Doc. No. 1531863

("[D]ocuments which have not had a proper foundation laid to authenticate them cannot support a motion for summary judgment.").

Plaintiffs routinely rely on evidence that lacks foundation, is hearsay, has not been properly authenticated, and/or is otherwise inadmissible. *None* of the emails or instant message conversations relied upon by Plaintiffs have been properly authenticated pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 901(a), which requires that a document's proponent proffer "evidence sufficient to support a finding that the matter in question is what its proponent claims." Plaintiffs have not offered any authentication that these emails resulted from production pursuant to document requests. Nor have Plaintiffs shown that the emails upon which they rely were generated/received from the individuals whom they assert were the writers/recipients. One prominent commentator has written that "[b]ecause of the potential for unauthorized transmission of e-mail messages, authentication requires testimony from a person with personal knowledge of the transmission or receipt to ensure its trustworthiness." 5 Jack B.

Weinstein & Margaret A. Berger, *Weinstein's Federal Evidence* § 900.07[3][c] (2d Ed. 2007).

Plaintiffs' counsel's declaration that the exhibits are "true and correct copies" is not sufficient to authenticate them. *See Orr v. Bank of Am.*, 285 F.3d 764, 776-77 (9th Cir. 2002).

To the extent any emails are properly authenticated, they are inadmissible hearsay under Fed. R. Evid. 802.

Notable examples of Plaintiffs attempts to use inadmissible evidence in support of their Motion include:

The only emails that Plaintiffs even purport to authenticate in their Motion are emails sent by employees of iMarc. (See Exs. 22-27, 29 to Cooper Decl., cited in Mot. at 5, 17, 18, 20.) Not only

¹ Furthermore, two emails from third parties contained in Exhibits 29 and 45 are not even identified as true and correct copies, yet Plaintiffs directly quote hearsay statements from them in their Motion. At pages 22-23 of the Motion, Plaintiffs quote from an email from "Glen C. Hill" included in Exhibit 29, though Mr. Cooper only attests that the exchange of "Emails between Marc Pierrat and Cameron Winklevoss" is a "true and correct copy." Similarly, at page 11 of the Motion, Plaintiffs quote from an email from "David M. Shirley" included in Exhibit 45, though Mr. Cooper only attests that the exchange of "Emails between Cameron Winklevoss, Wayne Chang, David Gucwa" is a "true and correct copy."

was Plaintiffs' attempt at authentication inadequate, these emails are clear hearsay and Plaintiffs have not laid any foundation that Defendants were even aware of these communications.

Plaintiffs extensively cite Exhibit 9 to the Cooper Declaration, "Instant Messaging between David Gucwa and various individuals in 2005." (Mot. at 3, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17, 18, 19, 20.) However, Mr. Gucwa has not been deposed and plaintiffs have entirely failed to authenticate and lay a foundation for the reliability of these documents. In *United States v. Tank*, 200 F.3d 627, 630-31 (9th Cir. 2000), the government succeeded in authenticating print outs of the defendant's online chat conversations through the testimony of the producer of the print outs of the chats, who explained how he created the logs with his computer and stated that the printouts appeared to be accurate representations; the government established the connection between defendant and the chat room log printouts by showing the defendant habitually used the screen name in question. Plaintiffs have offered no such evidence here. *See also United States v. Gagliardi*, 506 F.3d 140, 151 (2nd Cir. 2007) (in criminal case, relying on informant and investigating agent's testimony that instant message chats involved defendant to find they were properly authenticated).

Plaintiffs cite several similar emails from nonparties which they claim show "misleading" emails sent by ConnectU. (See Exs. 40, 43, 63, 64 to the Cooper Decl., cited in Mot. at 10.) These emails lack foundation, have not been properly authenticated, and contain hearsay.

