19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case 5:07-cv-01389-RS Document 45 Filed 04/10/2007 Page 1 of 3 1 2 3 \*E-FILED 4/10/07\* 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 SAN JOSE DIVISION 9 THE FACEBOOK, INC., NO. C 07-01389 (RS) 10 Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME v. 11 CONNECTU LLC, et al., 12 13 Defendants. 14 15 16 17 Before the Court is the motion of plaintiff The Facebook, Inc., brought under Civil Local 18

Rule 6-3, to enlarge the time for it to file opposition to the motion to dismiss filed by defendants Pacific Northwest Software and Winston. The motion to dismiss asserts that the Court lacks personal jurisdiction over the moving defendants and is presently set for hearing on May 2, 2007. Facebook's opposition to that motion is presently due on April 11, 2007. Facebook has filed a motion seeking leave to take expedited discovery related to the jurisdictional issues raised by the motion to dismiss. Facebook's motion is set for hearing on May 16, 2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Defendant ConnectU has filed a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim that is also set for hearing on May 2, 2007. Facebook has not sought to change the briefing schedule or hearing date on that motion. Accordingly, it will remain on calendar as scheduled, with Facebook's opposition due on April 11, 2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Defendants' motion to dismiss was filed and served on March 21, 2007, thereby providing six weeks notice rather than the minimum of five required under the local rules. Facebook filed the present application and its motion for expedited discovery approximately two weeks later. Although

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Court has received and considered defendants' opposition to the motion to enlarge time, but concludes there are no exigencies that would favor hearing the motion to dismiss prior to considering whether Facebook should be permitted to take discovery on jurisdictional issues. Defendants' argument that there is no need for such discovery will be considered when Facebook's motion is heard.

Accordingly, good cause appearing IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- (1) The briefing schedule on defendants' motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction is STAYED and the hearing date for the motion is VACATED.
- (2) The Court will set a new briefing schedule and hearing date for the motion to dismiss upon determining what, if any, discovery on jurisdictional issues will be permitted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 10, 2007

RICHARD SEEBORG United States Magistrate Judge

Facebook perhaps could have minimized inconvenience had it acted more quickly, the delay was not so unreasonable as to require denial of this motion.

1	THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT NOTICE OF THIS ORDER HAS BEEN GIVEN TO:		
2	I. Neel Chatterjee		
3	Monte M.F. Cooper mcooper@orrick.com, adalton@orrick.com; shart@orrick.com		
4 5	Scott R. Mosko scott.mosko@finnegan.com, matthew.tueller@finnegan.com; rosanna.herrick@finnegan.com; lissette.vazquez@finnegan.com; dawn.butler@finnegan.com karen.reimer@finnegan.com; randal.holderfield@finnegan.com	n;	
6			
7	Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel who have not registered for e-filing under the Court's CM/ECF program.	document to co-counsel who have not gram.	
8			
9	Dated: 4/10/07 Chambers of Judge Richard Seebor	g	
10	By: /s/ BAK		
11	• ————		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			