Case No. C 07-1882 JF (RS)
ORDER OVERRULING OBJECTION TO ORDER OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE SEEBORG

Doc. 101

contractual policies, not the contracts or agreements themselves. However, Judge Seeborg's clarifying order states that discovery of such related documentation can be addressed in a future meet and confer between the parties, and that any further disputes related to document request number 29 can be resolved by noticed motion. Under these circumstances, it cannot be said that Judge Seeborg's order is "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." See Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a); see also 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A). Accordingly, Plaintiffs' objection is overruled. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: September 19, 2008 United States I istrict Judge 

1	This Order was served on the following persons:
2	Beverly Tse btse@kmllp.com
3	Christine Pedigo Bartholomew cbartholomew@finkelsteinthompson.com, sanfran@finkelsteinthompson.com
4	Daniel Hume dhume@kmslaw.com
5	David E. Kovel dkovel@kmllp.com
6	I. Stephen Rabin srabin@rabinpeckel.com, info@rabinpeckel.com
7 8	Jeff D Friedman jefff@hbsslaw.com, geoge@hbsslaw.com, jon@hbsslaw.com, nancyq@hbsslaw.com, sf filings@hbsslaw.com
9	Jeffrey Squire squire@bragarwexler.com
10	Joseph P. Garland jpg65@columbia.edu
11	Joseph V. McBride jmcbride@rabinpeckel.com
12	Julie Dawn Wood jwood@omm.com
13	Michael Andrew McShane mmcshane@audetlaw.com
14	Michael Frederick Tubach mtubach@omm.com, kquintanilla@omm.com
15	Shana E. Scarlett nancyq@hbsslaw.com, shanas@hbsslaw.com
16	Thomas Patrick Brown tbrown@omm.com, dbordessa@omm.com
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	