IN THE UNI	TED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORT	THERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
S	SAN JOSE DIVISION
Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A.,	NO. C 07-03952 JW

Plaintiff, VERDICT
v.

Akanoc Solutions, Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

WE THE JURY IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED CASE UNANIMOUSLY FIND AS FOLLOWS:

CLAIM ONE

CONTRIBUTORY TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT

QUESTION NO. 1

As to the following Defendants, did Plaintiff Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A. prove by a preponderance of evidence that the following Defendant or Defendants sold services to some other persons or companies or acted on behalf of a company that sold services to some other persons or companies?

ANSWER:

A.	Akanoc Solutions, Inc. [Circle one:]	Yes	No
B.	Managed Solutions Group, Inc. [Circle one:]	Yes	No
C.	Steven Chen [Circle one:]	Yes	No

1	[If yo
2	No. 2
3	Defe
4	Ques
5	
6	QUE
7	
8	evide
9	custo
10	trade
11	a ma
12	Unite
13	State
14	decei
15	ANS
16	
17	
18	[If yo
19	answ
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

28

[If your answer to Question No. 1 is "Yes," as to any Defendant, proceed to Question
No. 2. If your answer to Question No. 1 is "No," as to any Defendant ignore that
Defendant in Questions 2 - 7. If your answer is "No" as to all Defendants proceed to
Question No. 8.]

ESTION NO. 2

Did Plaintiff Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A. prove by a preponderance of ence that, using services provided by Defendants, one or more of Defendants' omers or a successor-in-interest to Defendants' customers directly infringed a emark of Plaintiff, by proving that the customer knowingly and intentionally used rk in connection with the offering for sale, sale or distribution of goods in the ed States or in a way that would substantially affect commerce in the United es; and that the mark was counterfeit; and that the use was likely to confuse or ive?

WER:

Yes No

our answer to Question No. 2 is "Yes," proceed to Question No. 3. If your ver to Question No. 2 is "No," proceed to Question No. 8.]

[The Charts below identifies each Trademark.]

TRADEMARK	REGISTRATION NUMBER	TRADEMARK PICTURE	CLASS OF GOODS
Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) Design	2,291,907	V	34
LOUIS VUITTON	2,303,212	LOUIS VUITTON	34
Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) Design	2,361,695	V	25
LOUIS VUITTON PARIS and Damier (pattern design)	2,378,388		18

TRADEMARK	REGISTRATION NUMBER	TRADEMARK PICTURE	CLASS OF GOODS
Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) on Epi Leather Design	1,655,564		18
Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) and Monogram Canvas Pattern Design	1,770,131		25
Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) Design	1,794,905	W	16, 25
Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters) and Monogram Canvas Design	1,875,198		16
Louis Vuitton (Interlocked Letters)	1,938,808	V	14, 24
LOUIS VUITTON World Mark	1,990,760	LOUIS VUITTON	16, 18, 24, 25

[Using the last three digits of the Registration Number of the trademarks listed in the chart, answer the following question.]

3 4

5

6

7

2

QUESTION NO. 3

Did Plaintiff Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A. prove by a preponderance of evidence that the following trademarks were directly infringed by one or more of Defendants' customers?

8	' 345	Yes	No
9	' 594	Yes	No
10	' 932	Yes	No
11	' 828	Yes	No
12	' 681	Yes	No
13	'907	Yes	No
14	' 212	Yes	No
15	⁶⁹⁵	Yes	No
16	' 388	Yes	No
17	' 564	Yes	No
18	' 131	Yes	No
19	' 905	Yes	No
20	' 198	Yes	No
21	'808	Yes	No
22	' 760	Yes	No

[If you answered "Yes" to any of the marks proceed to Question 4. If you answered "No" to all of the marks proceed to Question No. 8.]

25

23

24

26

QUESTION NO. 4

Did Plaintiff Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A. prove by a preponderance of evidence that the following Defendant or Defendants knew or should have known that: one or more of Defendants' customers or successors-in-interest to those customers were using services sold by Defendants to infringe or to facilitate others to directly infringe the trademark or trademarks of Plaintiff in the United States; and that Defendants had reasonable means to withdraw its services so that their services could not be used to directly infringe but Defendants continued to provide its services to the customers?

