	Case c.o. ov croco ovv Bood	1110111 020 1 1100 00/21/2000 1 agc 2 01 / 3
1		
2	APPEARANCES (CONTIN	UED)
3	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER, LLP BY: D. MICHAEL UNDERHILL
4		5301 WISCONSIN AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20015
5		WASHINGTON, D.C. 20015
6	FOR INTERVENOR:	O'SHEA PARTNERS, LLP BY: SEAN F. O'SHEA AND
7		MARK A. WEISSMAN 90 PARK AVENUE, 20TH FLOOR
8		NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10016
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	·	
24		
25		
		2

Case 5:07-cv-01389-JW Document 623 Filed 08/21/2008 Page 2 of 73

16:49:33 1 16:49:36 2 16:49:41 3 16:49:44 4 16:49:48 5 16:49:51 6 16:49:54 7 16:49:56 8 16:49:58 9 16:50:01 10 16:50:06 11 16:50:07 12 16:50:09 13 16:50:11 14 16:50:15 15 . 16:50:15 16 16:50:17 17 16:50:20 18 16:50:22 19 16:50:28 20 16:50:31 21 16:50:34 22 16:50:36 23

16:50:38 24

16:50:40 25

THERE'S NO COMPLAINT IN INTERVENTION THAT
DOES IT. IT SIMPLY SEEMS TO BE AN ORDER PERMITTING
THAT INTERVENTION WITH THE IDEA THAT IF THE CASE
RETURNS TO A LITIGATED STATUS, THEN I NEED TO SORT
OUT WHETHER THEY ARE INTERVENING AS PLAINTIFFS OR
DEFENDANTS AND WHO ARE THE PARTIES AGAINST WHOM
THEY WOULD BE PURSUING THEIR CLAIMS.

LET ME PAUSE WITH THOSE INTRODUCTORY

COMMENTS AND INVITE MR. O'SHEA OR OTHERS TO COMMENT

ON THE COURT'S INTENDED DECISION.

MR. O'SHEA: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

FIRST OF ALL, JUDGE, THANK YOU FOR

HEARING US ON SHORT NOTICE. WE APPRECIATE IT AND

WE ARE -- YOU'RE CORRECT THAT WE'RE NEW TO THE FRAY

HERE.

THIS INTERVENTION MOTION, YOUR HONOR, IS STRAIGHTFORWARD. WE'RE HERE ON BEHALF OF ACTUALLY THREE PERSONS SEEKING INTERVENTION. THE APPLICANT INTERVENORS ARE TYLER AND CAMERON WINKLEVOSS, WHO ARE BROTHERS, AND DIVYA NARENDRA, AS YOUR HONOR IS AWARE, THE INDIVIDUALS WHO SIGNED THE TERM SHEET WHICH YOUR HONOR HELD ENFORCEABLE AND THAT IS GOING TO BE THE SUBJECT OF AN APPEAL.

ONE OF THE TERMS OF THAT TERM SHEET IS

THAT FACEBOOK GETS OWNERSHIP OF CONNECTU STOCK.

16:50:44 1 16:50:47 2 16:50:50 3 16:50:52 4 16:50:55 5 16:50:59 6 16:51:02 7 16:51:04 8 16:51:05 9

16:51:07 10

16:51:11 11

16:51:14 12

16:51:17 13

16:51:20 14

16:51:23 15

16:51:26 16

16:51:27 17

16:51:29 18

16:51:31 19

16:51:35 20

16:51:38 21

16:51:40 22

UNTIL VERY RECENTLY, JUDGE, MY CLIENTS BELIEVED, AND HAD A SOUND BASIS FOR THAT BELIEF, THEY BELIEVED THAT THEIR RIGHTS ON APPEAL COULD BE ADEQUATELY PROTECTED BY CONNECTU SINCE YOUR HONOR HAD APPOINTED A SPECIAL MASTER, MR. FISHER, WHO IS HERE TODAY, TO MAINTAIN THE STATUS QUO, AND WE WERE AT THAT POINT HAPPY WITH THAT, WITH THAT STATE OF EVENTS.

SINCE THEN, YOUR HONOR, FACEBOOK HAS BEEN ASSERTING THAT IT WILL TAKE CONTROL OF CONNECTU'S LITIGATIONS ONCE IT TAKES CONTROL OF CONNECTU'S STOCK, AND WE'VE BECOME VERY CONCERNED THAT WHILE CONNECTU'S APPEAL IS PENDING IN THE NINTH CIRCUIT, THAT FACEBOOK WILL TRY TO ASSUME CONTROL OF CONNECTU AND ABANDON OR OTHERWISE HAMPER OR IMPAIR THE APPEAL.

