

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION


Matt Fredenburg, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
County of Santa Clara, et al.,
Defendants.

NO. C 07-4412 JW

**ORDER SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE
FOR *EX PARTE* PETITION FOR
APPROVAL OF MINORS' COMPROMISE**

Presently before the Court is Plaintiffs' *Ex Parte* Petition for Approval of Minors' Compromise. (hereafter, "Petition," Docket Item No. 190.) Plaintiffs represent that the Court must approve the minors' compromise before City Defendants will be able to obtain final approval and purchase annuities for use in the settlement. (Petition at 2.) Although in their *ex parte* petition, Plaintiffs represent that Defendants do not object to the plan, the Court finds that it would benefit from having Defendants' position stated in a formal brief. Accordingly, on or before **May 13, 2011**, Defendants shall file their Response to Plaintiffs' Petition. At that time the Court will either take the Motion under submission without oral argument or set a hearing date, if necessary.

Dated: May 4, 2011



JAMES WARE
United States District Chief Judge

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO:

- Dennis R. Ingols dingols@rrpassociates.com
- Douglas D. Durward Doug@durwardlaw.com
- Kevin E. Gilbert kgilbert@meyersnave.com
- Robert Ross Powell rpowell@rrpassociates.com
- David Michael Rollo david.rollo@cco.sccgov.org
- Melissa R. Kinyalocts melissa.kinyalocts@cco.co.scl.ca.us
- Kimberly E. Colwell kcolwell@meyersnave.com
- Tricia L. Hynes thynes@meyersnave.com

Dated: May 4, 2011

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk

By: /s/ JW Chambers
Susan Imbriani
Courtroom Deputy