ANSWER:

A.	Akanoc Solutions, Inc. [Circle one:]	Yes	No

B. Managed Solutions Group, Inc. [Circle one:] Yes No

C. Steven Chen [Circle one:] Yes No

[If you answered "Yes" to Questions 4, proceed to the next question. If your answered "No" to Question No. 4 proceed to Question No. 8.]

QUESTION NO. 5

Did Plaintiff Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A. prove by a preponderance of evidence that Plaintiff was damaged by the contributory infringement of Defendants of the identified trademark or trademarks?

22 ANSWER:

Yes No

[If you answered "Yes" to Question 5, proceed to Question 6. If you answered "No" to Question No. 5 proceed to Question No. 8.]

2

4

5

7

6

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

QUESTION NO.6

Did Plaintiff Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A. prove that in contributing to direct trademark infringement the following Defendant or Defendants acted willfully?

ANSWER:

A.	Akanoc Solutions, Inc. [Circle one:]	Yes	No
B.	Managed Solutions Group, Inc. [Circle one:]	Yes	No

C. Steven Chen [Circle one:]

Yes

No

[Proceed to the next Question.]

DAMAGES CONTRIBUTORY TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT

QUESTION NO.7

What amount, if any, do you award to Plaintiff Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A. for statutory damages for contributory trademark infringement?

[If your answer to Question No.6 is "No, to that Defendant or Defendants you may award not less than \$1,000 or more than \$200,000 per counterfeit mark per class of goods or services sold, offered for sale, or distributed, in the United States. If your answer to Question No. 6 is "Yes," as to that Defendant or Defendants you may award not more than \$1,000,000 per counterfeit mark per type of goods or services sold, offered for sale, or distributed in the United States.

1	ANSWER:				
2	A.	Akanoc Solutions, Inc.	\$		
3	B.	Managed Solutions Group, Inc. \$			
4	C.	Steven Chen	\$		
5	Tota	l Amount:	\$		
6					
7	[Proceed to	o the next question.]			
8					
9		CLAIM TWO			
10		CONTRIBUTORY COPYRIGHT	INFRING	EMENT	
11	QUESTIO	N NO. 8			
12	As to	o the following Defendants, did Plaintiff	Louis Vuit	ton Mallet	ier, S.A.
13	prove by a	preponderance of evidence that one or m	ore of the	Defendant	s' customers
14	or a succes	sor-in-interest to Defendants' customers	used the se	rvices pro	vided by
15	Defendants	s to directly infringe a copyright of Plaint	iff in the U	nited State	es?
16					
17	ANSWER:				
18	A.	Akanoc Solutions, Inc. [Circle one:]		Yes	No
19	В.	Managed Solutions Group, Inc. [Circle	e one:]	Yes	No
20	C.	Steven Chen [Circle one:]		Yes	No
21					
22		wered "Yes" Question 8 proceed to the n	. –		
23		your presiding juror date and sign this v	verdict form	n and send	l out a note
24	that you ha	ve reached a verdict.]			
25					
26					
27					
28	l	0			

[The Charts below identifies each copyright.]

Copyright	Registration No.	Date Published	Date Registered
Multicolor Monogram	VA 1-250-121	12/18/02	6/24/04
Black Print – Exhibit 450	0		
Mutlicolor Monogram	VA 1-250-120	12/18/02	6/24/04
White Print – Exhibit 44	9		

[Using the last three digits of the Registration Number of the listed in the chart copyrights, answer the following question.]

QUESTION NO. 9

Did Plaintiff Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A. prove by a preponderance of evidence the following copyrights were directly infringed by one or more of Defendants' customers that you identified in your answer to Question No. 8?

121	Yes	No
' 120	Yes	No

[Proceed to the next question.]

QUESTION NO. 10

Did Plaintiff Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A. prove by a preponderance of evidence that the following Defendant or Defendants knew or should have known that one or more of Defendants' customers or successors in interest of those customers were using services sold by Defendants to infringe or to facilitate others to directly infringe the copyright or copyrights of Plaintiff in the United States and that Defendants had reasonable means to withdraw its services so that they could not be used to directly infringe but continued to provide its services?

