1 2	J. Andrew Coombs (SBN 123881) andy@coombspc.com		
	Annie S. Wang (SBN 243027) annie@coombspc.com		
3	J. Andrew Coombs, A Prof. Corp. 517 E. Wilson Ave., Suite 202		
4 5	Glendale, California 91206 Telephone: (818) 500-3200 Facsimile: (818) 500-3201		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff Louis		
7	Vuitton Malletier, S.A.		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (SAN JOSE)		
10			
11	Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A.,) Case No.: C 07 3952 JW	
12	Plaintiff,	 OBJECTIONS TO DECLARATIONS AND EXHIBITS SUBMITTED IN 	
13	V.	 SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT 	
14	Akanoc Solutions, Inc., et al.,)	
15	Defendants.	 Date: September 8, 2008 Time: 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 8, 4th Floor 	
16	TO DEFENDANTS AND THEIR C	OUNSEL OF RECORD:	
17 18	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT Plaintiff Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A. ("Plaintiff" or		
19	"Louis Vuitton") submits the following objections to the declarations and exhibits submitted by		
20	Defendants Akanoc Solutions, Inc., Managed	Solutions Group, Inc. and Steven Chen (collectively	
21	"Defendants") in support of their Motion for Summary Judgment as set forth below:		
22			
23	1. DECLARATION OF STEVE CHEM	N IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION	
24	FOR SUMMARY HIDGMENT ("C	'hen Decl '')	
25	FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ("Chen Decl.")		
26	Plaintiff objects to the following passages on the grounds that they lack foundation and		
27	constitute inadmissible conclusion testimony, insofar as the Defendant has testified that he does not		
28	know all of the corporate defendants' clients and their "ultimate users". See Deposition of Steven		
	Louis Vuitton v Akanoc, et al.: Objections to Declarations and Exhibits Submitted in Support of Summary Judgment	- 1 -	

1	Chen at pp. 55:21-23, 59:19-22; contrast below with Chen Decl. p. 1:9-11 (¶ 2), p. 2:22 (¶ 8), p.		
2	6:11-13 (¶ 25), p. 7:8-9 (¶ 35).		
3	• p. 2:17-18, ¶ 7.		
4 5	• p. 5:5-6, ¶ 16.		
5 6	Plaintiff objects to the following passages on the grounds that they lack foundation, call for		
7	conclusion and consist of inadmissible speculation concerning the nature of and motivations of		
8	clients insofar as they purport to characterize services provided by companies other than the		
9	corporate defendants.		
10			
11	• p. 1:12-17, ¶ 3.		
12	• p. 1:19-25, ¶ 4.		
13	• p. 2:8-14, ¶ 6.		
14	• p. 2:18-21, ¶ 7.		
15	• p. 3:3-6, ¶ 9.		
16	Plaintiff objects to the following passages on the grounds that they constitute legal		
17	conclusion and lack foundation:		
18 19	• p. 2:24-28-3:1-2, ¶ 8.		
20	• p. 6:1-3, ¶ 21.		
21	• p. 6:4-6, ¶ 22.		
22	• p. 6:7-8, ¶ 23.		
23	 p. 6:9-10, ¶ 24. 		
24			
25	• p. 6:11-13, ¶ 25.		
26	• p. 6:14-15, ¶ 26.		
27	• p. 6:16-17, ¶ 27.		
28	• p. 6:20-21, ¶ 29.		
	Louis Vuitton v Akanoc, et al.: Objections to Declarations and - 2 - Exhibits Submitted in Support of Summary Judgment		

