

EXHIBIT 2

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

THEFACEBOOK, INC.,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.) NO. 1 05 CV 047381
)
 CONNECTU LLC,)
)
 Defendant.)

CONFIDENTIAL
VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION
OF
JOHN TAVES

8:58 a.m. - 4:49 p.m.
January 29, 2007
719 Second Avenue, Suite Number 900
Seattle, Washington

Joan E. Kinn, CCR, RPR
Court Reporter

1 A. No. 09:37:56

2 Q. Okay. Have you ever seen this list of topics 09:37:57

3 in Exhibit A? 09:38:00

4 A. I think so. 09:38:03

5 Q. Okay. Number 2 says, work performed for 09:38:04

6 ConnectU; do you see that? 09:38:08

7 A. Yeah. 09:38:10

8 Q. Are you prepared today to talk about work 09:38:10

9 that you performed for ConnectU? 09:38:13

10 A. Yeah. 09:38:15

11 Q. Okay. So you started in December 2004, 09:38:16

12 correct? 09:38:21

13 A. Yeah. 09:38:21

14 Q. Okay. What were you working on at the time? 09:38:22

15 MR. MOSKO: Question is vague. 09:38:26

16 A. You mean what did we work on for ConnectU? 09:38:28

17 Q. Mm-hm. 09:38:32

18 A. I'm not sure. 09:38:33

19 Q. Okay. So the whole time you worked, the 09:38:35

20 whole time you worked for ConnectU, why don't you just 09:38:38

21 give me a list of things you worked on, maybe that will 09:38:41

22 be easier to do it that way. 09:38:45

23 A. I'm not sure what we have worked on for them, 09:38:46

24 I don't know. 09:38:50

25 Q. You're supposed to be the person most 09:38:50

1 A. Yeah, go ahead. 10:04:42

2 Q. What is this? 10:04:44

3 A. I think this was i2Hub and ConnectU's 10:04:48

4 thoughts on how to share their, you know, two services 10:04:54

5 and contribute marketing. 10:05:00

6 Q. Okay. And did you review this at the time 10:05:03

7 you received it? 10:05:05

8 A. I think so, yeah. 10:05:05

9 Q. Do you recall if you asked Cameron any 10:05:07

10 questions about it? 10:05:12

11 A. I don't remember, but I probably would have. 10:05:12

12 Q. Okay. Would anybody else have talked to 10:05:14

13 Cameron about this document? 10:05:17

14 MR. MOSKO: On behalf of Pacific Northwest 10:05:19

15 Software? 10:05:20

16 A. Winston and Joel. 10:05:22

17 Q. Winston and Joel? 10:05:24

18 A. Winston and Joel. 10:05:25

19 Q. Okay. And why Winston? 10:05:27

20 A. Well, I don't remember specifically the 10:05:36

21 timing of all these things, but Winston was working on 10:05:38

22 ConnectU. Whether he was working on ConnectU at exactly 10:05:43

23 this time, I don't know, but I'm just assuming that it 10:05:47

24 would have been Winston. 10:05:49

25 Q. But this is -- 10:05:51

1 A. He was the primary developer for ConnectU. 10:05:51
2 Q. Okay, sorry to interrupt you. 10:05:54
3 Okay, and so this might have been a project 10:05:56
4 he would have worked on for ConnectU while working at 10:05:57
5 Pacific Northwest? 10:06:02
6 A. Right. 10:06:02
7 Q. Okay, great. If you look at the second page. 10:06:03
8 A. Mm-hm. 10:06:07
9 Q. It has a section called CU Modifications more 10:06:08
10 technical. 10:06:12
11 A. Mm-hm. 10:06:13
12 Q. Is that, to your recollection, is that just 10:06:14
13 modifications to the existing site that ConnectU wanted 10:06:23
14 Pacific Northwest to do; do you know? 10:06:27
15 MR. MOSKO: Calls for speculation. 10:06:31
16 A. So say that question again, because this -- 10:06:40
17 Q. Okay, so let's start at the beginning then, 10:06:43
18 maybe this will make it more clear, Mr. Taves. 10:06:45
19 A. Okay. 10:06:48
20 Q. I don't want to confuse you. 10:06:48
21 A. Okay. 10:06:49
22 Q. So this is an overview, it looks like an 10:06:50
23 integration spec that Cameron sent to you. 10:06:53
24 A. Mm-hm. 10:06:55
25 Q. And this looks like it outlines the project 10:06:56

