1	John A Stottlemire		
2	33103 Lake Garrison Street Fremont, CA 94555		
3	Telephone: (614) 358-4185 Email: jstottl@comcast.net		
4	Defendant, pro se		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
7	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
8			
9	COUPONS, INC., a California corporation,	Case No.: 5:0	7-cv-03457-HRL
10	Plaintiff,	EXTEND TI	RATIVE MOTION TO ME TO ANSWER THE
11	vs.		ENDED COMPLAINT
12	JOHN STOTTLEMIRE	Courtroom: Judge:	2, 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor Hon. Howard R. Lloyd
13	Defendant )	suage.	Tion. Howard R. Eloyd
14			
15	MOTION		
16	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-3 Defendant John Stottlemire ("Stottlemire") moves for an		
17	Extension in Time to File an Answer and Counter-Claims to Third Amended Complaint filed by		
18	Plaintiff Coupons, Inc ("Plaintiff"). Stottlemire seeks an extension to January 31, 2009.		
19	STATEMENT OF RELEVANT FACTS		
20	Stottlemire received the Third Amended Complaint on July 22, 2008.		
21	Stottlemire filed a Motion to Dismiss the Third Amended Complaint on September 22,		
22	2008.		
23	The Court, being fully briefed and hearing Stottlemire's Motion to Dismiss the Third		
24	Amended Complaint, denied Stottlemire's Motion to Dismiss the Third Amended Complaint on		
25	November 6, 2008.		
26	According to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 12(a)(4), Stottlemire must answer		
27	the Third Amended Complaint not later than November 21, 2008.		
28			
	Administrative Motion to Extend Time to Answer the Third Amended Complaint		
	No. C-07-03457 HRL		
	T. Control of the con		

Coupons, Inc. v. Stottlemire

Doc. 108

Stottlemire is a *pro se* litigant and needs more time to file an answer and counter-claims to the Third Amended Complaint for personal reasons which Stottlemire could not have foreseen nor planned for. (Stottlemire Declaration 1-19)

An extension to January 31, 2009 is needed for Stottlemire to file an answer and counterclaims to the Third Amended Complaint due to personal reasons which are beyond Stottlemire's control. (Stottlemire Declaration 22)

Stottlemire asked opposing counsel for the extension but opposing counsel only agreed to an extension of 14 days (Stottlemire Declaration 20-21)

Stottlemire and Plaintiff or the Court has previously extended dates as follows:

- 1) Stottlemire and Plaintiff stipulated to an extension of 11 days for Stottlemire to respond to the original Complaint.
- 2) The Court granted in part, a Motion filed by Stottlemire and ordered an extension of 60 days for Stottlemire to respond to the original Complaint.
- 3) The Court granted a Motion filed by Plaintiff and ordered an extension of 21 days for Plaintiff to respond to Motions filed by Stottlemire.
- 4) Stottlemire and Plaintiff stipulated to an extension of 30 days for Stottlemire to respond to the Second Amended Complaint.
- The Court granted in part a Motion filed by Stottlemire to extend time to answer the Third Amended Complaint and gave Stottlemire until October 6, 2008 to respond to the Third Amended Complaint.

The Court is requested to find that these circumstances constitute good cause and to enter an order extending the time for filing the Answer and Counter-Claims to the Third Amended Complaint filed by Plaintiff to January 31, 2009.

Dated: November 10, 2008 Respectfully Submitted:

John Stottlemire Defendant, pro se /s/

Administrative Motion to Extend Time to Answer the Third Amended Complaint No. C-07-03457 HRL