Doc. 270 Att. 7

EXHIBIT 1621

```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
 2
              FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                        SAN JOSE DIVISION
 4
 5
         LOUIS VUITTON
                                   ) C-07-03952-JW
         MALLETIER, S.A.,
                                   ) AUGUST 19, 2009
 6
                 PLAINTIFF,
 7
                                     VOLUME 2
                       V.
                                     PAGES 1 - 230
 8
         AKANOC SOLUTIONS, INC.,
 9
         ET AL.,
10
                 DEFENDANTS.
11
12
                  THE PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD BEFORE
13
                THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT
14
                          JUDGE JAMES WARE
15
16
        APPEARANCES:
        FOR THE PLAINTIFF: GAUNTLETT & ASSOCIATES
17
                            BY: JAMES A. LOWE
                                 CHRISTOPHER G. LAI
18
                            18400 VON KARMAN
19
                            IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92612
20
        FOR THE DEFENDANTS: J. ANDREW COOMBS
                            BY: J. ANDREW COOMBS
21
                                 ANNIE S. WANG
                            517 E. WILSON AVENUE
22
                            SUITE 202
                            GLENDALE, CALIFORNIA 91206
23
24
            (APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON THE NEXT PAGE.)
        OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: IRENE RODRIGUEZ, CSR, CRR
25
                                CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8074
             EXHIBIT
                                                            1
```

Case5:07-cv-03952-JW Document270-8 Filed01/19/10 Page3 of 19

1	APPEARANCES:	(CONT'D)
2		
3	ALSO PRESENT:	LAW OFFICES OF J. ANDREW COOMBS
4		BY: RUTH ADLER, PARALEGAL 517 E. WILSON AVENUE SUITE 202
5		GLENDALE, CALIFORNIA 91206
6		LVMH FASHION GROUP BY: NIKOLAY LIVADKIN
7		2 RUE DU PONT-NEUF 75001 PARIS, FRANCE
8		AKANOC SOLUTIONS, INC.
9		BY: STEVE CHEN, PRESIDENT 45535 NORTH PORT LOOP EAST
10		FREMONT, CALIFORNIA 94538
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
4 0		
		2

Case5:07-cv-03952-JW Document270-8 Filed01/19/10 Page4 of 19

1	T. 17. 17. 17. 17. 17. 17. 17. 17. 17. 17		
1	INDEX C	OF PROCEEDINGS	
2			
3	NIKOLAY LIVADKIN	DIRECT EXAMINATI (RESUMED)	ON P. 4
4		CROSS-EXAMINATIO REDIRECT EXAMINA	
5		RECROSS-EXAMINAT FURTHER REDIRECT	ION P. 179
6			
7	DEPOSITION READ OF JULIAN	IA LUK P. 186	
8	INDEX OF		
9	INDEX OF	EXHIBITS	
10	FOR THE PLAINTIFF'S:	MARKED	ADMITTED
11	75.1		29
12	73.1		29
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
			3

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
2	BY MR. LOWE:	
3	Q GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. LIVADKIN.	
4	A GOOD AFTERNOON.	
5	Q ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOU DO NOT REQUIRE THAT AN	
6	ISP SEND YOU A COMMUNICATION IN RESPONSE TO A	
7	COMPLAINT OF ALLEGED COPYRIGHT OR TRADEMARK	
8	INFRINGEMENT?	
9	A THAT I NOT REQUIRE?	
10	Q YOU DON'T EXPECT THAT THE ISP THAT YOU SEND	
11	THESE NOTICES TO, TO GIVE YOU A RESPONSE	
12	NECESSARILY; IS THAT RIGHT?	
13	A WELL, I EXPECT THE ISP TO COMPLY WITH WHAT I'M	
14	REQUESTING IN THE LETTER.	
15	Q TO TAKE SOME ACTION WITH RESPECT TO THE	
16	COMPLAINT?	
17	A USUALLY WHAT IS THAT PRACTICE WHEN A COMPLAINT	
18	IS SENT TO AN ISP, WE RECEIVE AT LEAST AN E-MAIL	
19	SAYING THAT OUR NOTIFICATION HAS BEEN RECEIVED.	
20	MOST OF THE TIMES WE ARE ASSIGNED A	
21	TICKET, WHICH ALLOWS US TO, IN CASE OF FURTHER	
22	DEALINGS WITH THE ISP, TO REFER TO THE PARTICULAR	
23	MATTER THAT HAS BEEN BROUGHT TO THEIR ATTENTION.	
24	Q ARE YOU SAYING THAT YOU DO REQUIRE OR YOU DO	
25	NOT REQUIRE A COMMUNICATION CONFIRMING THAT THE ISP	

