.	16, 200	8			
		17	McAFEI	E, INC.,)	Court: Honor		2 oward	R. Llo	yd				
		18			I	Defenda	ant.)									
		19)									
		20																
		21																
		22 23																
		23																
		24																
		26																
		20																
	⊕	27																
С	LAW OFFICES	Γ,																
	PITRE & ICCARTH		[PROPOSEI	D] ORDER	GRANT	ING PLAI		MOTION CES AND				OMMEN	DATION	FO AWAI	RD AT	TOR	NEYS	
															D	0		C

С

	1	The Court, after considering Plaintiffs' Motion for Report and Recommendation to							
	1								
	2	Award Attorneys Fees and Costs to Plaintiffs, and the opposition thereto, and good cause							
	3	appearing, HEREBY makes the following Report and Recommendation:							
	4	1. The date that Defendant McAfee Inc. ("McAfee") completed the provision of							
	5	relief to former employees who are members of the putative class is January 15,							
	6	2008. 2 Disintiffs' coursel Catchett Ditra & McCanthy ("Catchett") chould be arounded							
	7	2. Plaintiffs' counsel, Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy ("Cotchett"), should be awarded $\frac{1}{2}$							
	8	attorneys' fees in the amount of <u>\$686,122.50</u> , representing the firm's lodestar of $2228,707,50$ for much more formed and the lower 15, 2008 with a multiplication of the second secon							
	9	\$228,707.50 for work performed until January 15, 2008 with a multiplier of three.							
	10	A lodestar enhancement is appropriate given the substantial results achieved, the							
	11	novelty and difficulty of the issues, the fact that litigation precluded other							
	12	employment, and the contingent nature of the fee arrangements. Cotchett is also							
	13	entitled to be reimbursed for expenses incurred until January 15, 2008 in the							
	14	amount of $$4,996.23$, which expenses were reasonable and necessary in order to							
	15	litigate this matter.							
	16								
	17	DATED: HON. HOWARD R. LLOYD							
	18	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE							
	19								
	20								
	21								
	22								
	23								
	24								
	25								
	26								
•	27								
LAW OFFICES COTCHETT,	28								
PITRE & MCCARTHY		[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION TO AWARD ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS TO PLAINTIFFS							
		1							