	ı	
1	1.	Has Eshoes99.net used a trademark of Louis Vuitton Malletier in commerce in
2		the United States in connection with the sale or advertising of goods?
3		Yes No
4		If no, please sign and date form.
5	2.	If yes, was Eshoes99.net's use of a Louis Vuitton Malletier trademark likely to
6		confuse an appreciable number of reasonably prudent buyers exercising ordinary
7		care, as to the source of the product?
8		Yes No
9		If no, please sign and date form.
10	3.	If yes, which trademark(s) were directly infringed by Eshoes99.net?
11		Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) in a Circle Design – Class 18
12		Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) and Monogram Canvas Design – Class 18
13		_ LOUIS VUITTON – Class 18
14		Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) Design – Class18
15		LOUIS VUITTON MALLETIER A PARIS in Rectangle – Class 16, 18
16		Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) in Epi Leather Design – Class 18
17		Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) and Monogram Canvas Pattern Design – Class 25
18		Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) Design – Class 16, 25
19		Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) and Monogram Canvas Design – Class 16
20		Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) – Class 14, 24
21		LOUIS VUITTON World Mark – Class 16, 18, 24, 25
22		Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) Design – Class 34
23		_ LOUIS VUITTON – Class 34
24		Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) Design – Class 25
25		_ LOUIS VUITTON PARIS and Damier (pattern design) – Class 18
26	4.	If yes, how was/were the trademark(s) directly infringed by Eshoes99.net?
27		
28		

1	5.	Did Louis Vuitton establish that the person(s) wh	o operate Esh	oes99.net are
2		United States citizens?		
3		Yes No		
4	6.	Did Louis Vuitton establish that the direct infrin	gement of Lo	uis Vuitton Malletier's
5		trademark(s) by Eshoes99.net have a substantial of	effect on Unite	ed States
6		commerce?		
7		Yes No		
8	7.	Did each defendant individually intentionally pe	ersuade or infl	luence Eshoes99.net to
9		infringe Louis Vuitton Malletier's trademark(s)?		
10		As to Managed Solutions Group, Inc.:	Yes	No
11		As to Akanoc Solutions, Inc.:	Yes	No
12		As to Steve Chen:	Yes	No
13	8.	Did each defendant individually directly control l	Eshoes99.net's	s direct
14		infringement of Louis Vuitton Malletier's tradem	nark(s)?	
15		As to Managed Solutions Group, Inc.:	Yes	No
16		As to Akanoc Solutions, Inc.:	Yes	No
17		As to Steve Chen:	Yes	No
18	9.	Did each defendant individually monitor the infri	ingement of Lo	ouis Vuitton
19		Malletier's trademark(s) on Eshoes99.net's webs	ite?	
20		As to Managed Solutions Group, Inc.:	Yes	No
21		As to Akanoc Solutions, Inc.:	Yes	No
22		As to Steve Chen:	Yes	No
23	10.	If yes to 7, 8 or 9, for each trademark Eshoes99.n	et directly inf	ringed, list each
24		such trademark, if any, that each defendant cont	ributorily infr	inged? If none, please
25		so indicate.		
26		Managed Solutions Group, Inc		
27				
28		Akanoc Solutions, Inc.		

	Steve Chen		
11.	Could Eshoes99.net directly infringe Louis Vuitt without using the Internet Service Provider defendant who received notice regard actions after it received notice from Louis Vuinfringement?	ndants' Intern rding Eshoe itton Malleti	set hosting services s99.net take reaso
	As to Managed Solutions Group, Inc.:	Yes	
	As to Akanoc Solutions, Inc.: As to Steve Chen:		No No
	Jury Forepe	erson	