

1 JAMES N. KRAMER (STATE BAR NO. 154709)
jkramer@orrick.com
 2 JAMES A. MEYERS (ADMITTED *PRO HAC VICE*)
jmeyers@orrick.com
 3 NANCY E. HARRIS (STATE BAR NO. 197042)
nharris@orrick.com
 4 REBECCA F. LUBENS (STATE BAR NO. 240683)
rlubens@orrick.com
 5 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
 The Orrick Building
 6 405 Howard Street
 San Francisco, CA 94105-2669
 7 Telephone: 415-773-5700
 Facsimile: 415-773-5759

E-FILED - 7/22/11

8 Attorneys for Defendant
 9 LISA C. BERRY

10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 11 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 12 **SAN JOSE DIVISION**

15 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
 COMMISSION,

16 Plaintiff,

17 vs.

18 LISA C. BERRY,

19 Defendant.
 20
 21

Case No. C 07-04431 RMW (HRL)

**JOINT STIPULATION AND []
 ORDER CONCERNING EVIDENTIARY
 OBJECTIONS ON BRIEFING FOR
 MOTIONS FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY
 JUDGMENT**

Judge: Judge Ronald M. Whyte
 Dept: 7

1 WHEREAS, Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission and Defendant Lisa C. Berry
2 (each a “Party” and, collectively, the “Parties”) have submitted respective Motions for Partial
3 Summary Judgment (Dkt. 237 & 254) (the “Motions”) in the above-captioned action;

4 WHEREAS, the Parties anticipate filing opposition briefs concerning the Motions on
5 July 15, 2011 and reply briefs on July 29, 2011;

6 WHEREAS, the record in this action is voluminous on account of a multitude of
7 documents and deposition testimony in this and related actions;

8 WHEREAS, each Party anticipates having objections to evidence presented by the other
9 Party in the Motions, and may have objections to the evidence presented in the oppositions and
10 replies thereto;

11 WHEREAS, having met and conferred and agreed that, notwithstanding Civil Local
12 Rule 7-3, which requires that objections must be contained within opposition and reply briefs,
13 separate filings consolidating and setting forth each Party’s objections to evidence cited by the
14 other Party would be appropriate in light of the voluminous nature of the record; and

15 WHEREAS, the Parties further believe that separate filings, served and filed after the
16 submission of briefing on the Motions but in advance of the hearing thereon, would be in the
17 interest of efficiency and judicial economy for Court and the Parties.

18 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AS FOLLOWS:

19 1. Each Party shall separately file and serve its objections to evidence cited in the
20 Motions and oppositions and replies thereto on August 5, 2011.

21 2. Each Party shall file and serve responses to the other Party’s evidentiary objections
22 on August 10, 2011.

23
24 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

25 //

26 //

27 //

28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

/s/ Robert L. Tashjian

Dated: July 11, 2011

Robert L. Tashjian
tashjianr@sec.gov
44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2600
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: 415-705-2500
Facsimile: 415-705-2501

*Attorneys for Plaintiff
Securities and Exchange Commission*

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

/s/ Rebecca F. Lubens

Dated: July 11, 2011

Rebecca F. Lubens
rlubens@orrick.com
405 Howard Street
San Francisco, California 94105
Telephone: 415-773-5700
Facsimile: 415-773-5759

Attorneys for Defendant Lisa Berry

I, Rebecca F. Lubens, am the ECF User whose User ID and password are being used to file this Stipulation. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that the above-listed signatories have concurred in this filing.

* * *

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. The Court resets the motion hearing set for August 12, 2011 to **August 26, 2011** at 9:00 AM.

Dated: 7/22/11

Ronald M. Whyte

Hon. Ronald M. Whyte
United States District Court Judge