1 the client talks to? 10:13:07

2 MR. MOSKO: Calls for speculation and lacks 10:13:09

3 foundation. 10:13:11

4 A. We pass it around quite a bit. 10:13:11

5 Q. Okay, so there's not -- there's not sort of 10:13:14

6 like a team lead and then -- 10:13:16

7 A. No. 10:13:17

8 Q. Okay. And what is your role then with the 10:13:18

9 company? 10:13:21

10 MR. MOSKO: Generally or in this instance? 10:13:21

11 Q. Do you understand the question? 10:13:23

12 A. Well, I'm the -- I'm the CEO, the president, 10:13:24

13 but, you know, Mike and I are fairly equal, and so we 10:13:34

14 team up to make the major decisions. 10:13:40

15 Q. Okay, it's a fairly small company, right? 10:13:42

16 A. Yes. 10:13:45

17 Q. So as the CEO, what are your day-to-day 10:13:46

18 responsibilities? 10:13:50

19 A. Anything I feel like doing. I mean I worry 10:13:50

20 about the books, I worry about who we employ, I worry 10:13:55

21 about the customers, I worry about the -- 10:13:58

22 Q. Okay, do you do any development work 10:14:01

23 yourself? 10:14:03

24 A. Yeah, some. 10:14:04

25 Q. And did you do any development work for 10:14:05

1 ConnectU? 10:14:07
2 A. No. 10:14:08
3 Q. Who did that? 10:14:09
4 A. The bulk of it was Winston. 10:14:10
5 Q. Okay. 10:14:12
6 A. Joel did some. I think there was others that 10:14:13
7 did a little bit, but I can't remember the extent of all 10:14:16
8 of them. 10:14:20
9 Q. Okay. Did Wayne Chang do any? 10:14:20
10 A. I don't think so. 10:14:23
11 Q. Okay. 10:14:25
12 A. Could be wrong. 10:14:26
13 Q. Did you have any interaction with iMarc, a 10:14:36
14 company called iMarc, with regard to your work -- 10:14:51
15 A. Yeah. 10:14:53
16 Q. -- with ConnectU? 10:14:53
17 MR. MOSKO: Let her finish the question 10:14:54
18 before answering. 10:14:56
19 Q. So you did, okay. Who at iMarc did you work 10:14:57
20 with or talk to? 10:15:00
21 A. I can't remember his name. 10:15:02
22 Q. Mark Pierrat? 10:15:05
23 A. That sounds familiar. There might have been 10:15:08
24 another name too. 10:15:11
25 Q. Dave Tufts? 10:15:13

1 Q. Okay. How would you describe your management 10:45:16
2 style in terms of the people who work for you? 10:45:22

3 MR. MOSKO: The question is vague, overbroad, 10:45:24
4 and uncertain. 10:45:27

5 A. You would have to be more specific, I, you 10:45:29
6 know. 10:45:32

7 Q. Are you a micro manager, do you watch what 10:45:33
8 they do? 10:45:36

9 A. I don't think I'm a micro manager. 10:45:37

10 Q. Do you have regular status meetings? 10:45:40

11 A. No, not regular. 10:45:44

12 Q. Would you have meetings to talk with your 10:45:45
13 team working on a particular product or project to see 10:45:58
14 how it's going? 10:45:58

