28

Doc. 144

¹ This disposition is not designated for publication in the official reports.

1	(2) requiring Plaintiff to submit to a mental status examination pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
2	Procedure 35. Plaintiff now objects to those orders, primarily on the basis of a purported
3	discovery cut-off date barring Defendant's requests. Plaintiff also argues that the orders
4	generally are unfair. Having considered the record, the Court is satisfied that the Magistrate
5	Judge's orders were not clearly erroneous or contrary to law. The Magistrate Judge correctly
6	rejected Plaintiff's argument with respect to a discovery cut-off date, noting that no such date had
7	been imposed by this Court and that Plaintiff had waived any such argument by propounding
8	discovery requests after the purported cut-off date. The Magistrate Judge also carefully
9	considered each of Plaintiff's substantive arguments with respect to privacy rights and
10	evidentiary relevance. In each instance, the Magistrate Judge reached a reasoned conclusion.
11	Accordingly, Plaintiff's objections will be overruled.
12	
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.
14	DATED: 2/9/09
15	DATED. 219109
16	JEREMY FOCEL United States Listrict Judge
17	Office States Wistret stage
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	This Order has been served electronically upon the following persons:
2	Cesar Javier del Peral cesar.delperal@dfeh.ca.gov
3	Eve Helayne Lewin Wagner, Esq ewagner@swattys.com
4	James A. Scharf james.scharf@usdoj.gov, mimi.lam@usdoj.gov
5	Laurie Beth Hiller lhiller@swattys.com
6	Lindsey A. Urbina Lindsey.Urbina@dfeh.ca.gov, Voneciel.Gaines@dfeh.ca.gov
7	Notice of this Order has been delivered by other means to:
8	Cathy Enwere 1263 Madera Ave.
9	Menlo Park, CA 94025
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	