1	• p. 6: 22-24, ¶ 30.		
2	• p. 6: 25-27, ¶ 31.		
3	• p. 6:32- 7:1-2, ¶ 32.		
4	Plaintiff objects to p. 3:9-16, \P 9, to the extent that the purported acceptable use policy is		
5 6	the best evidence of its terms and, in particular, that there is no evidence these terms apply to		
7	defendant Managed Solutions Group, Inc.		
8	Plaintiff objects to p. 3:18- 21, \P 10, on the grounds that it constitutes inadmissible		
9	conclusion and lacks foundation.		
10			
11	Plaintiff objects to p. 4:22-24, ¶ 15, on the grounds that it constitutes an inadmissible		
12	conclusion and lacks foundation.		
13	Plaintiff objects to p. 5:21-24, ¶ 19, on the grounds that it is speculative and lacks		
14	foundation.		
15			
16	2. DECLARATION OF JULIANA LUK IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION		
17	2. DECLARATION OF JULIANA LUK IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT		
17 18			
17 18 19	FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT		
17 18 19 20	FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT Plaintiff objects to p. 1:21-23, ¶ 7, on the grounds that it lacks foundation and that the		
17 18 19 20 21	FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT Plaintiff objects to p. 1:21-23, ¶ 7, on the grounds that it lacks foundation and that the "acceptable use policy" is the best evidence of its terms. Plaintiff objects to p. 1:24-26, ¶ 8, on the grounds that it lacks foundation.		
17 18 19 20	FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT Plaintiff objects to p. 1:21-23, ¶ 7, on the grounds that it lacks foundation and that the "acceptable use policy" is the best evidence of its terms. Plaintiff objects to p. 1:24-26, ¶ 8, on the grounds that it lacks foundation. Plaintiff objects to p. 1:27-29, ¶ 9, on the grounds that the "take down" notice is the best		
17 18 19 20 21 22	FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT Plaintiff objects to p. 1:21-23, ¶ 7, on the grounds that it lacks foundation and that the "acceptable use policy" is the best evidence of its terms. Plaintiff objects to p. 1:24-26, ¶ 8, on the grounds that it lacks foundation.		
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT Plaintiff objects to p. 1:21-23, ¶ 7, on the grounds that it lacks foundation and that the "acceptable use policy" is the best evidence of its terms. Plaintiff objects to p. 1:24-26, ¶ 8, on the grounds that it lacks foundation. Plaintiff objects to p. 1:27-29, ¶ 9, on the grounds that the "take down" notice is the best		
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT Plaintiff objects to p. 1:21-23, ¶ 7, on the grounds that it lacks foundation and that the "acceptable use policy" is the best evidence of its terms. Plaintiff objects to p. 1:24-26, ¶ 8, on the grounds that it lacks foundation. Plaintiff objects to p. 1:27-29, ¶ 9, on the grounds that the "take down" notice is the best		
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT Plaintiff objects to p. 1:21-23, ¶ 7, on the grounds that it lacks foundation and that the "acceptable use policy" is the best evidence of its terms. Plaintiff objects to p. 1:24-26, ¶ 8, on the grounds that it lacks foundation. Plaintiff objects to p. 1:27-29, ¶ 9, on the grounds that the "take down" notice is the best		
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT Plaintiff objects to p. 1:21-23, ¶ 7, on the grounds that it lacks foundation and that the "acceptable use policy" is the best evidence of its terms. Plaintiff objects to p. 1:24-26, ¶ 8, on the grounds that it lacks foundation. Plaintiff objects to p. 1:27-29, ¶ 9, on the grounds that the "take down" notice is the best		

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	20
2	21
2	2

23

24

25

26

27

28

3. DECLARATION OF JAMES A. LOWE IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS'

MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Plaintiff objects to Exhibit 1502 beginning at page 155:8- 159:22 and 171:9- 176:23 on the grounds that the testimony calls for a conclusion.

Dated: August 18, 2008

J. ANDREW COOMBS, A Professional Corporation

/s/ J. Andrew Coombs_

By: J. Andrew Coombs Annie S. Wang Attorneys for Plaintiff Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A.

Page 55 1 services that Akanoc provides to its customers. Can --2 you say that you generally sell by a package. Can you 3 identify, by virtue of the kind of package that's 4 purchased, the kind of services that a reseller is providing to its customers? In other words, are some of 5 6 your packages tailored to Websites as opposed --7 NO. Α. -- to chat rooms, that kind of thing? 8 0. No, no, no. 9 Α. It's primarily a function of volume and 10 Ο. operating systems choice? 11 There are way too many factors. It may be a 12 Α. very small capacity server handle a lot of traffics 13 because of the application, which sometimes we don't 14 even know. I've seen a very low-end server runs about 15 60, 70 megabytes constantly. That's a lot of traffic. 16 But it runs. We don't exactly know what's in it. 17 Does Akanoc at any time provide design services 18 0. for Websites? 19 20 Α. No. Does it sell services directly to Website 21 Ο. operators as distinct from resellers? 22 Α. No. 23 Has it ever since it was first incorporated --24 Ο. Α. No. 25

1 basis?" No.

Q. Do you typically see any other communications with your customers? You said you oversee the Sales@Akanoc.com e-mail account. Do you see any other kinds of communications with your customers after the relationship has been established?

Page 59

7

A. Not that I can remember.

Q. Okay. And that's been true basically since9 Akanoc was first formed?

10 A. Yes. I think there's another type of e-mail 11 that's -- periodically sales will have a promotion 12 programs, and so they put together the promotion 13 programs, deliver to all the customers, and they will 14 have some discussions based on that.

Q. Earlier in your testimony you mentioned that there were about 10 to 15 resellers with whom Akanoc does business. What percentage of Akanoc's overall business is done with those 10 to 15 resellers?

A. If I'm -- don't hold me on the exact number,
but give and take somewhere around -- Akanoc probably
has somewhere around 30 to 50 customers any given time.
That's pretty much the whole business.

Q. Are any of those 30 to 50 customers located in the United States?

25 A. Akanoc-wise?