1 Robert L. Brace, SBN. 122240 Anthony R. Zelle, Esq., SBN 548141 Email: rlbrace@hbsb.com Email: tzelle@zelmcd.com Michael P. Denver, SBN 199279 Brian McDonough, Esq., SBN 637999 Email: mpdenver@hbsb.com Email: bmcdonough@zelmcd.com 3 HOLLISTER & BRACE Thomas Evans, Esq., SBN 5. P.O. Box 630 Email: tevans dealined.com 4 Santa Barbara, CA 93102 ZELLE MCDONOUGH & COHER Telephone: 805.963.6711 101 Federal Street, 14th Floor Facsimile: 805.965.0329 Boston MA 02110 6 DENIEL Telenhon Thomas G. Foley, Jr. SBN. 65812 Facs mile: 7 Email: tfoley@foleybezek.com (Appearin FOLEY, BEZEK, BEHLE Attorney 8 Judge James Ware & CURTIS, LLP the C 15 W. Carrillo Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101 10 Telephone: 805.962.9495 Facsimile: 805.962.0722 11 Attorneys for Plaintiffs, and all others similarly situated 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 14 SAN JOSE DIVISION 15 16 IN RE: EDWARD H. OKUN INTERNAL Case No. 07-2795 JW REVENUE SERVICE § 1031 TAX 17 **DEFERRED EXCHANGE LITIGATION** STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR STAY OF PROCEEDINGS AS TO DEFENDANT 18 MDL No. 2028 SAN FRANCISCO SERIES OF LOCKTON 19 COMPANIES, LLC, EXCEPT FOR Anita Hunter, et al. v. Edward H. Okun, et al. MATTERS RELATING TO APPROVAL N.D., California, C.A. No. 5:07-2795-JW 20 OF THE LOCKTON SETTLEMENT Quirk Infiniti, Inc. v. Wachovia Bank, N.A. 21 D. Massachusetts, C.A. No. 1:08-12060 22 23 WHEREAS, at the October 7, 2009 Wave I Final Fairness Hearing, the Court 24 granted Plaintiffs' Motion for final approval of the Wave I Settlements, totaling over \$88 25 million (Docket No. 304); 26 WHEREAS, at the October 7 hearing, the Court was informed that Wave II 27 Settlements are being negotiated and will be presented to the Court in the near future; 28 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs have negotiated a Wave II Settlement with Defendant San

STIPULATION FOR STAY OF PROCEEDING AGAINST LOCKTON 07-cv-2795 JW

7316.001

1	Francisco Series of Lockton Companies, LLC ("Lockton"), and that settlement has been
2	executed;
3	WHEREAS, the Lockton Settlement requires that the Court be requested to stay
4	the litigation against Lockton (except for matters relating to the settlement approval process),
5	pending the Court's decision on whether or not to approve the Lockton Settlement;
6	NOW THEREFORE, Plaintiffs, on the one hand and Lockton, on the other,
7	hereby AGREE and STIPULATE that (i) with respect to Lockton and only Lockton, and, except
8	for matters relating to the court approval process of the Wave II Lockton Settlement, the above-
9	captioned litigation should be stayed, such that Lockton need not participate in discovery, pre-
10	trial or trial matters, and need not make any further court appearances, other than those related
11	to the Lockton Settlement approval process; and (ii) in the event the Court does not approve the
12	Lockton Settlement, or, upon written notice to the Court that Plaintiffs or Lockton wish the stay
13	to be lifted, the stay should be lifted.
14	
15	DATED: October 14, 2009 By: /s/ Michael P. Denver
16	Robert L. Brace, SBN. 122240 Email: rlbrace@hbsb.com
17	Michael P. Denver, SBN 199279 Email: mpdenver@hbsb.com
18	HOLLISTER & BRACE P.O. Box 630
19	Santa Barbara, CA 93102
	Telephone: (805)963.6711
20	Facsimile: (805) 965.0329 Attorneys for Hunter Plaintiffs and the Class
21	
22	
23	DATED: October 14, 2009 By: /s/ Mike Margolis Mike Margolis, Esq.
24	Naki Irvin, Esq. MARGOLIS & TISMAN LLP
25	444 S Flower St Suite 2300
26	Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 416-8889
27	Facsimile: (213) 683-0303
28	Attorneys for Defendant San Francisco
20	Series of Lockton Companies, LLC

*** ORDER ***

The parties' Stipulation for a Stay is improper and therefore DENIED. Rather, the Court simply orders that because Defendant San Francisco Series of Lockton Companies, LLC is one of the participants in the Wave II settlement, Defendant need not Answer or participate in discovery at this time.

Dated: October 15, 2009

AMES WARE
Inited States District Judge