Finally, Plaintiffs cite Exhibit 41 to the Cooper Declaration, which is many pages of what appears to be computer code. (Mot. at 9, 13, 20, 21.) These documents are hearsay and lack foundation. There is no testimony that would tie this or any code produced to code that was used in the ConnectU site. Further, Plaintiffs' conclusions as to the effect of this script are unsupported and lack foundation.

Defendants' objections to all inadmissible evidence offered by Plaintiffs are set out in the following chart:

(Exhibits Marked With "*" Were Filed Under Seal)

EXHIBIT # DESCRIPTION BATES RANGE		OBJECTIONS	PAGE CITES
Ex. 6*	Emails between C. Winklevoss	Hearsay; lacks	3, 19
C003990-91	and M. Pierrat Re: registration,	foundation. Plaintiffs	<u></u>

EXHIBIT # BATES RANGE	DESCRIPTION	OBJECTIONS	PAGE CITES
	May 4, 2004	fail to authenticate this document.	
Ex. 9* GUCWA 0003,	Instant Messaging between David Guewa and various	Hearsay; lacks foundation. Plaintiffs	3, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14,
0009, 0022-25, 0032-33, 0048-	individuals in 2005	fail to authenticate this document.	17, 18, 19, 20
49, 0056-60, 0071, 0073-78,	THE STATE OF THE S		
0097-99, 0124, 0128, 0130, 0134,			
0142, 0152-53 Ex. 20*	Emails between Cameron and	Hearsay; lacks	4
C003865-69	Howard Winklevoss Re: Social Networks, May 3, 2004	foundation. Plaintiffs fail to authenticate	
Ex. 22	Email from Mark Pierrat to Fred	this document. Hearsay; lacks	5, 18
iMarc000798	LeBlanc, Dave Tufts, and Nick Grant Re: mining the facebook, June 11, 2004	foundation. Plaintiffs fail to authenticate this document.	
Ex. 23 iMarc000802	Email from Mark Pierrat to Fred LeBlanc, Dave Tufts, and Nick Grant Re: mining the facebook,	Hearsay; lacks foundation. Plaintiffs fail to authenticate	5, 18
Ex. 24 iMarc000800	June 11, 2004 Email from Nick Grant to Mark Pierrat, Fred LeBlanc, and Dave Tufts Re: mining the facebook, June 11, 2004	this document. Hearsay; lacks foundation. Plaintiffs fail to authenticate this document.	5, 18
Ex. 25 iMarc000659	Email from seluraved@gmail.com to Dave Tufts Re: [iMarc] Personal Mail,	Hearsay; lacks foundation. Plaintiffs fail to authenticate this document.	5, 17, 18
Ex. 26	July 6, 2004 Email between Marc Pierrat,	Hearsay; lacks	5, 18, 20
iMarc000629-31	Dave Tufts, Nick Grant, and Jennifer Starr Re: Jennifer Starr	foundation. Plaintiffs fail to authenticate	
	wants you to join ConnectU, July 22, 2004	this document.	
Ex. 27 iMarc000474	Emails between David Gomel, Dave Tufts, and Nils Menten Re: [iMarc] WWW Mail, September 14, 2004	Hearsay; lacks foundation. Plaintiffs fail to authenticate this document.	5, 18