Case5:07-cv-03952-JW Document270-8 Filed01/19/10 Page6 of 19

1

HOST AND WE WOULD FOCUS ON A MORE DETERRENT ACTION.

2	O AND WHAT MIGHT THAT BE?
	~
3	A THAT MIGHT BE A CRIMINAL OR CIVIL PROSECUTION
4	AGAINST THE OPERATOR OF THE WEB SITE.
5	Q AND IN THE UNITED STATES OR SOMEWHERE ELSE?
6	A IT DEPENDS ON WHERE HE'S LOCATED.
7	Q ALL OF THE WEB SITES THAT YOU HAVE TESTIFIED
8	ABOUT IN THE LAST TWO DAYS HAVE BEEN LOCATED IN
9	CHINA; IS THAT RIGHT?
10	A I THINK SO. I THINK THAT ALL OF THEM HAVE
11	BEEN LOCATED IN CHINA, YES.
12	Q SO AS FAR AS YOU KNOW BASED ON YOUR
13	INVESTIGATION ALL OF THE MANUFACTURING AND ALL OF
14	THE SALES OCCUR IN CHINA?
15	A MOST OF THE COUNTERFEIT PRODUCTS INDEED ARE
16	PRODUCED IN CHINA. THE SALES THESE WEB SITES
17	STARTED TO THE U.S. MARKET AND THE REASON WHY THESE
18	WEB SITES ARE HOSTED HERE IN CALIFORNIA IS BECAUSE
19	THE CHINESE OPERATORS OF THESE WEB SITES REQUIRE
20	HIGH QUALITY INFRASTRUCTURE BASED HERE IN THE
21	UNITED STATES TO TARGET AMERICAN CUSTOMERS.
22	THE PROBLEM IS THAT THEIR WEB SITES ARE
23	HOSTED IN CHINA IS THAT THE CONNECTION TIME IS TOO
24	LONG; THE RESPONSE TIME IS TOO LONG; AND USUALLY
25	THEIR AMERICAN CUSTOMERS GIVE UP BECAUSE THE WEB

1 SITE ULTIMATELY DOESN'T WORK WELL. AND BY THE WAY, THIS IS AN ARGUMENT USED 2 3 BY DEFENDANTS ON THEIR WEB SITES TO MARKET THEIR 4 PRODUCTS. Q ALL RIGHT. NOW -- SO YOU AGREE THAT THE 5 6 MANUFACTURING OF ALL OF THESE COUNTERFEITS THAT 7 YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT OCCURRED IN CHINA. 8 ARE THE PEOPLE SELLING THE PRODUCTS IN 9 CHINA AS FAR AS YOU KNOW? 10 A AS FAR AS I KNOW THE SELLER IS LOCATED IN 11 CHINA AND THE CUSTOMERS ARE LOCATED IN THE UNITED 12 STATES. 13 ALL RIGHT. WELL, THEY'RE LOCATED ALL OVER THE 14 WORLD, AREN'T THEY? 15 A OF COURSE. 16 Q WELL, NOW, ISN'T IT ALSO TRUE THAT YOU DON'T 17 KNOW THE IDENTITY OF ANY OF THE PEOPLE SELLING 18 THESE PRODUCTS THAT YOU HAVE TALKED ABOUT HERE IN 19 THE LAST TWO DAYS? YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PEOPLE 20 ARE THAT ARE BEHIND ESHOES99, FOR EXAMPLE? 21 A WELL, THERE ARE MANY WEB SITES. THERE ARE 22 MORE THAN 100. SOME OF THEM WE HAVE BEEN 23 SUCCESSFUL TO IDENTIFY THE OPERATOR AND SOME OF 24 THEM NOT. 25 Q OFTEN YOU CANNOT?

