The Facebook, Inc	v. Connectu, LLC et al		Doc. 218
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BORIS FELDMAN, State Bar No. 128838 CYNTHIA A. DY, State Bar No. 172761 CHRISTOPHER D. CATALANO, State Bar No. 20 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Telephone: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100  Attorneys for Defendant TIER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.		
9	UNITED STATES DIS	STRICT COURT	
	NORTHERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN FRANCISCO	DIVISION	
11			
12	JACK SPERLING, On Behalf of Himself and All Others Similarly Situated,	CASE NO.: C 03-5509 VRW	
13	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT TIER,	
14	v.	) TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF OTHER ACTION OR PROCEEDING	٤
15			
16	TIER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., JAMES L. BILDNER, LAURA B. DEPOLE and STEPHEN	(Civil L.R. 3-13)	
17	McCARTY,		
18	Defendants.	) )	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	TIER'S NOTICE OF PENDENCY (CIVIL L.R. 3-13) C 03-5509 VRW	2388120_1.D	OC
		Dockets.J	lustia.com

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-13, defendant Tier Technologies, Inc. ("Tier") respectfully submits this Notice of Pendency of Other Action or Proceeding.

## 1. Description Of State Court Action And Its Relation To This Action

The instant case ("Sperling") was filed December 8, 2003. Sperling is a purported shareholder class action brought against Tier and three current or former Tier officers, James Bildner, Laura DePole, and Stephen McCarty, for alleged violations of the federal securities laws.

On December 10, 2003, two days after *Sperling* was filed, Elizabeth Scala filed a "tagalong" purported shareholder derivative action on behalf of nominal defendant Tier against certain current and former officers and directors of Tier, including Bildner, DePole, and McCarty. This action is titled *Scala v. Bildner et al.*, Case No. C-03-03077. It was filed in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Contra Costa.

Sperling and Scala are based on substantially identical allegations. Indeed, while Scala purports to be a derivative rather than a direct action, the gist of both lawsuits is the same: plaintiffs in both Sperling and Scala allege that Tier's financial statements were false and misleading because Tier allegedly improperly recognized revenue pursuant to a contract between Tier and CalPERS. Compare Sperling Complaint ¶¶ 13-40 with Declaration of Christopher D. Catalano ("Catalano Decl."), Ex. 1 (Scala Complaint) ¶¶ 34-60 (repeating substantive allegations nearly verbatim).

In addition, in *Scala*, plaintiff alleges that, by allowing the issuance of false financial statements, the defendant directors and officers breached their fiduciary duty to Tier, abused their ability to control Tier, committed gross mismanagement, wasted corporate assets, and were unjustly enriched. *See Scala* Complaint ¶¶ 78-100. Plaintiff in *Scala* further alleges that the individual defendants in that matter caused harm to Tier through their alleged violations of federal securities laws. *Id.* ¶ 25. Specifically, plaintiff in *Scala* asserts that these alleged violations have exposed Tier to federal class action lawsuits, and that these lawsuits, in turn, will have a negative impact on Tier's finances, corporate image, and goodwill. *Id.* ¶¶ 25-26.

Plaintiff in *Scala* also alleges that two Tier executives (DePole and McCarty) sold stock in breach of their fiduciary duty to Tier and in violation of California Corporations Code Section 25402, based on their supposed knowledge of the purportedly misleading financial statements. *Id.* ¶¶ 68-77; *see also id.* ¶61. Similarly, the plaintiff in *Sperling* alleges that DePole and McCarty sold Tier stock based on their supposed knowledge of the same purportedly misleading financial statements. *See Sperling* Complaint ¶¶ 7-8, 10-12.

## 2. Coordination and Consolidation of State Court Action, This Action, and Declaratory Judgment Action

On December 31, 2003, Tier and the defendants in *Scala* filed a declaratory judgment action in this District against Ms. Scala based on the allegations in *Scala*. *Tier Technologies, Inc. et al. v. Scala*, U.S. District Court, N.D. Cal., C-03-5901 (CW) (the "Declaratory Judgment Action"). Pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-12, Tier and the other plaintiffs in the Declaratory Judgment Action filed a Notice of Related Case in that action on December 31, 2003 and in *Sperling* on January 5, 2004. This Notice of Related Case seeks assignment of the Declaratory Judgment Action to the Hon. Vaughn R. Walker so that all issues in the Declaratory Judgment Action and *Sperling* can be decided in one proceeding.

On January 12, 2004, nominal defendant Tier moved in Contra Costa County Superior Court to stay proceedings in *Scala* because of its similarity to *Sperling*. Catalano Decl. Exs. 2 (Notice of Motion to Stay), 3 (Motion to Stay), and 4 (Memorandum In Support of Motion to Stay). As Tier showed in that motion, a refusal to stay proceedings in *Scala* creates the risk of conflicting rulings on identical issues, would result in a waste of valuable party and judicial resources, and would impose substantial burdens on defendants in both *Scala* and *Sperling*.

For the same reasons that warrant a stay of proceedings in *Scala*, Tier respectfully submits that this Court should coordinate proceedings in *Sperling* with any proceedings that may occur in *Scala*. In addition, for the reasons set forth in its Notice of Related Case, Tier respectfully submits that the Declaratory Judgment Action should be consolidated with *Sperling*. Consolidating *Sperling* and the Declaratory Judgment Action in this Court (the Hon. Vaughn R. Walker), and coordinating any proceedings in *Scala* with these proceedings, would avoid

-2-

1	conflicts, conserve resources, and promote an efficient determination of all three actions. Se		
2	Civil L.R. 3-13(b)(3)(C).		
3			
4	Date: January 13, 2004	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation	
5			
6 7		<u>/s/ Christopher D. Catalano</u> Christopher D. Catalano	
8		Attorneys for Defendant	
9		TIER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			