

///

///

DECLARATION OF ERIN J. HOLLAND

I, Erin J. Holland, hereby declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney in good standing with the State Bar of California and am duly licensed to practice law in the State of California. I am an associate at the law firm of Foley & Lardner LLP, attorneys of record for Non-Party Wendy Howell ("Howell") in the above-captioned matter. I submit this Declaration in support of Non-Party Wendy Howell's Motion to Quash, or in the Alternative, for Issuance of a Protective Order. Unless otherwise stated, I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth below and, if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify thereto.
- 2. Defendant Nancy Heinen ("Heinen") hired Ms. Howell as a full-time staff attorney for Apple Computer, Inc. in 1997. Ms. Howell reported directly to Defendant Heinen until Heinen's departure in the Spring of 2006.
- 3. I spoke with Miles Ehrlich and Felicia Gross, counsel for Defendant Nancy R. Heinen, by telephone on October 11, 17, and 22. Ms. Johnston joined me on these calls. We informed Mr. Ehrlich and Ms. Gross of Ms. Howell's objection to the subpoenas served on non-parties Bank of Alameda, Washington Mutual, Wells Fargo & Co., Western Sunrise Mortgage, Franklin Templeton Investments, California State University, Stanford University, and the California State Bar on or about September 21, 2007. Throughout the course of these conversations, we were able to narrow and resolve a number of issues raised by the subpoenas as discussed in the brief.
- 4. On October 5, 2007, I sent written objections to the subpoenas to Mr. Ehrlich on behalf of Ms. Howell. These objections indicated that Ms. Howell objected to the subpoenas on the grounds that the subpoenas (1) require disclosure of Ms. Howell's personal and private records; (2) seek information irrelevant to the dispute; (3) are impermissibly overbroad; and (4) were issued merely to harass and annoy Ms. Howell.