Grason v. City of San Jose et al

Doc. 39

1	Defendants who have appeared in this action, through their counsel, MICHAEL J.	
2	HADDAD and MICHAEL R. GROVES, as follows:	
3	This action was commend	ced on July 14, 2006.
4	2. The action is not a class a	action, a receiver has not been appointed, and the
5	action is not governed by	any statute of the United States that requires an
6	order of the court for dism	nissal.
7	3. Pursuant to the parties' se	ettlement of this matter, this action is hereby
8	dismissed, in its entirety,	with prejudice, all parties to bear their own costs
9	and fees incurred in this action.	
10	Date: May 4, 2009	RICHARD DOYLE, City Attorney
11		Rv: /e/
12		By: <u>/s/</u> MICHAEL R. GROVES Sr. Deputy City Attorney
13		
14		Attorneys for Defendants, CITY OF SAN JOSE, ROBERT DAVIS and OFFICER VIDA
15		OT TOLK VIBA
16		
17	Date: April 30, 2009	HADDAD & SHERWIN
18		Rv: /s/
19		By:/s/ MICHAEL J. HADDAD, ESQ.
20		Attorney for Plaintiff, KENNETH GRASON
21		REINVETTI GIVIGOTI
22		
23	ATTESTMENT OF CONCURRENCE PER GENERAL ORDER 45 FOR FILING:	
24	I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document by the signatories, Michael R.	
25	Groves and Michael J. Haddad, has been obtained, and that a record of the concurrence	
26	shall be maintained at the Office of the City Attorney.	
27		
28	Date: May 4, 2009	By:/s/ MICHAEL R. GROVES
		2
- 1	Hor tr Will Di i i	007 00007