I UNDERSTAND FROM MR. UNDERHILL, WHO REPRESENTS CONNECTU, THAT HE HAS ASKED FOR ASSURANCES FROM MR. CHATTERJEE, FACEBOOK'S COUNSEL, THAT MY CLIENTS' RIGHTS WILL NOT BE -- AND CONNECTU'S RIGHTS WILL NOT BE IMPAIRED ON APPEAL, THAT THE APPEAL WILL BE ALLOWED TO GO FORWARD.

BUT FACEBOOK HAS BEEN UNWILLING TO AGREE TO GIVE THOSE ASSURANCES.

AND, INDEED, IN THEIR OPPOSITION TO THE

16:51:43 23

16:51:45 24

16:51:46 25

16:51:49 1 16:51:51 2 16:51:53 16:51:54 4 16:51:56 5 16:51:58 6 16:52:02 7 16:52:06 16:52:07 9 16:52:08 10 16:52:10 11 16:52:10 12 16:52:12 13 16:52:14 14 16:52:17 15 16:52:18 16 16:52:20 17 16:52:23 18 16:52:26 19 16:52:29 20 16:52:30 21 16:52:35 22 16:52:37 23 16:52:39 24

16:52:42 25

STAY MOTION, JUDGE, THEY'VE NOW SAID THAT THE APPEAL IS, IN FACT, MOOT. THEY'VE TAKEN THAT POSITION.

SO THAT'S WHY WE'RE HERE TODAY, JUDGE.

WE NEED TO INTERVENE. WE NEEDED TO INTERVENE ON

SHORT NOTICE SINCE MY CLIENTS NEED REALLY TO

PRESERVE THEIR RIGHTS ON APPEAL.

AND TO ADDRESS YOUR HONOR'S CONCERNS

ABOUT TRYING TO REOPEN OR RELITIGATE THE UNDERLYING

MATTER, THAT'S NOT OUR PURPOSE BEFORE THE COURT

HERE TODAY.

WHAT OUR PURPOSE IS, IS TO GET THE

COMPLAINT BEFORE THE COURT SO THAT WE CAN PRESERVE

OUR RIGHT TO APPEAL, AND THAT'S WHAT WE'D LIKE TO

DO.

INTERVENTION IS APPROPRIATE, YOUR HONOR,

AND AS YOU KNOW, THE STANDARD FOR, FOR APPLICATION

OF INTERVENTION IS VERY LIBERAL. OUR -- IT'S

APPROPRIATE HERE UNDER BOTH SECTIONS, SUBSECTIONS

OF RULE 24.

FIRST OF ALL, FOR AN INTERVENTION AS A RIGHT, WE MEET ALL FOUR PRONGS OF THE TEST: ONE, WE'RE TIMELY; SECOND, WE HAVE A SIGNIFICANTLY PROTECTABLE INTEREST; THIRD, THE DISPOSITION OF THIS ACTION, AS A PRACTICAL MATTER, COULD IMPAIR

17:21:01 1	WHAT GOES ON NOW IS THERE'S A CHECK
17:21:03 2	THAT'S WRITTEN EVERY MONTH FOR THE RENTAL OF THE
17:21:05 3	SERVER. THAT'S IT. WE'LL WRITE THE CHECK. HE
17:21:08 4	DOESN'T EVEN HAVE TO WORRY ABOUT THAT.
17:21:10 5	WE WILL NOT MAKE A SINGLE OPERATIONAL
17:21:12 6	CHANGE IN THE SLIGHTEST. YOU KNOW, WE'LL PUT IT
17:21:17 7	OUT OF OUR HANDS.
17:21:18 8	IN FACT, YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD EVEN BE
17:21:20 9	WILLING TO LET CONNECTU OPERATE THE BUSINESS.
17:21:22 10	NOW, THAT'S VERY DISTINCT, BY THE WAY,
17:21:26 11	FROM HAVING THE COMPANY. WE DON'T AGREE THAT THEY
17:21:26 12	CAN HAVE
17:21:26 13	THE COURT: FACEBOOK.
17:21:27 14	MR. UNDERHILL: I'M SORRY?
17:21:27 15	THE COURT: YOU SAID CONNECTU.
17:21:28 16	MR. UNDERHILL: I'M SORRY.
17:21:29 17	THE COURT: YOU MEAN FACEBOOK.
17:21:31 18	MR. UNDERHILL: MY MISTAKE. I MEANT TO
17:21:33 19	SAY FACEBOOK.
17:21:35 20	OBVIOUSLY WE WILL NOT VOLUNTARILY PERMIT
17:21:37 21	THEM TO TAKE OVER OUR APPEAL BECAUSE WE DON'T THINK
17:21:39 22	THEY WOULD DO AS GOOD A JOB AS WE WILL.
17:21:39 23	WE'RE NOT GOING TO LET THEM TAKE OVER THE
17:21:39 24	MALPRACTICE CASE.
17:21:41 25	BUT IF THEY REALLY JUST WANT TO OPERATE