Doc. No. 1531863

27

EXHIBIT # BATES RANGE	DESCRIPTION	OBJECTIONS	PAGE CITES
Ex. 29* C007512-17	Emails from Marc Pierrat to Cameron Winklevoss Re: Unsolicited commercial email sent to Northeastern University, August 26, 2004	Hearsay; lacks foundation. Plaintiffs fail to authenticate this document.	5, 22, 23
Ex. 32* PNS01766-77	Pacific Northwest Software, "Time by Job Detail," September 1, 2003-February 6,	Hearsay; lacks foundation. Plaintiffs fail to authenticate	6, 9, 12, 18, 19, 20
Ex. 33*	2007 i2hub.com Billing Details for	this document. Hearsay; lacks	6, 20
C011073-82	Time & Expenses for David Gucwa, January 13, 2005 - March 30, 2005 (Same as Ex. 55)	foundation. Plaintiffs fail to authenticate this document.	
Ex. 34*	Email from Wayne Change to	Hearsay; lacks	6, 11, 18, 20,
PNS000015-16	John Taves Re: bullets, May 25, 2005	foundation. Plaintiffs fail to authenticate	23
Ex. 36*	ConnectU Profiler Importer -	this document. Hearsay; lacks	6, 7, 8, 9, 17,
PNS02096	Social Butterfly diagram	foundation. Plaintiffs fail to authenticate this document.	19, 20
Ex. 37* C011010	Front Page of Social Butterfly website	Hearsay; lacks foundation. Plaintiffs fail to authenticate this document.	8
Ex. 38* C000027-28	Privacy Statement for www.ConnectU.com	Hearsay; lacks foundation. Plaintiffs fail to authenticate	8
7 104	F 16 20 1 1	this document.	6 10 22
Ex. 40* C004512	Email from jsteven3@nd.edu to Mark Ruocco Re: Mark Rucco invites you to join ConnectU,	Hearsay; lacks foundation. Plaintiffs fail to authenticate	8, 10, 22
Ex. 41*	April 21, 2005	this document. Hearsay, lacks	9, 13, 14, 20,
PNS001377-86, PNS0281451-54,	Computer Code	foundation and is unauthenticated.	9, 13, 14, 20,
PNS0281495		Specifically,	
PNS0281504-14, PNS0281520,		regarding certain pages of what appears	
PNS0281522-26, PNS0296805-06,		to be computer script that are DEFENDANTS' OBJECTION	

1	EXHIBIT #	DESCRIPTION	OBJECTIONS	PAGE CITES
2	BATES RANGE			
3	PNS0320945		unauthenticated and lack foundation.	
4			There is no evidence	
			this script was run.	
5			Plaintiffs' conclusions as to the effect of this	
6	THE PARTY OF THE P		script are unsupported	
7	Ex. 42*	Email from Winston Williams to	and lack foundation. Hearsay; lacks	9, 19, 21
8	CUCA02972	Cameron & Tyler Winklevoss,	foundation. Plaintiffs	7, 17, 21
9		Joel Voss, and John Taves Re:	fail to authenticate	
9	Ex. 43*	ConnectU Stats, May 10, 2005 Emails between Hanah Kim and	this document. Hearsay; lacks	9, 10, 22
10	CUCA000172	Thomas Cheng Re: Thomas	foundation. Plaintiffs	, , . · · ,
11		Cheng invites you to join	fail to authenticate	
12	Ex. 45*	ConnectU, April 21-22, 2005 Emails from Cameron	this document. Hearsay; lacks	11, 12, 13, 16,
11	PNS000842-43	Winklevoss to Wayne Chang,	foundation. Plaintiffs	18, 21, 23
13		David Gucwa, John Taves,	fail to authenticate	
14		Winston Williams and Joel Voss Re: importer.i2hub.com,	this document.	
15		February 17, 2005		
	Ex. 46*	Email from Tyler Winklevoss to	Hearsay; lacks foundation. Plaintiffs	12, 18, 21
16	PNS001238-39	Tyler and Cameron Winklevoss, Winston Williams, Joel Voss	fail to authenticate	
17		and John Taves Re: Update,	this document.	
18	Ex. 47*	February 18, 2005 Email from Cameron	Hearsay; lacks	12, 18
19	C004243	Winklevoss to	foundation. Plaintiffs	12, 10
		mrprimate@pnwsoft.com,	fail to authenticate	
20		Wayne Change, and David Gucwa, February 22, 2005	this document.	
21	Ex. 48*	Email from Wayne Chang to	Hearsay; lacks	13
22	PNS001334-40	John Taves forwards Instant	foundation. Plaintiffs	
23	wat-v-	Messaging between Tyler Winklevoss and Wayne Chang	fail to authenticate this document.	
	The state of the s	Re: Social Butterfly and		
24	T 40	i2hub.com, May 25, 2005	Hoomory looks	16
25	Ex. 49 C006186-96	Article entitled Business, Casual, by Kevin J. Feeney, published in	Hearsay; lacks foundation. Plaintiffs	16
26		The Harvard Crimson, February	fail to authenticate	
27	Ex. 51*	24, 2005 Emails between John Taves,	this document. Hearsay; lacks	19
	C008392	Wayne Chang, and Cameron	foundation. Plaintiffs	1 J
28		Winklevoss Re: DB and	fail to authenticate	