Case5:07-cv-03952-JW Document270-8 Filed01/19/10 Page8 of 19

A I'M SORRY? 1 2 YOU OFTEN CANNOT IDENTIFY THE OPERATOR OF THE 3 WEB SITE; IS THAT RIGHT? 4 A FOR CHINESE OPERATORS, I HAVE TO SAY IT'S NOT 5 EASY TO IDENTIFY. 6 WHY IS IT NOT EASY? 7 A IT'S NOT EASY TO -- THE WEB SITES TARGET AMERICAN CUSTOMERS OR IN MANY CASES ENGLISH 8 9 SPEAKING CUSTOMERS. SO IF AN INVESTIGATOR 10 APPROACHES THEM IN ANOTHER LANGUAGE THAN CHINESE, 11 IF A LAWFUL INVESTIGATOR IS USED, FOR EXAMPLE, THE 12 WEB SITE OPERATORS BECOME SUSPICIOUS AND IT'S VERY 13 DIFFICULT TO ESTABLISH A PRETEXT COMMUNICATION 14 WHICH WOULD ALLOW THE INVESTIGATOR TO IDENTIFY WHO 15 IS BEHIND THE WEB SITE. 16 O DO THE WEB -- DO THE OPERATORS OF THIEVES WEB 17 SITES THAT YOU COMPLAIN ABOUT OFTEN GIVE FALSE 18 INFORMATION ABOUT THEIR IDENTITY IN YOUR 19 EXPERIENCE? 20 A WELL, THEY JUST DON'T PROVIDE IDENTIFICATION 21 INFORMATION. 22 DO YOU GET FALSE INFORMATION ABOUT THE 23 ADDRESSES THAT THEY'RE USING FROM THE LOCATIONS 24 FROM WHICH THEY OPERATE? 25 A VERY OFTEN THEY WILL PROVIDE INFORMATION ABOUT

Case5:07-cv-03952-JW Document270-8 Filed01/19/10 Page9 of 19

1 A BOOTH WITHIN THE LEATHER MARKET IN GUANG ZOU IN 2 CHINA AND ON THIS MARKET THERE ARE HUNDREDS OF 3 BOOTHS. 4 SO A BOOTH IDENTIFICATION REALLY DOESN'T DO 5 YOU ANY GOOD? 6 NOT NECESSARILY. AGAIN, YOU'RE ASKING ME TO 7 RESPOND WITH A GENERAL ANSWER TO HUNDREDS OF PARTICULAR SITUATIONS. 8 9 WELL, DO YOU EVER TAKE ACTION AGAINST THESE 10 COUNTERFEITERS IN CHINA? 11 A YES, WE DO. 12 IN FACT, YOU TESTIFIED THAT LOUIS VUITTON HAS Q 13 AN OFFICE IN HONG KONG? 14 A YES. 15 AND WHAT SORT OF ACTIONS DO YOU TAKE THERE IN 16 ORDER TO STOP THIS COUNTERFEIT? 17 WELL, FIRST OF ALL, WE COOPERATE WITH LOCAL 18 CUSTOMS BOTH IN MAINLAND, CHINA AND IN HONG KONG TO 19 ATTEMPT TO STOP THE COUNTERFEIT GOODS FROM LEAVING 20 THESE TERRITORIES. 21 WE ALSO ORGANIZE SEVERAL RAIDS EVERY 22 MONTHS AGAINST PRODUCTION FACILITIES. AND WHEN WE 23 SUCCEED IN IDENTIFYING SPECIFICALLY A WEB SITE 24 OPERATOR, WE TRY TO GET PROSECUTION IN CHINA. 25 Q DO YOU, IN FACT, PROSECUTE OR FILE LAWSUITS OR