15 MR. MOSKO: Calls for speculation and lacks 10:45:58
16 foundation. 10:45:59

17 A. Yeah, we do have meetings. 10:46:00

18 Q. Do you expect regular updates from your 10:46:02
19 developers on how projects are going? 10:46:05

20 MR. MOSKO: Vague and uncertain. 10:46:07

21 A. I don't expect, I hope. 10:46:09

22 Q. Do you get regular updates from your 10:46:11
23 developers? 10:46:14

24 A. No, not regular. I get updates. 10:46:15

25 Q. You get updates. If something significant is 10:46:18

1 happening in a project, do you generally learn about it? 10:46:23

2 A. Well, the ones I haven't learned about I 10:46:28

3 don't know, so. 10:46:30

4 Q. Would you expect your developers to tell you 10:46:31

5 significant things that are going on in their projects? 10:46:34

6 A. I would hope so. 10:46:36

7 Q. Okay. 10:46:38

8 A. But I don't expect it. 10:46:38

9 Q. And if and when you have status meetings with 10:46:40

10 your staff, what kinds of things do you talk about? 10:46:43

11 MR. MOSKO: The question is hopelessly vague 10:46:46

12 and overbroad and uncertain. 10:46:48

13 A. We talk about all sorts of things. 10:46:52

14 Q. Okay. So let's take ConnectU for example, 10:46:56

15 did you have any staff meetings regarding ConnectU's 10:46:59

16 projects? 10:47:03

17 MR. MOSKO: Vague as to time. 10:47:03

18 A. I'm sure we have had meetings. 10:47:05

19 Q. Do you recall any of them? 10:47:07

20 A. No. I mean the definition of meeting, you 10:47:15

21 know, we exchange E-mails, we do chats, there's nothing 10:47:21

22 any -- there's nothing formal about it. Communication 10:47:26

23 happened all over the place, so it's just, yeah, I mean 10:47:30

24 you got the E-mails. 10:47:39

25 Q. But you're generally kept up to date on 10:47:42

1 Q. Okay. 11:10:04

2 What did you mean when you said, we've got 11:10:06

3 nothing interesting, go bark up another tree? 11:10:09

4 A. Well, with Winston gone, I didn't think we 11:10:12

5 had any information for you. Winston is the answers as 11:10:16

6 far as I'm concerned. 11:10:19

7 Q. Why Winston? 11:10:20

8 A. He did the majority of the work for ConnectU. 11:10:22

9 Q. But ConnectU hired Pacific Northwest Software 11:10:26

10 to do the work, correct? 11:10:29

11 A. Mm-hm. 11:10:30

12 Q. Do you have any sense for how many documents 11:10:34

13 you produced? 11:10:37

14 A. No. 11:10:39

15 Q. Okay. When you say we've got nothing 11:10:40

16 interesting, what do you mean by that? 11:10:45

17 A. Your -- I don't think I can contribute any 11:10:56

18 information as to anything about the Social Butterfly or 11:11:01

19 Facebook importer or whatever it is, because Winston was 11:11:05

20 the major developer for ConnectU, and he's not an 11:11:11

21 employee, so, you know, I don't have the information. 11:11:17

22 Q. Okay. So the other tree you wanted us to 11:11:28

23 bark up is Winston? 11:11:28

24 A. I don't care where you bark, I was just 11:11:28

25 getting tired of paying lawyers to answer these 11:11:30

1 Q. Do you know what he's talking about? 11:46:46

2 MR. MOSKO: Calls for speculation, the 11:46:49

3 document speaks for itself. 11:46:51

4 A. Not really, no. 11:46:55

5 Q. Okay. He says, the bulk of our time was 11:46:58

6 spent on it; do you see that? 11:47:01

7 A. Mm-hm. 11:47:03

8 Q. If the bulk of Winston's time was spent on a 11:47:05

9 project, would you not be aware of it? 11:47:09

10 MR. MOSKO: Calls for speculation and lacks 11:47:12

11 foundation and assumes facts not in evidence. 11:47:14

12 A. Okay, on this sentence I'm not sure who he's 11:47:28

13 referring to as our. And then specifically on your 11:47:28

14 question, you said if Winston worked on something, would 11:47:32

15 I not know about it, could you say that again? 11:47:37

16 Q. I think I will actually. 11:47:40

17 A. Okay. 11:47:41

18 Q. I'm trying to figure out who manages these 11:47:42

19 projects, because if Wayne -- if Winston spent a great 11:47:46

20 deal of time on this product, it seems to me because 11:47:51

21 your company is small that you would know what Winston 11:47:55

22 is doing. I'm doing the same thing you did. Were you 11:47:59

23 not aware of the projects he was working on? 11:48:02

24 MR. MOSKO: Let me object to the question as 11:48:05

25 lacking in foundation and overbroad and substantially 11:48:07

1 misstating the document and misinterpreting the 11:48:11
2 document. 11:48:17

3 A. You're correct, I was not aware of the 11:48:17
4 details of what Winston is working on. I knew that he 11:48:20
5 was working on ConnectU and that they were paying the 11:48:24
6 bills. 11:48:27

7 Q. And that's all you were concerned about? 11:48:28

8 A. Pretty much. 11:48:30

9 Q. So do you know what Wayne is referring to 11:48:44
10 that -- go back to the first page, if you will, please. 11:48:48

11 A. Mm-hm. 11:48:50

12 Q. The sentence where he says that Social 11:48:51
13 Butterfly now illegally uses logins and passwords 11:48:54
14 procured from ConnectU users, do you know what he's 11:48:58
15 talking about there? 11:49:01

16 MR. MOSKO: Objection, question calls for 11:49:02
17 speculation, lacks foundation, and is substantially 11:49:05
18 incomprehensible. 11:49:09

19 A. I don't know the details. 11:49:19

20 Q. Why was Wayne sending you bullet, I'm 11:49:29
21 assuming he means bullet points, but why was he sending 11:49:33
22 you this, do you know, did you ask him for it? 11:49:37

23 MR. MOSKO: Compound, answer the latter 11:49:42
24 question I think is the one she's asking for. 11:49:45

25 A. Why did he send it? 11:49:47

1 Q. No, did you ask him for it? 11:49:49
2 A. No, I didn't ask him to send me this. I -- I 11:49:51
3 don't know. 11:49:53
4 Q. Did you have a discussion with him that 11:49:53
5 preceded this E-mail about these issues? 11:49:56
6 MR. MOSKO: Overbroad. 11:50:00
7 A. Could be. 11:50:01
8 Q. Would you have asked him to put in an E-mail 11:50:04
9 the bullet points? 11:50:08
10 MR. MOSKO: Calls for speculation, lacks 11:50:10
11 foundation. 11:50:12
12 A. It seems unlikely. It seems more like he was 11:50:13
13 seeking out my help than I was encouraging him to seek 11:50:17
14 it out. 11:50:21
15 Q. Did you talk to anyone at ConnectU about 11:50:21
16 these issues? 11:50:24
17 MR. MOSKO: Lacks foundation. 11:50:25
18 Q. You, Mr. Taves. 11:50:26
19 MR. MOSKO: Hold on one second. 11:50:28
20 The question lacks foundation and is 11:50:30
21 overbroad. 11:50:32
22 A. If these issues are Wayne's relationship with 11:50:35
23 Cameron, yes, I did, you know, E-mail and speak with 11:50:39
24 Cameron and Wayne about it. 11:50:46
25 Q. What did you E-mail Cameron about? 11:50:51