on Ma	letier, S.A. v. Akanoc Solutions, Inc. et al		Doc. 161
	Case5:07-cv-03952-JW Document161	-13 Filed06/05/09 Page1 of 3	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	GAUNTLETT & ASSOCIATES David A. Gauntlett (SBN 96399) James A. Lowe (SBN 214383) Brian S. Edwards (SBN 166258) Christopher Lai (SBN 249425) 18400 Von Karman, Suite 300 Irvine, California 92612 Telephone: (949) 553-1010 Facsimile: (949) 553-2050 info@gauntlettlaw.com jal@gauntlettlaw.com bse@gauntlettlaw.com Attorneys for Defendants Akanoc Solutions, Inc., Managed Solutions Group, Inc. and Steve Chen UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CAL	LIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION	
12			
13	LOUIS VUITTON MALLETIER, S.A.,) Case No.: C 07-3952 JW (HRL)	
14))	
15	Plaintiff,) SUPPLEMENTAL JURY) INSTRUCTION NO. 13	
16	VS.)	
17	AKANOC SOLUTIONS, INC., MANAGED))	
18	SOLUTIONS GROUP, INC., STEVEN CHEN AND DOES 1 THROUGH 10, INCLUSIVE,		
19	Defendants.)	
20)	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	164188.1-10562-002-6/5/2009	SUPPLEMENTAL JURY INSTR	UCTION NO. 13 – C 07-3952 JW Dockets.Just

	Case5:07-cv-03952-JW Document161-13 Filed06/05/09 Page2 of 3
1	JURY INSTRUCTION No
2	
3	CONTRIBUTORY TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT – LIKELIHOOD OF CONFUSION
4	In considering whether a third party's use of the plaintiff's trademark(s) is likely to cause
5	confusion about the source of the goods, you should consider the relative cost of the plaintiff's
6	goods. If they are relatively expensive, this factor weighs heavily against finding a likelihood of
7	confusion.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	164188.1-10562-002-6/5/2009 2 SUPPLEMENTAL JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 13 - C 07-3952 JW

	Case5:07-cv-03952-JW Document161-13 Filed06/05/09 Page3 of 3			
1	Brookfield Communications, Inc. v. West Coast Entertainment Corp., 174 F.3d 1036, 1060 (9t Cir.1999) ("Likelihood of confusion is determined on the basis of a "reasonably prudent			
2 3	We expected of this reasonably product consumer depends on the encumstance.			
3 4	items , see, e.g., <i>Official Airline Guides</i> , 6 F.3d at 1393 (noting that confusion was unlikely among advertisers when the products in question cost from \$2,400 to \$16,000) [W]hen dealing with			
5	inexpensive products, customers are likely to exercise less care, thus making confusion me likely.")			
6	5 E. & J. Gallo Winery v. Gallo Cattle Co., 967 F.2d 1280, 1293 (9th Cir. 1992) ("When good			
7	expensive, it is assumed that buyers will exercise greater care in their purchases.")			
8 9	<i>Vuitton Et Fils S.A. v. J. Young Enterprises, Inc.</i> , 644 F.2d 769, 771-772 (9th Cir. 1981) ("Vuitton, French company, is owned and controlled by members of the Vuitton family. It is engaged in the sale and distribution of expensive luggage, handbags, and related items.")			
10				
11	Self-Insurance Institute of America, Inc. v. Software and Information Industry Ass'n, 208 F.Supp.2d 1058 (C.D.Cal.2000), affd. ("Based on the relatively high cost, the Court finds that consumers			
12	seeking association services will be very discerning and not easily confused The Court finds consumers seeking association services are highly discerning and not easily confused. T			
13	factor weighs heavily against finding a likelihood of confusion.")			
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	164188.1-10562-002-6/5/2009 3 SUPPLEMENTAL JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 13 - C 07-3952 JW			