Case5:07-cv-03952-JW Document270-8 Filed01/19/10 Page10 of 19

1 Α YES. 2 AND DO YOU FIND THAT THEY ROUTINELY BRING YOU 3 LOTS OF RESULTS OF POTENTIALLY COUNTERFEIT 4 PRODUCTS? 5 A YES. 6 ARE THEY IN THE HUNDREDS OR THOUSANDS WHEN YOU 7 MAKE A SEARCH OF LOUIS VUITTON BAGS OR SOMETHING? 8 A WELL, WE WOULD USUALLY -- AS I SAID, WE WOULD 9 GIVE PRIORITY TO THE WEB SITES THAT WE FIND ON THE 10 FIRST TO SECOND PAGES OF RESULTS ON SEARCH ENGINES. 11 ANYTHING THAT IS BEYOND THE SECOND PAGE 12 USUALLY WE DO NOT LOOK AT. 13 WHY IS THAT? 14 THEY JUST DON'T BOTHER GOING ON THE FURTHER 15 PAGES. AND FOR US IF A COUNTERFEIT OFFER IS NOT 16 VISIBLE OR HAS LOW VISIBILITY ON THE INTERNET, THAT 17 MAKES IT LESS OF A PROBLEM. 18 ISN'T IT TRUE YOU HAVE NO EVIDENCE OF THE 19 PARTNERSHIP BETWEEN THE DEFENDANTS AND THEIR 20 RESELLERS? 21 A I HAVE NO INFORMATION ABOUT THAT. 22 ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOU HAVE NO EVIDENCE OF ANY 23 PARTNERSHIP BETWEEN ANY OF THE DEFENDANTS AND ANY 24 WEB SITE OR COUNTERFEIT? A I HAVE NO INFORMATION ABOUT THAT. AS FAR AS 25

Case5:07-cv-03952-JW Document270-8 Filed01/19/10 Page11 of 19

1 RESELLERS ARE CONCERNED, WE CAN CONDUCT BUSINESS 2 DEALINGS FROM THE FACT THAT RECENTLY RESELLERS 3 STARTED APPEARING ON THE IP HOST. SO IF DEFENDANTS 4 AS AN UPSWING PROVIDER HAS DESIGNATED THEIR 5 RESELLERS AS A DOWNSTREAM PROVIDER, IT MEANS FOR US 6 THAT THERE ARE SOME BUSINESS DEALINGS BETWEEN THEM. 7 O I'M SORRY. HOW DOES IT SHOW THAT THERE'S A BUSINESS DEALING OTHER THAN RENTING SPACE TO THE 8 9 RESELLER? 10 WELL, RENTING SPACE IS THE BUSINESS IT IS IN. A 11 Q WELL, IT'S RENTING SPACE AND AN IP ADDRESS, 12 BUT IS THERE ANY OTHER EVIDENCE OF ANY OTHER 13 BUSINESS DEALINGS? 14 A UNLESS I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE TELLING 15 ME, FOR ME RENTING SPACE TO SOMEONE IS A BUSINESS 16 DEALING. 17 MY QUESTION, SIR, DO YOU HAVE ANY EVIDENCE OF 18 ANY OTHER BUSINESS DEALING BETWEEN ANY OTHER 19 RESELLER AND ANY OTHER DEFENDANT OTHER THAN RENTING 20 A SERVER AND AN IP ADDRESS AND SOME BANDWIDTH? 21 A I HAVEN'T SEARCHED FOR THEM BECAUSE IT'S NOT 22 REALLY MY BUSINESS. 23 WELL, THEN YOU HAVE NO INFORMATION ABOUT THAT, 24 NO EVIDENCE; RIGHT? 25 A YES.