EXHIBIT # BATES RANGE	DESCRIPTION	OBJECTIONS	PAGE CITES
	importan Eshmany 16, 2005	this document.	
Ex. 52*	importer, February 16, 2005 Email from Wayne Chang to	Hearsay; lacks	19
C008657	Winston Williams, Cameron &	foundation. Plaintiffs	19
C006037	Tyler Winklevoss, and David	fail to authenticate	
	Gucwa Re: crawler, February	this document.	
	20, 2005	tino document.	
Ex. 53*	Email from Wayne Chang to	Hearsay; lacks	19
C008662	David Gucwa, Cameron	foundation. Plaintiffs	
	Winklevoss and Winston	fail to authenticate	
	Williams Re: crawler, February	this document.	
	19, 2005		
Ex. 54*	Email from Cameron	Hearsay; lacks	19
C010359	Winklevoss to Wayne Chang,	foundation. Plaintiffs	
	Winston Williams, and David	fail to authenticate	
	Gucwa re: importer down,	this document.	
	February 19, 2005		
Ex. 55*	i2hub.com Billing Details for	Hearsay; lacks	20
C009887-96	Time & Expenses for David	foundation. Plaintiffs	
	Gucwa, January 13, 2005 -	fail to authenticate	
	March 30, 2005 (Same as Ex.	this document.	
	33)		#
Ex. 58*	Emails between Winston	Hearsay; lacks	21
C008389-91	Williams and John Taves re: DB	foundation. Plaintiffs	21
C000307-71	and Importer, February 16, 2005	fail to authenticate	
	and importer, reordary 10, 2000	this document.	
Ex. 59*	Email from Cameron	Hearsay; lacks	21
C006537	Winklevoss to drtol@gmail.com,	foundation. Plaintiffs	
	mrprimate@pnwsoft.com,	fail to authenticate	
	tyler@winklevoss.com,	this document.	
	jvoss@pnwsoft.com and		
	john.taves@pnwsoft.com Re:		
***************************************	update		
Ex. 61*	Email from Winston Williams to	Hearsay; lacks	21
CUCA02976-77	Cameron Winklevoss Re:	foundation. Plaintiffs	
	ConnectU Website Contact	fail to authenticate	***************************************
Ex. 63*	Form: 02/05/2006	this document. Hearsay; lacks	9, 10
Ex. 63* CUCA000208	Email from Danny Abed to vroenteno@ucdavis.edu Re:	foundation. Plaintiffs	7, 10
CUCAUUUZU8	Danny Abed invites you to join	fail to authenticate	
i	ConnectU, April 20, 2005	this document.	
		ano accament.	
Fx 64*		Hearsay lacks	9 10
Ex. 64* CUCA000210	Email from JoJo Lagace to	Hearsay; lacks foundation. Plaintiffs	9, 10
Ex. 64* CUCA000210		Hearsay; lacks foundation. Plaintiffs fail to authenticate	9, 10

1		
2	Dated: February 6, 2008	FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.
3		GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.
4		
5		By: /s/ Scott R. Mosko
6		Attorneys for Defendants ConnectU LLC, Pacific Northwest Software, Inc., Winston
7		Williams, and Wayne Chang
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		