- 11	
1	1. Has Ape168.com used a trademark of Louis Vuitton Malletier in commerce in t
$2 \parallel$	United States in connection with the sale or advertising of goods?
3	Yes No
4	If no, please sign and date form.
5	2. If yes, was Ape168.com's use of a Louis Vuitton Malletier trademark likely to
6	confuse an appreciable number of reasonably prudent buyers exercising ordinary
7	care, as to the source of the product?
8	Yes No
9	If no, please sign and date form.
0    0	3. If yes, which trademark(s) were directly infringed by Ape168.com?
1	Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) in a Circle Design – Class 18
2	Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) and Monogram Canvas Design – Class 18
3	LOUIS VUITTON – Class 18
4	Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) Design – Class18
5	LOUIS VUITTON MALLETIER A PARIS in Rectangle – Class 16, 18
6	Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) in Epi Leather Design – Class 18
7	Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) and Monogram Canvas Pattern Design – Class 2:
3	Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) Design – Class 16, 25
9	Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) and Monogram Canvas Design – Class 16
)	Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) – Class 14, 24
ı	LOUIS VUITTON World Mark – Class 16, 18, 24, 25
2	Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) Design – Class 34
3	LOUIS VUITTON – Class 34
4	Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) Design – Class 25
5	LOUIS VUITTON PARIS and Damier (pattern design) – Class 18
6	4. If yes, how was/were the trademark(s) directly infringed by Ape168.com?

## 

1	5.	Did Louis Vuitton establish that the person(s) v	vho operate	Ape168.com are Un	nited
2		States citizens?			
3		Yes No			
4	6.	Did Louis Vuitton establish that the direct infrin	gement of L	ouis Vuitton Mallet	tier's
5		trademark(s) by Ape168.com have a substantial e	effect on Unit	ed States commerce	e?
6		Yes No			
7	7.	Did each defendant individually intentionally pe	ersuade or in	fluence Ape168.co	m to
8	infrir	ge Louis Vuitton Malletier's trademark(s)?			
9		As to Managed Solutions Group, Inc.:	Yes	_ No	
10		As to Akanoc Solutions, Inc.:	Yes	_ No	
11		As to Steve Chen:	Yes	_ No	
12	8.	Did each defendant individually directly control	Ape168.com	's direct infringeme	nt of
13		Louis Vuitton Malletier's trademark(s)?			
14		As to Managed Solutions Group, Inc.:	Yes	_ No	
15		As to Akanoc Solutions, Inc.:	Yes	_ No	
16		As to Steve Chen:	Yes	_ No	
17	9.	Did each defendant individually monitor the infri	ngement of I	Louis Vuitton	
18		Malletier's trademark(s) on Ape168.com's websi	te?		
19		As to Managed Solutions Group, Inc.:	Yes	_ No	
20		As to Akanoc Solutions, Inc.:	Yes	_ No	
21		As to Steve Chen:	Yes	_ No	
22	10.	If yes to 7, 8 or 9, for each trademark Ape168.c	om directly	infringed, list each	such
23	trade	mark, if any, that each defendant contributorily infr	inged? If no	ne, please so	
24	indic	ate.			
25		Managed Solutions Group, Inc			
26					
27		Akanoc Solutions, Inc.			
28					
	1				

## Case5:07-cv-03952-JW Document220 Filed08/25/09 Page4 of 4

	Steve Chen						
11.	Could Ape168.com directly infringe Louis Vuitton Malletier's trademark(s)						
	using the Internet Service Provider defendants' Internet hosting services?						
	Yes No						
12.	Did each defendant who received notice regarding Ape168.com take rea						
	actions after it received notice from Louis Vuitton Malletier of alleged tra						
	infringement?						
	As to Managed Solutions Group, Inc.:		No				
	As to Akanoc Solutions, Inc.:		_ No				
	As to Steve Chen:	Yes	_ No				
Date:							
	Jury Foreperson						
	vary roreperson						