Case5:07-cv-03952-JW Document270-8 Filed01/19/10 Page12 of 19

1 Q AND DO YOU KNOW WHAT THE DEFENDANTS AKANOC 2 SOLUTIONS AND MANAGED SOLUTIONS, INC., CHARGE FOR 3 SERVERS AND IP ADDRESSES AND BANDWIDTH ON A MONTHLY 4 BASIS? 5 A I DON'T. 6 AND DO YOU KNOW WHETHER IT'S BETWEEN \$55 TO 7 \$70 TO \$80 A MONTH? 8 A NO. 9 AND YOU HAVE NO INFORMATION OR EVIDENCE THAT 10 THE DEFENDANTS GET ANY PART OF THE PROFIT FROM ANY 11 SALE OF ANY COUNTERFEIT BAG; ISN'T THAT TRUE? 12 A NO, I DON'T. 13 DO YOU HAVE ANY EVIDENCE OF ANY DIRECT 14 CONNECTION WITH ANY -- BETWEEN ANY DEFENDANT AND 15 ANYBODY SELLING COUNTERFEIT PRODUCTS? 16 A NO. 17 DO YOU HAVE ANY EVIDENCE THAT ANY DEFENDANT 18 CREATED ANY WEB SITE THAT IS USED BY ANY 19 COUNTERFEITER? 20 A NO, I DON'T. 21 O DO YOU HAVE ANY EVIDENCE THAT ANY DEFENDANT 22 OPERATED OR ADVERTISED OR PROMOTED ANY WEB SITE 23 SELLING COUNTERFEIT PRODUCTS? 24 A NO. 25 Q DO YOU HAVE ANY EVIDENCE THAT ANY OF THE

Case5:07-cv-03952-JW Document270-8 Filed01/19/10 Page13 of 19

1 DEFENDANTS ADVERTISED ANY INFRINGING USE OF THE 2 SERVERS SUCH AS "COME HERE AND WE'LL HELP YOU SELL COUNTERFEIT PRODUCTS"? HAVE YOU SEEN ANY 3 4 ADVERTISEMENT LIKE THAT? 5 A NO. 6 THANK YOU. DO YOU HAVE ANY EVIDENCE THAT THE 7 DEFENDANTS EVER INSTRUCTED ANY OUTSIDE OPERATOR OF HOW TO ENGAGE IN THE INFRINGING USE OF ITS SERVERS? 8 9 CAN YOU REPEAT, PLEASE. 10 O DO YOU HAVE ANY EVIDENCE THAT ANY OF THE 11 DEFENDANTS HAVE EVER INSTRUCTED ANY WEB SITE 12 OPERATOR HOW TO USE THEIR SERVERS FOR INFRINGEMENT? 13 WELL, I BELIEVE I HAVE CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE 14 OF ALL OF THIS. I DON'T HAVE DIRECT EVIDENCE. I 15 HAVE CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE, EVEN THE PATTERN OF CONDUCT OF THE DEFENDANTS, THAT'S WHAT I CAN 16 17 DEDUCT. 18 WOULD YOU PLEASE TAKE A LOOK AT YOUR 19 DEPOSITION. I WOULD DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 20 159. IN PARTICULAR LINES 18 TO 22. DURING YOUR 21 DEPOSITION ON APRIL 23RD, 2008 --I'M SORRY. WHAT PAGE? 22 Α 23 I'M SORRY. 159. 0 24 A 159? 25 Q YES. HAVE YOU FOUND IT?

Case5:07-cv-03952-JW Document270-8 Filed01/19/10 Page14 of 19

1	A YES.
2	Q LINES 18 TO 22 IS WHAT I'M DIRECTING YOUR
3	ATTENTION TO.
4	IN THAT DEPOSITION WAS THIS QUESTION
5	ASKED AND THIS ANSWER GIVEN: "WHAT EVIDENCE DOES
6	LOUIS VUITTON HAVE THAT ANY OF THE DEFENDANTS
7	ADVERTISED AN INFRINGING USE OF ITS SERVERS OR
8	INSTRUCTED ANY WEB SITE OPERATORS HOW TO ENGAGE IN
9	ANY INFRINGING USE OF ITS SERVER?
10	"ANSWER: I DON'T THINK WE HAVE ANY."
11	WAS THAT QUESTION ASKED AND THAT ANSWER
12	GIVEN?
13	A YES.
14	Q THANK YOU. ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOU HAVE NO
15	EVIDENCE THAT THE DEFENDANTS HAD ANY AFFIRMATIVE
16	INTENT THAT THEIR INTERNET HOSTING SERVICES WERE
17	USED TO WOULD BE USED TO INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OF
18	LOUIS VUITTON?
19	A NO.
20	Q NO YOU DON'T HAVE ANY EVIDENCE? IS THAT WHAT
21	YOU'RE SAYING?
22	A YES.
23	Q THANK YOU. ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOU HAVE NO
24	EVIDENCE THAT THE DEFENDANTS RECEIVED ANY MONEY
25	FROM THE SALE OF INFRINGING PRODUCTS THAT MAY HAVE

