1	KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP ELLIOT R. PETERS - #158708	*ORDER E-FILED 8/5/2009*
2	epeters@kvn.com STUART L. GASNER - #164675	
3	sgasner@kvn.com R. JAMES SLAUGHTER - #192813	
4	rslaughter@kvn.com	
5	710 Sansome Street San Francisco, CA 94111-1704	
6	Telephone: (415) 391-5400 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188	
7	SHIRLI F. WEISS shirli.weiss@dlapiper.com	
8	DAVID A. PRIEBE	
9	david.priebe@dlapiper.com JEFF COOPERSMITH	
10	jeff.coopersmith@dlapiper.com DLA PIPER LLP (US)	
11	200 University Avenue East Palo Alto, CA 94303	
12	Telephone: (619) 699-2700 Facsimile: (619) 699-2701	
13	Attorneys for Defendant KENNETH SCHROEDER	
14	RENNETH SCHROEDER	
15		
16		
17	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
18	NORTHERN DISTR	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
19	SAN JOS	E DIVISION
20	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	Case No. C-07-3798 JW
21		STIPULATION AND PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF TH
22	Plaintiff,	PROTECTIVE ORDER REGARDING CONFIDENTIALITY OF CERTAIN
23	V.	MATERIALS PRODUCED BY NON- PARTY PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS
24	KENNETH L. SCHROEDER,	LLP
25	Defendant.	
26		
27		
28		

## **STIPULATION**

2	WHEREAS, on October 17, 2007, defendant Kenneth L. Schroeder ("Schroeder") issued
3	a subpoena to non-party PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ("PwC") (the "Schroeder Subpoena"),
4	and on November 8, 2006, January 12, 2007, April 4, 2007 and April 10, 2007, plaintiff
5	Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") sent PwC requests for the voluntary production of
6	documents and information in the investigation preceding this litigation (the "SEC Requests");
7	WHEREAS, on July 7, 2009, Magistrate Judge Howard R. Lloyd entered the Protective
8	Order Regarding Confidentiality of Certain Materials as to Which KLA-Tencor Corporation
9	Claims Privilege (the "July 7, 2009 Protective Order"), having heard certain arguments regarding
10	claims of privilege asserted by KLA-Tencor Corporation ("KLA"), among others;
11	WHEREAS, based on the nature of a significant portion of the documents produced by
12	PwC in response to the SEC Requests and that PwC anticipates producing in response to the
13	Schroeder Subpoena, as well as documents included among PwC's production that are likely
14	covered by the July 7, 2009 Protective Order, and the need for expeditious production of
15	documents, the parties and PwC agree that it is appropriate that PwC's production of documents
16	be governed by the July 7, 2009 Protective Order;
17	Accordingly, PwC, Schroeder, and the SEC hereby petition the Court to enter the
18	following [Proposed] Protective Order Regarding Confidentiality of Certain Materials Produced
19	by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ("Protective Order"):
20	IT IS THEREFORE STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between PwC,
21	Schroeder, and the SEC, through their respective counsel, as follows:
22	By this Protective Order, the July 7, 2009 Protective Order shall be read to govern
23	documents produced by PwC during this litigation and in response to the SEC Requests.
24	Accordingly, each provision of the July 7, 2009 Protective Order shall apply to PwC, to its
25	production of documents, and to the parties' use and handling of documents produced by PwC,
26	including (but not limited to):
27	1. the definition of "Confidential Material" in paragraph 1.b. shall be read to include
28	information or tangible things produced by PwC or any of its current or former

1		employees;			
2	2.	the definition of "Material" in paragraph 1.d. shall be read to include documents,			
3		electronically stored information, testimony and discovery responses, including			
4		all copies, excerpts and summaries thereof produced by PwC or any of its current			
5		or former employees;			
6	3.	the definition of "Person" in paragraph 1.f. shall refer to and include PwC or any			
7		of its current or former employees;			
8	4.	4. paragraph 2 shall be read to govern the handling of all Material provided by PwC			
9		or any of its current or former employees during this Action, or in response to the			
10		SEC Requests;			
11	5.	paragraph 4 shall be read to extend "Confidential" treatment to Material produced			
12		by non-party PwC in response to the SEC Requests. Those documents which			
13		were originally stamped "Confidential Treatment Requested on Behalf of PwC"			
14		and produced in response to the SEC Requests are deemed to have been stamped			
15		"Confidential" in this Action, and the Parties will afford those documents the			
16		same treatment as any document designated as "Confidential" in this Action;			
17	6.	pursuant to paragraph 5, PwC agrees to promptly meet and confer with any party			
18		that contends a PwC document originally produced to the SEC should not be			
19		designated as "Confidential" pursuant to the July 7, 2009 Protective Order; and,			
20	7.	the definition of "Qualified Person" in paragraph 10.b. shall refer to and include			
21		PwC and its counsel of record, attorneys, clerical, paralegal and other staff			
22		employed by such counsel.			
23	IT IS	SO STIPULATED AND AGREED.			
24					
25	Dated: Augus	st 4, 2009 KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP			
26					
27		By: <u>/s/ R. James Slaughter</u> R. JAMES SLAUGHTER			
28		Attorneys for Defendant KENNETH L. SCHROEDER			

1	Dated: August 4, 2009	DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP
2		/s/ Elizabeth Malaspina
3		Elizabeth Malaspina
4		Attorneys for non-party PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP
5		TRICE WITTERFIOODECOOFERS EEF
6	Dated: August 4, 2009	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
7		COMMISSION
8		/s/ Susan F. LaMarca
9		Susan F. LaMarca
10		Attorneys for Plaintiff SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
11		COMMISSION
12	Concurrence obtained per General Order	45 X.B.
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		2

1	PROPOSKN ORDER			
2	2			
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
4	4			
5	5			
6	6 Dated: August <u>5</u> , 2009			
7	7	$\Lambda$ ( )		
8	8	HOWARIAR II O		
9	9	HOWART R. LLOYD United States Magistrate J. dge		
10	0			
11	1			
12	2			
13	3			
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	8			

1	EXHIBIT A			
2	I,, do hereby certify that I have been provided with a copy of			
3	the Protective Orders in the action	n captioned Secu	rities and Exc	hange Commission v. Kenneth L.
4	Schroeder, Case. No. 5:07-cv-379	98-JW (HRL), th	at I have revie	wed said Protective Orders, and
5	that I agree to be bound by the terms and conditions set forth therein and consent to the exercise			
6	of jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California in			
7	connection with any proceedings	relating to the St	tipulation and	Protective Order.
8	Executed this of	month	,	
9	day	monti	year	
10				
11				signature
12				Signature
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>				
28				
20				