

United States District Court For the Northern District of California **United States District Court** For the Northern District of Californi 1

4

5

6

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

Extreme crows over the 46 binders of documents it has produced, earnestly claiming that they 2 are the "most highly relevant" documents in the case, and suggesting that these are the ones 3 "sought" by plaintiffs.

The court wonders if the parties are talking about the same twenty-five requests for production. To compound its wonderment, this court has been told that both meet-and-confer efforts and—apparently—additional document productions have occurred since the motion was filed (and, perhaps, since some or all of the subsequent briefing).

8 In short, the court is not prepared to address a motion where the issues to be decided are 9 so murky. It needs some clarification, and then it will focus on getting the plaintiffs the 10 information that reasonably pertains to their claims. Accordingly, the court orders as follows:

1. By July 26, 2010 Extreme will produce all documents responsive to the requests for production that it intends to produce. It does not have to produce documents that it claims are privileged or covered by the work product doctrine. It may also withhold documents over which it has a seriously maintained objection, one which will require the court's attention.<sup>1</sup> All withheld documents must be appropriately identified, along with the basis for withholding them.

2. 17 By August 9, 2010 lead trial counsel for plaintiffs and Extreme will meet in 18 person and in good faith seek to resolve all issues with respect to the requests for production. 19 By August 16, 2010, they will submit a Joint Report, not to exceed 20 pages, describing the 20 issues agreed upon and then detailing each of the disputed issues and their respective positions 21 on them.

22 3. The court will consider issues raised for the first time in plaintiffs' reply papers 23 (i.e., privilege log, back-up tapes, multiple copies of the same documents). If agreement cannot 24 be reached on them during the face-to-face meeting between lead trial counsel, then Extreme 25 may file a supplemental opposition, not to exceed 6 pages, by August 18, 2010 and plaintiffs a 26 reply, not to exceed 3 pages, by August 20, 2010.

27

28

No order has been issued staying discovery or limiting its scope, and a desire to have one or the other is not a valid objection to the discovery now sought by plaintiffs.

1	4. The hearing on the plaintiffs' motion to compel production of documents is	
2	continued to August 31, 2010 at 10:00 a.m. Lead trial counsel will attend in person.	
3	3 SO ORDERED.	
4	4 Dated: July 6, 2010	
5	5 HQ WARD R LL	
6	6 UN TED STATES	MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	7	
8	8	
9	9	
10	10	
11	11	
12	12	
13	13	
14	14	
15	15	
16	16	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
25 26		
26 27		
27 28		
20		
	3	

1	5:07-cv-02268-RMW Notice has been electronically mailed to:		
2	Aelish Marie Baig AelishB@rgrdlaw.com, khuang@rgrdlaw.com		
3	Alan R Plutzik aplutzik@bramsonplutzik.com, ptoovey@bramsonplutzik.com		
4	Alan Roth Plutzik aplutzik@bramsonplutzik.com		
5	Christopher Martin Wood cwood@rgrdlaw.com		
6	Emanuel Shachmurove mshachmurove@sbtklaw.com		
7 8	Eric L. Zagar ezagar@btkmc.com, dalbert@btkmc.com, der_filings@btkmc.com, rwinchester@btkmc.com, tkao@btkmc.com		
8 9	Frank James Johnson frankj@johnsonbottini.com, brett@johnsonbottini.com, frankb@johnsonbottini.com, paralegal@johnsonbottini.com		
10	John Henry Hemann jhemann@morganlewis.com, yandrada@morganlewis.com		
11	Jonathan DeGooyer jdegooyer@morganlewis.com, lwalker@morganlewis.com		
12	Joseph Edward Floren jfloren@morganlewis.com, mweiler@morganlewis.com, rluke@morganlewis.com		
13	Laura Alexis Lee llee@morganlewis.com		
14 15	Lawrence Timothy Fisher ltfisher@bramsonplutzik.com, dschroeder@bramsonplutzik.com		
15	Robert Bramsonrbramson@bramsonplutzik.comShawn A. Williamsshawnw@rgrdlaw.com, cwood@rgrdlaw.com, e_file_sd@rgrdlaw.come_file_sf@rgrdlaw.com, jdecena@rgrdlaw.com, khuang@rgrdlaw.com, lolts@rgrdlaw.com,ptiffith@rgrdlaw.com, travisd@rgrdlaw.comTera Marie Heintztheintz@morganlewis.com, dlang@morganlewis.com		
10			
17			
19 20			
21			
22			
23			
24 25			
25 26			
26 27			
27 28			
20			
	4		