Case5:07-cv-03952-JW Document270-8 Filed01/19/10 Page15 of 19

1 BEEN HOSTED ON THEIR SERVERS? 2 Α NO, I DON'T. ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOU HAVE NO EVIDENCE THAT 3 4 THE DEFENDANTS RECEIVED ANY COMMISSION OR 5 PERCENTAGE OF SALES OR ANY EXTRA MONEY, EXCEPT FOR 6 THE HOSTING FEE FROM ANY WEB SITE SELLING 7 INFRINGING PRODUCTS? A I DON'T HAVE SUCH EVIDENCE. 8 9 ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOU HAVE NO EVIDENCE THAT THE DEFENDANTS, ANY OF THEM, INTENTIONALLY INDUCED 10 11 OR CAUSED ANY WEB SITE OPERATORS TO INTENTIONALLY 12 INFRINGE THE RIGHTS OF LOUIS VUITTON? 13 AGAIN, CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE WE HAVE. 14 DIRECT EVIDENCE, NO. 15 O DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 171 OF YOUR 16 DEPOSITION, AND PARTICULARLY LINES 21 TO 24. 17 DURING THAT DEPOSITION WAS THIS QUESTION 18 ASKED AND THIS ANSWER GIVEN: WHAT EVIDENCE DOES 19 LOUIS VUITTON HAVE THAT ANY OF THE DEFENDANTS 20 INTENTIONALLY INDUCED OR CAUSED THE THIRD PARTY WEB 21 SITE OPERATORS TO INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OF LOUIS VUITTON? 22 23 I'M SORRY. WHAT PAGE WAS THAT? A 24 171, LINES 21 TO 24. 25 WAS THIS QUESTION ASKED AND THIS ANSWER

Case5:07-cv-03952-JW Document270-8 Filed01/19/10 Page16 of 19

1 GIVEN: "WHAT EVIDENCE DOES LOUIS VUITTON HAVE THAT 2 ANY OF THE DEFENDANTS INTENTIONALLY INDUCED OR 3 CAUSED THE THIRD PARTY WEB SITE OPERATORS TO INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OF LOUIS VUITTON? 4 "ANSWER: I DON'T." 5 6 THE OUESTION THAT YOU'RE ASKING ME TODAY IS 7 DOES IT REFER TO THE ACTUAL MOMENT OR ARE YOU PLACING YOURSELF IN THE TIME WHEN I WAS DEPOSED? 8 9 IS IT TRUE THAT AT THE TIME THAT YOU WERE 10 DISPOSED YOU SAID YOU HAD NO EVIDENCE? 11 А AT THE TIME I WAS DEPOSED, NO. Q THANK YOU. 12 13 YES, IT WAS TRUE. 14 WHAT EVIDENCE DO YOU HAVE TODAY, IF ANY, THAT 15 IS DIFFERENT THAN THE TESTIMONY AT YOUR DEPOSITION 16 THAT THERE IS ANY INTENTIONAL INDUCEMENT BY THE 17 DEFENDANTS TO CAUSE ANY WEB SITE OPERATOR TO 18 INFRINGE ANY RIGHT OF LOUIS VUITTON? 19 I BELIEVE WE HAVE CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE. Α 20 0 WELL, WHAT IS THAT? 21 WE -- FROM THE PATTERN OF CONDUCT OF THE 22 DEFENDANTS WHICH HAVE BEEN TO SYSTEMATICALLY ALLOW 23 ALL OF THESE DOZENS OF COUNTERFEIT WEB SITES TO 24 PERSISTENTLY COME ON THEIR SERVICE, WE HAVE 25 DEDUCTED THAT IN A CERTAIN, IN A CERTAIN WAY