17:21:42 1	THIS THING, IF THEY WANT TO WRITE THE CHECK TO THE
17:21:45 2	SERVER, YOU KNOW, ONCE A MONTH, IF THEY WANT TO
17:21:49 3	REWRITE THE CODE, IF THEY WANT TO START
17:21:51 4	ADVERTISING, THEY CAN DO WHATEVER THEY WANT WITH
17:21:53 5	IT, IT'S THEIRS, AS LONG AS WE CAN KEEP OWNERSHIP
17:21:56 6	OF CONNECTU SUFFICIENT TO SATISFY AN APPEAL.
17:21:59 7	NOW, WHAT WE HEARD IN THE PRIOR MOTION IS
17:22:03 8	ONE MORE GAMUT TO TRY TO DENY US AN APPEAL.
17:22:07 9	AS MUCH AS MR. CHATTERJEE EXPRESSES
17:22:10 10	CONFIDENCE IN THE COURT'S OPINION, HE SURE IS
17:22:13 11	TRYING AWFULLY HARD TO KEEP THE NINTH CIRCUIT FROM
17:22:16 12	EVER HEARING THESE ISSUES.
17:22:17 13	THE COURT: LET ME ASK THIS, COUNSEL.
17:22:19 14	MR. UNDERHILL: YES, YOUR HONOR.
17:22:20 15	THE COURT: IF I GO ALONG WITH THIS
17:22:24 16	PROPOSAL I'M NOT SAYING I WILL AND THERE IS
17:22:29 17	AN APPEAL THAT IS PURSUED INDEPENDENT OF CONNECTU,
17:22:35 18	WHO PAYS FOR THE COST OF THE APPEAL?
17:22:38 19	MR. UNDERHILL: WE'LL TAKE CARE OF THAT,
17:22:40 20	YOUR HONOR. NO PROBLEM.
17:22:41 21	THE COURT: WHO'S "WE"?
17:22:42 22	MR. UNDERHILL: IT WON'T BE CONNECTU.
17:22:45 23	I'LL WORK IT OUT WITH THE SHAREHOLDERS.
17:22:47 24	THE COURT: AH. I SEE.
17:22:48 25	AND SO YOU'RE REQUESTING, ESSENTIALLY, TO

THE ENFORCEMENT MOTION, FRANKLY, YOUR HONOR, IT'S 17:29:31 1 SOMEWHAT ABSURD TO ARGUE THAT. 17:29:34 2 17:29:36 WE WANT THE VALUE OF WHAT WE PURCHASED, 17:29:37 4 AND STAYING THE PROCEEDINGS IS, IS, IS TANTAMOUNT TO NOT RECOGNIZING THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT. 17:29:41 5 THERE'S A PUBLIC INTEREST HERE IN 17:29:43 6 RECOGNIZING THESE AGREEMENTS THAT GETS IMPLICATED. 17:29:45 7 YOUR HONOR RECITED THAT YOURSELF AT THE JUDGMENT 17:29:48 8 17:29:51 9 HEARING. 17:29:51 10 THE ONE THING -- THE ONE OTHER POINT I 17:29:53 11 WANT TO MAKE IS, CANDIDLY, WE HAVE A BIG ISSUE WITH THEM HAVING ANY DECISION MAKING OVER CONNECTU. 17:29:56 12 17:29:59 13 AND I'M NOT TALKING ABOUT THE LAWYERS. I'M TALKING ABOUT THE WINKLEVOSS BROTHERS AND DIVYA 17:30:00 14 17:30:03 15 NARENDRA AND HOWARD WINKLEVOSS. 17:30:05 16 THEY INITIATED THE LITIGATION WITHOUT 17:30:07 17 EVER CONSULTING ANYBODY AFTER THE JUDGMENT WAS 17:30:09 18 ENTERED. THEY DON'T LIKE OUR COMPANY. 17:30:11 19 SO IF THINGS AREN'T GOING WELL IN THE 17:30:14 20 NINTH CIRCUIT, THEY CAN DO WHATEVER THEY WANT. THEY HAVE NOT PUT THE STOCK INTO GEORGE 17:30:16 21 17:30:18 22 FISHER'S HANDS PER YOUR HONOR'S ORDER. THAT WAS 17:30:21 23 DUE MONDAY, AND AT 2:15, GIVE OR TAKE A FEW 17:30:25 24 MINUTES, ON MONDAY, AFTER WE HAD PUT ALL OF OUR 17:30:28 25 CONSIDERATION IN, THAT SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT OF MONEY