•	
-	
₹ .	
-	
•	ಡ
)	.≒
)	₽
	9
₹	f Calife
,	Ĵά
•	\circ
	Ę
2	
בַּ	\overline{z}
7	Ξ.
2	st
7	Ξ
•	П
2	Ξ
3	Ð
3	Æ
ب	Ξ
_	Ę
2	_
-	<u>e</u>
3	₩
`	For the N
•	وع
	Н
3	
-i	
`	

1	ANSWER			
2	A.	Akanoc Solutions, Inc.[Circle one:]	Yes	No
3	B.	Managed Solutions Group, Inc.[Circle one:]	Yes	No
4	C.	Steven Chen [Circle one:]	Yes	No
5				
6	[If you ans	wered "Yes" as to any Defendant, proceed to Que	estion 11. If	you
7	answered '	'No" as to all Defendants, have your presiding ju	ror date and	sign this
8	verdict for	n and send out a note that you have reached a ver	rdict.]	
9				
10	QUESTIO	N NO. 11		
11	Did	Plaintiff Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A. prove by a	preponderar	nce of
12	evidence that Plaintiff was damaged by the contributory infringement of the			
13	Defendants	s of a copyright or copyrights?		
14	ANSWER			
15		Yes No		
16				
17	[If you ans	wered "Yes," proceed to Question 12. If you answ	wered "No,"	' have your
18	presiding j	uror date and sign this verdict form and send out	a note that y	ou have
19	reached a	verdict.]		
20				
21	QUESTIO	N NO. 12		
22	Did	Defendants prove by a preponderance of evidence	that they ar	e service
23	provides w	ho acted in a manner that entitles Defendants to the	ne "safe harb	or"
24	provisions	of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act?		
25				
26				
27				

For the Northern District of California

1	ANSWER:				
2	A.	Akanoc Solutions, Inc. [Circle one:]	Yes	No	
3	B.	Managed Solutions Group, Inc. [Circle one:]	Yes	No	
4	C.	Steven Chen [Circle one:]	Yes	No	
5					
6	[If you answered "No" as to any Defendant, proceed to Question 13. If you answered				
7	"Yes," as to all Defendants, have your presiding juror date and sign this verdict form				
8	and send or	ut a note that you have reached a verdict.]			
9					
10	QUESTION NO. 13				
11	Did Plaintiff Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A. prove that in contributing to direct				
12	copyright infringement Defendants acted willfully?				
13	ANSWER:				
14	A.	Akanoc Solutions, Inc. [Circle one:]	Yes	No	
15	B.	Managed Solutions Group, Inc. [Circle one:]	Yes	No	
16	C.	Steven Chen [Circle one:]	Yes	No	
17					
18	[Proceed to	the next Question.]			
19					
20	DAMAGES CONTRIBUTORY COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT				
21	QUESTIO				
22	What amount, if any, do you award to Plaintiff Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A.			letier, S.A.	
23	for statutory damages for contributory copyright infringement?				
24	[If your answer to Question No. 13 is "No, you may award not less than \$750				
25	nor more than \$30,000 per copyright infringed, the direct infringement of which				
26	you found Defendants contributed in the United States. If your answer to				
27	Question No. 13 is "Yes," you may award as much as \$150,000 for each work				
28		11			

1	wilfu	ally infringed. If you find	I the infringem	nent was innocent, you may award
2	as lit	tle as \$200 for each work	innocently in	fringed.]
3				
4	ANSWER:			
5	A.	Akanoc Solutions, Inc.		\$
6	В.	Managed Solutions Gro	oup, Inc. \$	
7	C.	Steven Chen		\$
8	Tota	l Amount:		\$
9				
10	[Have your	r presiding juror date and	l sign this verd	lict form and send out a note that
11	you have re	eached a verdict.]		
12				
13	DATED:			
14			Signature of	f Presiding Juror
15				
16			Print Name	of Presiding Juror
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27	İ			

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO:

Annie S Wang annie@coombspc.com
Brian S. Edwards bse@gauntlettlaw.com David A. Gauntlett info@gauntlettlaw.com
David A. Gauntlett info@gauntlettlaw.com
J. Andrew Coombs andy@coombspc.com
James A. Lowe info@gauntlettlaw.com

Dated:	Richard W. Wieking,	Clerl

By:_		
• –	Elizabeth Garcia	
	Courtroom Deputy	