the ultimate admissibility of such evidence at trial. See, e.g., DBMS Consultants Limited v.

ORDER, page 1

Doc. 121

| 1 | Computer Associates International, Inc., 131 F.R.D. 367, 369 (D. Mass. 1990) (" the Court | |
|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| 2 | will not ordinarily weigh the evidence to be elicited by deposition "). In the event, defendar | |
| 3 | Lonchar wishes to include the above-specified sentence in the letter of request, leave from the | |
| 4 | district court judge should be obtained. | |
| 5 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 6 | Dated: March 24, 2009 | |
| 7 | | PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL |
| 8 | | United States Magistrate Judge |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 2526 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | II | |