17:30:31 1 AND THE SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT OF SHARES, THEY NOTIFIED US THAT THEY WERE GOING TO MAKE THE DECISION NOT TO 17:30:35 2 17:30:37 SUBMIT IT TO HIM IN VIOLATION OF YOUR HONOR'S ORDER. 17:30:39 4 17:30:39 WHAT CONFIDENCE COULD WE POSSIBLY HAVE THAT THEY ARE GOING TO PROTECT THE VALUE OF THAT 17:30:42 6 17:30:44 7 ASSET? IT'S SIMPLY NOT THERE. WE HAVE ZERO 17:30:47 8 CONFIDENCE IN THEM. THE COURT: WELL, HERE'S THE DILEMMA THAT 17:30:48 9 17:30:51 10 I SEE. I CONTINUE TO BELIEVE THAT THE JUDGMENT WAS 17:30:53 11 PROPERLY ENTERED HERE. 17:30:54 12 BUT I ALSO BELIEVE THAT ANY JUDGMENT IS 17:31:01 13 APPEALABLE TO A HIGHER COURT, ANY JUDGMENT OF THIS 17:31:04 14 COURT. 17:31:05 15 AND I'M IN A CIRCUMSTANCE WHERE IT'S NOT A MONEY JUDGMENT WHERE A BOND WOULD BE SUFFICIENT, 17:31:10 16 17:31:16 17 SO THAT I'M TRYING TO WALK MY WAY THROUGH A PROCESS 17:31:20 18 BY WHICH, EVEN IF I'M DISPOSED TO DENY THE STAY OF 17:31:24 19 EXECUTION, I WON'T DENY THE RIGHT TO APPEAL. 17:31:31 20 SO IF I UNDERSTAND THAT THE EXECUTION 17:31:38 21 COULD AMOUNT TO THE PLAINTIFF HERE TAKING THE 17:31:44 22 UNUSUAL STEP OF SAYING, "WELL, NOW AS THE SHAREHOLDER, I TERMINATE THE APPEAL," THE EXECUTION 17:31:47 23 17:31:51 24 WOULD BE, IN EFFECT, A PLACING OF THE RIGHT OF APPEAL, BUT DENYING THE RIGHT TO APPEAL. IT WOULD 17:31:55 25

NOW, WHEN YOU HAVE THESE TWO COMPETING

17:31:59 1 TERMINATE BY THE EXECUTION. I'VE NEVER FACED THAT SITUATION BEFORE, 17:32:01 2 17:32:02 3 AND SO IT'S UNCHARTED WATER FOR ME. AND SO THAT'S WHAT I NEED HELP WITH, 17:32:05 4 17:32:07 5 BECAUSE UNLESS YOU CONVINCE ME THAT THERE SHOULD BE NO RIGHT TO APPEAL, I HAVE TO PUT THE OPPOSING 17:32:12 6 PARTY TO MY JUDGMENT IN A POSITION SO THEY CAN 17:32:14 7 CHALLENGE MY JUDGMENT. 17:32:17 8 MR. CHATTERJEE: SO, YOUR HONOR, I THINK 17:32:19 9 WHAT YOU'RE IDENTIFYING IS, IS THE CONFLICT THAT WE 17:32:20 10 HAVE HERE OF HARDSHIPS. 17:32:22 11 WE PURCHASED AN ASSET. WE WANT TO GET 17:32:24 12 VALUE FOR THE ASSET. 17:32:26 13 17:32:27 14 AND WE ALSO SOUGHT PEACE, AND THERE'S A 17:32:30 15 LOT OF HARDSHIP IN NOT ACKNOWLEDGING THAT PEACE. 17:32:33 16 THEIR PURPORTED HARDSHIP IS ESSENTIALLY THE RISK OF MOOTING AN APPEAL ON BEHALF OF 17:32:35 17 CONNECTU. 17:32:39 18 17:32:39 19 IF YOU ALLOW INTERVENTION, YOUR HONOR, CANDIDLY, THAT MAY BECOME A NON-ISSUE DEPENDING ON 17:32:41 20 17:32:44 21 WHATEVER RIGHTS THE INDIVIDUALS HAVE UPON 17:32:47 22 INTERVENTION, BECAUSE AS YOUR HONOR NOTICED BEFORE, 17:32:49 23 THEY ESSENTIALLY ARE ASSERTING THE SAME SORTS OF CLAIMS OF A THIRD PARTY COMPLAINANT. 17:32:52 24

17:32:54 25

47