Case5:07-cv-03952-JW Document270-8 Filed01/19/10 Page17 of 19

1 DEFENDANTS ARE ENCOURAGING THIS INFRINGING 2 ACTIVITY. ISN'T THAT JUST SPECULATION? 3 4 Α NO. 5 YOU HAVE NO EVIDENCE OF ANY DIRECT CONNECTION 6 BETWEEN ANY OF THE INFRINGEMENTS AND THE 7 DEFENDANTS; ISN'T THAT TRUE? 8 BETWEEN WHAT? I'M SORRY. 9 YOU HAVE NO DIRECT EVIDENCE OF ANY CONNECTION 10 BETWEEN ANY INFRINGEMENTS AND THE DEFENDANTS; ISN'T 11 THAT TRUE? 12 A CAN YOU DEFINE "INFRINGEMENTS"? 13 WHAT YOU SUED THESE DEFENDANTS FOR, THE DIRECT 14 INFRINGEMENTS YOU'RE SAYING THAT THEY CONTRIBUTED 15 TO, THAT INFRINGEMENT OF COUNTERFEIT -- OF 16 TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHTS OF LOUIS VUITTON THAT YOU 17 TESTIFIED TO THE LAST TWO DAYS? 18 NO. A 19 O YOU HAVE NO EVIDENCE OF THAT; IS THAT RIGHT? 20 Α YES. 21 ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOU HAVE NO EVIDENCE THAT Q 22 THE DEFENDANTS PROVIDED ANY WEB HOSTING SERVICES 23 WITH THE OBJECT OR INTENT OF PROMOTING ITS USE TO 24 INFRINGE LOUIS VUITTON'S TRADEMARKS OR THE 25 TRADEMARKS OF ANYONE?

1 Α I DO NOT HAVE DIRECT EVIDENCE. 2 ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOU HAVE NO ACTUAL EVIDENCE 3 THAT THE DEFENDANTS ENGAGED IN ANY PURPOSEFUL 4 CULPABLE EXPRESSION OR CONDUCT AS OPPOSED TO ANY 5 MERE KNOWLEDGE WITH REGARD TO ANY MERE INFRINGEMENT 6 BY ALLEGED WEB SITES USING ITS SERVERS? 7 A NO. O ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOU HAVE NO ACTUAL EVIDENCE 8 9 THAT THE DEFENDANTS ACTUALLY CONTROLLED ANY WEB 10 SITES, SUCH AS OPERATING THEMSELVES OR CAUSING 11 SOMEONE ELSE TO OPERATE THEM OR MANIFESTING ANY 12 CONTROL OVER THOSE WEB SITES? 13 WE HAVE EVIDENCE THAT THEY HAVE CONTROL. 14 WHAT EVIDENCE DO YOU HAVE OF CONTROL? 15 WELL, WE HAVE TESTIMONY OF OUR EXPERT. A 16 THE COURT: LET'S USE THIS SUBJECT MATTER 17 CHANGE CONTROL, IF YOU'RE GOING TO PURSUE THAT, AS 18 AN OPPORTUNITY TO TAKE A MID-AFTERNOON BREAK. IT'S 19 ABOUT 2:30. WE'LL COME BACK IN ABOUT TEN MINUTES. 20 MR. LOWE: THANK YOU. 21 (WHEREUPON, A RECESS WAS TAKEN.) 22 THE COURT: VERY WELL. YOU MAY RESUME 23 YOUR EXAMINATION. 24 MR. LOWE: MAY IT PLEASE THE COURT. 25 Q MR. LIVADKIN, IN YOUR TESTIMONY EARLIER TODAY

1.

2 -

1.4

. 1.9

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICIAL COURT
REPORTER OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, 280 SOUTH
FIRST STREET, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY
CERTIFY:

THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT,

CERTIFICATE, INCLUSIVE, CONSTITUTED A TRUE, FULL

AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES TAKEN

AS SUCH OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER OF THE PROCEEDINGS

HEREINBEFORE ENTITLED AND REDUCED BY COMPUTER-AIDED

TRANSCRIPTION TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITY.

IRENE RODRIGUEZ, CSR, CKR CERTIFICATE NUMBER CSR 8074